

A B C D E F G H I J K L M Mc N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

166
193.
234

pd o
600

A
B
C
D
E
F
G
H
I
J
K
L
M
Mc
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z

Ab
Ad
Av
Ab
Ada
Au
Ada
Au
Au
Au
Ada
Alf

Ah Sue vs J. M. Hensley 11
Adolph Gus. vs W. D. Grady et al 28
Avazian Thos vs Fresno Canal & Irr 80
Ah Chong vs. Ah Loy 84
Adair C.H. vs Benedemia Stephenson 87
Austin E.L. vs L. Tipton 110
Adair C.H. vs C. Chisholm et al 192
Austin E.L. vs Peter Beau 195
Austin E.L. vs Wm Borchers 196
Austin E.L. vs H.C. Stewart 212
Adair C.H. vs Sam Ralston 215
Alford B.T. vs Grant Nelson 232
Burdette A. vs Holmes A. et al 31
Bundy O.J. vs Wm Shortridge 36
Bagley & Porter v R.F. Dickinson 50
Brann Frank vs Willit Yates 52
Burdette A. vs Wm Holmes 65
Bernhard Ex. v J.J. Hagerman 67
Burness H. vs J.S. Harness et al 113
Buckland A.R. vs A.P. Adams et al 120
Banta A.C. vs Jacob Fries 125
Barrett C.W. vs Levis Bar Min Co 140
Brainard G. vs Jas Spinney 160
Burleigh F.J. J. Hockenberry 204
Beres John vs Levy & Langley 235

A B C D E F G H I J K L M Mc N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

Curd J V. vs A Cadwell 33.
Curd J V " B Todd 62
Cole Uzziel vs H B Wilson 63
Chisholm et al vs W. M. Williams 97
Clark F. S. vs C. M Pike 102
Cramner U.A. vs E.G. Adams 124
Clark v Lake vs T. J. May 131
Cavin J.A. vs Cora Baland 153
Chicago Cott organ Co. v Armstrong
Church Jno M. vs J A Dalson 199
Chambers W.B. vs J Bancroft 119
Caldwell Jo vs Geo Matheson 229
Cornwell Carrie vs Wm McDonald 238

Denicke M. vs Chas Schisler	18	
Donahoe Emmons & Co v J A Beall	58	
Donahoe M. J vs W. Dumont	71	✓
Doolittle J. E. vs Go M Kahler et al	79	
Dickey W. J. vs Etienne Sarrazin	144	
Drew A. M vs J W Beall	159	
Devinny C. L. vs S. Martin	180	
Donahoe E & Co. vs R B Harlan	206	✓
" " " vs J. E Ball	239	✓
" " " vs G Frank Abbott	240	✓

C D E F G H I J K L M Mc N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

Ellingson Ole vs M.J. Lindrose	12
Elliott Geo vs E H Schell et al	17
Einstein L & Co vs H. E. Mills	49
Einstein L. & Co vs E O Magoon	51
Edgerly A.S. vs Ent Cal Pub Co	68
Escalier L. vs H.R. Brickner	118
Einstein L. vs F.S. Simonds	228

Finchen J.P. vs J V Curd 59
Fresno Republican v Ellen G. Barton 69
Faber J.E. vs W.S. Wolters 74
Fraser S.T. vs C.G. Anderson 75
First Nat Bk vs J M Hensley 91
Fisher Jac vs T. Saloman 94
Fresno Nat Bk vs. C W. Cutter 100
Farmers Warehouse Co vs Hammond & B 103.
Fincher J.P. vs S K Lemmon 109
Fritz Chas vs Lattie A Kahler 127
Fresno Co Abstract Co vs F A Benadom 128
" " " " vs Jas Haber 129
" " " " vs H Dusey et al 130
Ferguson W.G. vs F.G. Noyes 134
Frederick G H vs John Rattger 136
Fahey Wm vs A D Maikail 137
Ferguson W.G. vs E S Austin 151
Finck Sam vs M.G. Childers et al 184
Ferguson W.G. vs J.M Lynch 187
Fresno Canal & Irr Co vs John Dal 188
Ferguson W.G. vs Wm Massey 190
" " " vs J.G. Lewis 198
Farmers Warehouse Co. vs L. F Wilson 207
Farmers Bank vs C. G. Sayle 214
Farmers Warehouse Co vs T.E. Ball 217
Foye U.A. vs Geo Lenard 247

E F G H I J K L M Mc N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z

Grigsby S. W. vs E. Polkinghorn 15
Greenbaum O. vs Wm M Hughes 23
Garbarino G.B. vs Wm Jones 34
Gilbert N.D. vs Ella G. Barton 43
Gad V. vs Saracean et al 61
Green O.W. vs Ed Fox 70
Gilbert N.D. vs W. Max Young et ux 78
Gularte Jac v Antone Freitas 89
Gunst. M.A. vs T.E. Hughes et al 122
Garbarino G.B. vs E.S. Auburn et al 132
Gundelfinger Henry vs Sam Zilz 150
Gath M vs Joe Enas 154
Gallagher Jas vs G.G. Goucher 177
Greenberg H.S. vs A.F. Peters 194
Grainger J.L. vs Hansen Retal 231
Gamble Dr J.R. vs Chan Sun 241

Hammond T.J. et al vs Ah Sue 40
Hebern Nellie vs C Hausted 53
Helm Wm vs. A Blasingame 85
House J.H. vs M Pallagson
Horn J.L. vs A D Maikail 152
Hyde D.M et al vs F V Silva 158
Henry S.W. vs Jas Hutchings et ux 161
Hill W.D. vs D Macy 168
Haviland Jno P. vs Frank Satterfield 172
Hansen Peter vs Jennie Pickle 189
Howard T.W. vs C.M Jones 200
Harris Laura A. vs L.K Haun 209
Hermann J.S. vs C. Schillingford 213
Hansen E.M. vs Niels Dauntyed 220
Hermann J.S. vs Fitzgerald Bros 223
Hansen L. vs C A Blom 225
Hayden T.M. vs S Lehmann et ux 232

Jab
Ja
Jac
Ja
Je

Johnson G. W. vs F H Sinclair 47
Jacobs W. R. vs Mrs A M Dilwood 166
Jacobsen Chas vs Geo Matheson 178
Johnson Baker vs Mc O. Pattie 230
Jensen Peter vs Peder Pederson 243

Karnes Jas vs Jay Pcatt 82
Kohlberg et al vs Geo Matheson et al 96
Kings River Lumber Co. vs N View 143
Kee Len vs Geo W Smith 163
Kohler & Chase vs Geo Brand
Krag J J vs R B Harlan 202
Kuhler Geo M vs Jno Gregory et ux 224
Katnu Gr Co vs Iroquois Club 226

Lechlitner David vs Geo W. Fuller 39
Leverone A.G. vs C. Andreia 105
" " vs I Vecchio 106
Larson A. vs Albert Brown 108
Lane W.S. vs J.T. Stapleton 110
Lindall M. vs W D Grady et al 119
Lockwood Geo W. vs H A Cranmer 171
Lehman Chas B vs Miss E. Hughes 182
Low Kine vs S. Lehmann 183
Leach Mattie E vs J W Mc Donald 191
Lines Silas W vs Jas Karnes 216
Ledford C.A. vs W A Cowan 237
Lincoln Robt vs Andy Dillinger 246
Lester J.M vs V.J Clifford et al 248

K
L
M
Mc
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z

Miller Henry vs. John Viera 1
" " vs F. L. Frago 2
" " vs. J. R Mendoza 3
" " vs. F Nunes 4
" " vs. Frank Lopez 5
" " vs. F. Fernando 6
" " vs John Fegundez 7
" " vs Antonis 8
" " vs. Manuel Serpa 9
" " vs Joe Coelho 24
Myer J. vs. L. T Smutz et ux 30
Magee E. vs J. N Mitchell 44
Mann J. O. vs J. J Nuebacher 72
Matheson Geo vs John C. Deuel 99
Morrison J. T. vs C. M Pike 101
Mann J. O. vs Wm G Hicks 139
Moore Hunt & Co vs Almstead & Sheldon 146
Matheesen Geo vs F. L. Grainger 147
Mann J. O. vs J W Crow 162
Manuel Geo S. vs J W Crow 169
Madsen M vs Alf G. Lee 197
Muller M. W. vs J. F. Simpson 208
Madsen M vs Laures Peder Hansen 221
Musellian H. C. vs Arakelian 222
Madsen M. vs Rasmus Larsen 249

McKay J.R. vs Wm Ewing 92
McCarthy John vs Frank V Silva 158
McInerney A.E. vs J Bancroft 218
McIntosh G.B. vs Jack White 233

M
Mc
N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z

National Ice Co vs. Ella G. Barton 25
Newby Wm vs J W McDonald 64
Normart D.G. vs M. Nishikian 104
Noble Jay P. vs Jno M. Ryan 170

Osborne Dm v Co. G H Frederick 66

N
O
P
Q
R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z

People vs. vs. C. J. Beck 10
" " vs Dick Hotchkiss 16
Parker A. vs Harry Singar 32
Porter M et al vs Andrew Palmer 38
Parker A. vs H. Singar 41
Pierson Jas H et al vs Geo W Fuller 42
Prince D. R. v Donahoe Emmons Co 60
Pashello Antine vs W A Cowan 185
Paul B. H. vs Maderas Coyoomjian et al 186
Porteous Jas vs Karnes & Davis 227

Quong Lung vs Chan Sun 245

Ruben R et al. vs L. Baldwin 19
" " " " vs J. W Harvey 20
" " " " vs R. C. Lewis 21
" " " " vs Cartuthers et al 22
Richardson O. W. et al vs J W McDowell 98
Roberts R. vs Lakretta Kreiss 121
Roberts G. T. vs John Batteri 149
Roberts Jas vs Thos Campbell 155
Ryan T. P. vs Yost Type Writer Co 156
Rose J. A. vs Hammond & Bates 157
Ryan T. P. vs Goned Ditch Co 164
Rosphurs M. vs A. C. Ruscoe 165
" " vs B W Doyle 175
" " vs A W Robinson 179
Ruscoe A. C. vs E. S. Valentine 193.
Rosphurs M vs Henry Walson 23X
" " vs Geo E. Church 244

Shaw H.S. vs. Chris Jensen 13
Stover & Abb. Mfg Co vs J.M. Nye 26
Stickland G. vs J.C. Chase 48
Shanklin J.W. vs G.A. Hare 73
Secord Geo H. vs H. Higgins 76
Shepherd W.A. & J.R. Sutton 86
Smith G.A. vs W.M. Williams 107
Scribner O. vs J.G. Hallingsen et al 114
Sittig Rich vs W.H. Throp 116
Scribner O. vs Boyd & Fallon 117
Schweizer C.A. vs W.D. Rase et al 126
Scribner O. vs L.J. & E.C. Winchell 133
" O. vs G.R. Flowmoy 135
" O. " P.A. Efird 138
Scott J.C. vs Dem Cent Com 140
Shaw Wm vs David Lechleitner 145
Serpa Frank A. vs Samuel Rowe 173
Snyder J.J. vs M.B. Curtis et ux 174
Shons Pet ux vs S.J. Cull 176
Shanklin J.W. vs W.A. Noland et al 211

R
S
T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z

Taylor Jas. vs H. J. Jorgensen 14
Truman H & Co vs E Belkinghorn 27
Thomas W. R vs Albert Nichols 46
Taylor James vs J. Barrett 54
Thompson O.M. vs W A Lucas 55
Tucker W. B. vs M J Driver et al 77
Taylor Jas vs Silas Prather 83
Taylor Jas & al vs N. C. Hansen 90
Teague Wm vs Holmes & Teague 95
Terry J. H. vs Geo A Ruehl et al 201
Tuck On Jan vs Ike Kajiwa 210

T
U
V
W
X
Y
Z

Wa
Wa
Wa
Wa
Wa
Wa
Wa
Wa
Wa
Wa
Wa
Wie
Wa
Wa
Wa

Welsh H H vs. Wm Conlan 29
Waldenburg S. et al vs W. R Jacobs 35
Wickersham F. P. vs Ed Forrs 37
Wyllie G.W. vs G.C. Lamkin et ux 56
Watcher John et al vs D C McDougall 57
Wing Wo Chung vs Geo W. Fuller 81
White R.P. vs F M Spmogle 88
Wright Lewis vs J M Gibson 115
Wills Harry vs Geo F Mothersale 123
Winchell L Hetc vs H C Pabst 142
Williamson F.H vs H G Thompson et al 148
West H.L. vs John Neut 167
Walton J.G. vs L.T Hicklin 203
Wallace S.E. vs Oliver & Bales 236

V
W
X
Y
Z

X
Y
Z

Za
Ze
B

Zanotini S. vs Hammond et al 45
Zeigler A H vs A H Ten Broeck 93
Zimmerman Sam vs J. Mitrovich 205

In

Ja

Fe

No. 1

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Miller, Plaintiff

vs.

John Viera, Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 299 00

W D Grady & Jas Gallagher, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS. 370	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany	4	Cause entered, complaint filed, Summons issued and delivered to Jas Gallagher	1 25	
"	13	Summons returned and filed showing service on the defendant of a copy of the same attached to a copy of the complaint in the Township of Fresno County on Jany 6. 1893	50	
Feby	8	It appearing to the Court from the return attached to the original summons that a copy of the same attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant John Viera in the County of Fresno on Jany 6. 1893. and it further appearing that the said defendant has not answered herein or appeared in any manner whatsoever and that the legal time for appearing and answering ~~herein~~ to wit: ten days has fully expired, Now on motion of Jas Gallagher It is ordered that the default of the said defendant John Viera be, and the same is hereby entered	1 75	

2

No. 2

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Miller, Plaintiff

vs.

F. L. Frago, Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 299.00

W D Grady & Jas Gallagher, Attorney for Plaintiff

Tupper & Welsh, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS. 5.75	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	4	Cause entered, Complaint filed, summons issued and delivered to Jas Gallagher	1.25	
"	12	Defendant appears by his Attorneys Tupper & Welsh and demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	13	Summons returned and filed showing service on the defendant of a copy of same attached to a copy of the complaint in the Township of Fresno County on Jany 7. 1893.	50	
"	14	Defendant files written answer	25	
"	14	Defendant files offer to allow plaintiff judgment for $25.00	25	
Feby	15	On motion of plff above cause set for trial Mch 4, 1893 at 9 am	50	
Mch	4	On motion of plff. trial continued indefinately	50	
May	20	On motion of plaintiff trial of this cause set for June 12, 1893 9 am	50	
June	12			

No. 3.

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Miller

Plaintiff

vs.

J R Mendoza

Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 299 00

W D Grady & Jas Gallagher
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. 3 00 Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany 4	Cause entered, Complaint filed, Summons issued and delivered to James Gallagher	1 25	

4

No. 4

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Miller, Plaintiff

vs.

F. Nunas, Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 299 00

W D Grady & Jas Gallagher
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS. 32	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	4	Cause entered, Complaint filed, Summons issued and delivered to Jas Gallagher	125	
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the defendant of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the Complaint in this County on Jany 11th 1893.	50	
Feby	8	It appearing to the Court from the return attached to the original summons on file herein that a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served upon the defendant F. Nunas in this County on the 11th day of Jany 1893. And it further appearing to the Court that the said defendant has not answered herein or appeared herein in any manner whatsoever. And that the legal time for appearing or answering herein ~~has~~ to wit: twenty days has fully expired. Now on motion of Jas Gallagher Attorney for Plaintiff It is ordered that the default of the defendant F. Nunas be entered - the same is hereby entered	175	

No. 5

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Miller, Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Lopez, Defendant

Action Trespass.

Demand $ 299 00

W D Grady & Jas Gallagher
Attorney for Plaintiff

Tupper & Welsh
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		5 25		
Jany	4	Cause entered, Complaint filed, Summons issued and delivered to Jas Gallagher	125	
"	14	Defendant appears by Tupper & Welsh his Attorneys and files written answer.	25	
"	14	Defendant offers to allow plaintiff judgment for $25 00	25	
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint in this County on Jany 11, 1893.	50	
Feby	15	On motion of pltff trial of above cause set for Mch 4, 1893 at 9 a m	50	
Mch	4	On motion of pltff trial continued without day	50	
May	20	On motion of pltff trial of this case set for June 12, 1893 at 9 a m	50	

6

No. 6

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Miller, Plaintiff

vs.

F. Fernando Frates, Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 299 00

W D Grady + Jas Gallagher, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS. 3 75	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	4	Cause entered, Complaint filed, summons issued and delivered to Jas Gallagher	1 25	
"	13	Summons returned and filed showing service of a copy of same attached to a copy of the complaint on defendant in Merced County Cal on Jany 6th 1893.	50	
Feby	8	It appearing to the Court from the return annexed to the original summons herein that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant, Fernando Frates in Merced County on the 6th day of January 1893. and it further appearing that the said defendant has not appeared or answered herein in any way or at all and that the legal time for appearing or answering, to wit: Twenty days has fully expired. Now on motion of Jas Gallagher It is ordered that the default of the defendant Fernando Frates be and the same is hereby entered.	1 75	

No. 7.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Miller, Plaintiff
vs.
John Fegundez, Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 299 00

W D Grady & Jas Gallagher
Attorney for Plaintiff

Tupper & Welsh
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS. 5 25	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	4	Cause entered, Complaint filed, summons issued and delivered to Jas Gallagher	1 25	
"	14	Defendant appears by his Attorneys Tupper & Welsh and files written answer	25	
"	14	Defendant files offer to allow plaintiff judgment for $25 00	25	
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the defendant in this County on the 12th day of Jany 1893	25	
Feby	15	On motion of pltff. trial of above cause set for Mch 4, 1893 at 9 a m	50	
Mch	4	On motion of pltff trial continued without day	50	
May	20	On motion of pltff trial of this cause set for June 12, 1893 at 9 a m	50	

8

No. 8

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Miller, Plaintiff

vs.

John Dac Antonis, Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 299 00

W D Grady & Jas Gallagher
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS. 3 00	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	4	Cause entered, Complaint filed, summons issued and delivered to Jas Gallagher	1 25	

No. 9

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Miller, Plaintiff

vs.

Manuel Serpa, Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 299.00

W D Grady & Jas Gallagher
Attorney for Plaintiff

Tuppen & Welsh
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS. 5-25	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	4	Cause entered, Complaint filed, summons issued and delivered to Jas Gallagher	1 25	
"	12	Now comes defendant by his Attorneys Tuppen & Welsh and demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	13	Summons returned and filed showing service on defendant of a copy of same attached to a copy of the complaint in Township of Fresno County on Jany 7, 1893	50	
"	14	Defendant files written answer	25	
"	14	Defendant files offer to allow pltff judgment for $25.00	25	
Feby	15	On motion of plaintiff trial of above cause ~~continued~~ set for Mch 4, 1893	50	
Mch	4	On motion of plaintiff trial continued indefinitely	50	
May	20	On motion of pltff trial of this cause set for June 12, 1893 at 9 a m	50	

No. 10

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California, Plaintiff

vs.

C. J. Beck, Defendant

Action Search Warrant

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	4	To Entering cause, taking affidavit and issuing search warrant	1 25	
"	9	Search warrant returned and filed showing service and property described in Court.	25	
"	14	It appearing to the Court that the personal property described in the above search warrant, to wit: one overcoat is the property of the complaining witness T. L. Reed. It is here ordered and adjudged that the same be and it now is delivered to the said T. L. Reed	50	
			1 00	

Done in open Court this 14. Jany 1893

Otz Austin

Justice of the Peace

No. 11.

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ah Sue, Plaintiff

vs.

J. M. Hensley, Defendant

Action Debt.

Demand $ 120 30/100

A. C. Tupper, Attorney for Plaintiff

J. H. Daly, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany 4	Cause entered, complaint filed, summons issued & delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
" 4	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	1 75	
" "	Writ of attachment issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	50	
" 13	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the defendant in 3rd Township on the 13th day of January 1893.	25	
" 13	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
" 18	Now comes defendant by his attorney J. H. Daly and demurs to plaintiffs complaint on the ground that said complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
" 19	On motion of Plffs Attorney above demurrer set for hearing at 4. P.M of this date	50	
" 19	4. P.M. The above demurrer being submitted without argument it is ordered that the same be overruled and that defendant answer at once	50	
" 19	Cause set for trial Jany 23. 1893 at 9 o'clock AM on motion of plaintiffs Attorney	50	
" 23	10. AM. At the hour set the plaintiff is in Court and after waiting one hour and there being no appearance on the part of the defendant, and on motion of the plaintiffs Attorney the default of the defendant is hereby ordered entered and the same is hereby entered	75	
	Wherefore it is ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff Ah Sue do have and recover of and from the defendant J. M. Hensley. Judgment for the sum of $120 30 debt and $ 20 20 costs of suit.	1 00	
	Total judgment $140 50		7 50
	Done in open Court this 23rd day of Jany 1893 — Stg Austin Justice of the Peace	Constables	12 70
" 23	Execution issued.		
" 30	Execution returned partially satisfied all costs + $57.30 pd.		

12

No. 12

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ole Ellingson — Plaintiff

vs.

M. J. Lindrose — Defendant

Action Contract for labor

Demand $ 68 50

D. S. Church — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	5	Cause entered, Complaint filed, summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	5	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons and a copy of complaint in the 3rd Township of Fresno County on the 5th day of Jany 1893 by H. Russell Deputy Constable	50	
Apr	22	On motion of plaintiffs Attorney the above action is this day dismissed, Costs not paid but remitted H Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 13

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. C. Shaw

Plaintiff

vs.

Chris Jensen

Defendant

Action On Contract note

Demand $ 60.00 Int. Attys fee.

A. M. Drew

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	5	Cause entered, complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	17	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the defendant in 3rd Township of a copy of same attached to a copy of the complaint Jany 17, 1893	50	
"	18	On motion of Plaintiffs Attorney it is ordered that the above action be dismissed	50	
		Wherefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the above entitled action be and the same is hereby dismissed, the demand having been paid with costs &c.	1 00	
		Hy Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 14

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Taylor, Plaintiff

vs.

H. J. Jorgensen and Julius Neilsen, Defendants

Action Prom. Note, Int & Attys fee

Demand $ 40.00

A. M. Drew
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	5	Cause entered, Complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable.	1 25	
Jany	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the defendant H. J. Jorgensen of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in 3rd Township on Jany 16th 1893.	50	
"	23.	On motion of the attorney for the plaintiff the above action is hereby dismissed and costs paid.		
"	23	H. Austin Justice of the Peace.		

No. 15

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. W. Grigsby, Plaintiff

vs.

E. Palkinghorn, Defendant

Action Debt.

Demand $ 170.00

H. C. Tupper, Attorney for Plaintiff

F H Short, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	6	Cause entered complaint filed, summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy of same attached to a copy of the complaint on the Defendant in 3rd Township on Jany 16. 1893.	50	
"	16	Defendant appears in pro. per. and answers orally denying each and every allegation in plaintiffs Complaint.	25	
"	16	On motion of defendant cause set for trial Jany 28. 1893 at 9 o'clock a.m. & Plaintiffs notified.	50	
"	21	Defendant appears by his Atty F H Short and filed written answer	25	
"	21	On motion of defendant cause set for trial Feb 1. 1893 at 1-30 P.M and parties notified	50	
Feb	1	1-30. P.M On motion of defendant the trial of the above cause continued to Feb 7. 1893 at 9-a.m	50	
"	7	On motion of defendant trial of cause continued to Feby 7. 1893 at 1 P.M.	50	
"	7	At the time set all parties are present and announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. S.W. Grigsby is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and E. Palkinghorn, Mrs E Palkinghorn, J G Porter, J R Ferguson & G A L Garrett are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. The evidence being closed the cause is argued and submitted, whereupon the Court finds for the defendant for costs.	5 75	
		Wherefore it is ordered and adjudged that the defendant E Palkinghorn do have and recover Judgment against the plaintiff S W Grigsby for his costs and disbursements herein taxed at $ 29.20 and that the plaintiff take nothing by reason of this action - 100		
		Done in open Court this 7th day of Feby 1893		
		S G Austin Justice of the Peace.		
Feb	8	Cost bill filed. (off)	50	

No. 16

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People of the State of California, Plaintiff

vs.

Dick Hatchkiss, Defendant

Action Search Warrant.

Demand $

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	6	Cause entered, affidavit made, filed and search warrant issued and delivered to J Welch Policeman	1 25	

No. 17

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo Elliott

Plaintiff

vs.

E. H. Schell and Frank Schell

Defendant

Action Promissory note

Demand $ 250.00 Interest & attys fee

Geo W. Jones

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	9	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	
July	17	Summons issued	50	
Oct	27	Summons returned showing personal service on Frank Schell	50	
"	27	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant Frank Schell at the 2nd Township County of Fresno on the 6th day of Oct. 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and the legal time therefor to wit: ten days has fully expired, Now on motion of Geo W. Jones, Esq It is ordered that the default of the said Frank Schell be and the same is hereby entered	95	

18

No. 18

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Martin Denicke, Plaintiff

vs.

Charles Schroder, Defendant

Action Debt.

Demand $ 290.00

J. P. Meux, Attorney for Plaintiff

D. M. Seaton, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	10	Cause entered, complaint filed, summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	10	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed	1 75	
"	10	Writ of attachment issued & delivered to Wm Shaw Const.	50	
"	10	Summons returned and filed showing service in the 3rd Township aforesaid on Jany 10. 1893.	25	
"	10	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	14	Written demurrer this day filed by D M Seaton, Atty for defendant.	25	
"	16	On motion of Pelps Atty above demurrer set for hearing Jany 17. 1893 at 10 a.m. and Deft notified	50	
"	17	All parties in Court and on motion of Pelps Atty it is ordered that the demurrer be and the same is overruled, & that defendant answer at once.	75	
"	17	Defendant answers by general denial (oral) of each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint	25	
"	17	Cause set for trial at once on motion of pelps Atty all parties being present in Court.	50	
"	17	On motion of Deft cause continued to 3, P.m. all parties being present in Court and agreeing thereto	50	
"	17	At the time set all parties are in Court with their attorneys and the trial proceeds M. Denicke is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff and Chas Schroder & Wm Shaw are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The cause is argued and submitted and the Court finds for the plaintiff in the sum of $201.25	6 05	12 80

Wherefore Judgment is rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Martin Denicke and against the defendant Charles Schroeder for the sum of $201.25 debt and for the sum of $20.20 costs of suit

Total judgment $221.45

Done in open Court this 17th Jany 1893.

H. Austin Justice of the Peace

All costs to date paid by M. Denicke Aug 8, 1893

1740

No. 19

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. Ruben and Paul R Ruben Co-partners as Ruben & Son
Plaintiff

vs.

L. Baldwin
Defendant

Action on account

Demand $ 73.20

Paul R. Ruben
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.		COSTS. Defendant.	
Jany	11	Cause entered and complaint filed	1	00		

No. 20

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. Ruben and Paul R Ruben, Co as R Ruben & Son
Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Harvey
Defendant

Action on account.

Demand $ 30 56

Paul R. Ruben
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	11.	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	

No. 21.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R Ruben and Paul R Ruben, Co-partners as R Ruben & Son
Plaintiff

vs.

R.C. Lewis.

Defendant

Action on account.

Demand $ 21.25

Paul R. Ruben
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany 11.	Cause entered and Complaint filed	1 00	

22

No. 22

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. Ruben and Paul R. Ruben copartners as R. Ruben & Son
Plaintiff

vs.

Carruthers and Melburns Co-partners as Carruthers & Melburns
Defendants

Action on account.

Demand $ 37 35

Paul R. Ruben
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany 11	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	

No. 23

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Oscar Greenbaum

Plaintiff

vs.

William M Hughes. and Thos. E. Hughes.

Defendants.

Action on account

Demand $ 65.00

J. P. Merx
Attorney for Plaintiff

L. L. Coy L. W. Moultrie.
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	12	Cause entered complaint filed, Summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 20	
"	12	Summons returned and filed showing service on the defendant in the 3rd Township of Fresno County California on Jany 12. 1893.	50	
"	17	Defendant appears by his attorneys L L Coy & L W Moultrie and demurs orally to the plaintiffs complaint on the ground that the same does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
Feb	1	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing Feb 3. 1893 at 1-30 P. M & L L Coy notified	50	
"	3	The above demurrer being submitted without argument is overruled & 2 days given to answer	50	
"	6	Now comes defendant and answers plaintiffs complaint orally, denying each and every material allegation in said complaint contained	50.	
Mch	1	Subpoena for 2 witnesses issued for Plaintiff	50	
"	6	Above subpoena returned and filed	25	
Feby	18	On motion of plaintiff cause set for trial Feby 28. 1893 at 9 AM	50	
"	28	On motion of Deft trial of above cause continued to Mch 11 1893 at 2 PM	50	
Mch	11	On motion of Deft trial of above cause continued to Mch 14. 1893. at 3 P.M	50	
"	14	At the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds, Oscar Greenebaum J.D. Williams, J.E. Doolittle, 3rd Dist Lewellen are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and W M Hughes, D W Gluscock H N Marfield are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. The plaintiffs moves the Court for an order to amend the complaint by inserting the name of Thos E Hughes in the complaint and making him a party defendant, The motion is granted and the amendment made by interlineation		5 75

See pg 29.

No. 24

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Miller — Plaintiff

vs.

Joe Coilho — Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 299 00

W D Grady & Jas Gallagher — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS. 3 50	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	13	Cause entered complaint filed, summons issued and delivered to James Gallagher	1 25	
"	16	Alias summons issued and delivered to Jas Gallagher	50	

No. 25

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The National Ice Company a corporation, Plaintiff

vs.

Ella G. Barton, Defendant

Action Debt.

Demand $ 175 90

Geo E. Church, Attorney for Plaintiff

L L Coy Esq L. W. Moultrie, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	13	Cause entered complaint filed, summons issued and delivered to Geo Mathison constable	1 25	
"	13	Affidavit and undertaking on attachment filed and writ of attachment issued	2 25	
"	13	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	25	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint on defendant at City & County of San Francisco, Jany 16, 1893	50	
"	31	Alias Writ of attachment this day issued	50	
Feby	6	Now comes defendant by her Atty. L. W. Moultrie and demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint on the ground that the same does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	9	Alias Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
Mch	31	Alias Writ of attachment this day issued	50	
"	31	Alias Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
May	1	Alias Writ of attachment this day issued	50	
"	1	Alias Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	1	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing on May 5, '93 10 a m	50	
"	5	Above demurrer submitted without argument & overruled 2 days to answer	75	
"	8	Defendants file written answer	25	
June	14	The Court of its own motion sets above cause for trial on June 17, 1893 at 9-30 a m	50	
"	17	At the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds. It is agreed by Counsel that the ice mentioned in the complaint was furnished by the plaintiff to defendant and is not paid for and also that the copy of the copy of the articles of incorporation mentioned in § 299 Civil Code has not been filed in this County and that plaintiff owns the building used by it for an ice house and owned the ice so sold and delivered to defendant. The cause is submitted on the foregoing statement and by the Court taken under advisement	1 00	50

— See page 37 —

No. 26

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Stover & Abbott Mfg. Co (a corporation) Plaintiff

vs.

J. M. Nye Defendant

Action Promissory

Demand $ 162.00

Geo W. Jones Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	16	Cause entered, complaint filed, summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	17	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the defendant of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in 3rd Township on the 17th day of January 1893.	50	
"	25	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the summons herein that the same attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at the 3rd Township of Fresno County on the 17th day of Jany 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer or in any way whatsoever, and that the legal time for appearing or answering herein, to wit: five days has expired, Now on Motion of Geo W. Jones, Attorney for plaintiff the default of the defendant is hereby entered, And on further motion of said Geo W. Jones It is here ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff The Stover & Abbott Mfg. Co. (a corporation) do have and recover judgment against the defendant J. M Nye for the sum of $182.27 and for costs of suit taxed at $5.95 and judgment is hereby rendered and entered accordingly. Done in open Court this 25th day of Jany 1893 Total judgment $. 188.22 A. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace	Justice's Costs paid by Jones 6/29/93	2 20	3 95

No. 27

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Truman Hooker & Co

Plaintiff

vs.

E. Palkinghorn

Defendant

Action Promissory note

Demand $ 20 40

A K. Sheats

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany 16	Cause entered complaint filed, summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
" 16	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
" 16	Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 19	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint leven on the defendant in 3rd Township Fresno Co. on Jany 19th 1893	50	
" 25	Writ of Attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
" 25	Defendant appears in person and answers orally, denying each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint.	50	5 75 Paid
June 13	The demand and costs being paid the above action is this day dismissed	1 00	5 75

Hz Austin
Justice of the Peace

Demand & Int 21.93
Constables Costs 6.10
Justices 5 75
$ 33.78

Paid 39.82
33.78
6.04 Sheats

28

No. 28

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo Adolph

Plaintiff

vs.

W. D Grady, Chas W. Owen and R.T. Owen, Co-partners

Defendant

Action Labor,

Demand $ 79 85

A. M. Drew

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	17	Cause entered, complaint filed, summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	21	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the defendant W. D. Grady of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint, and of a copy of the Complaint on Chas W Owen and R. T. Owen in 3rd Township on Jany 21st 1893.	50	
"	25	Now comes defendants by J as Gallagher their attorney and demur to plaintiffs complaint (orally) on the ground that there is a misjoinder of parties defendant.	50	
Feby	10	On motion of Plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing this day at 9-00 m	50	
"	10	The above demurrer being submitted without argument on motion of the plaintiff the same is overruled	50	
"	10	Now comes the defendants and for answer, to plaintiffs complaint denies each and every allegation therein contained	50	
"	6	On motion of pltff cause set for Trial Feb 20. at 2 P.M	50	
"	20	On motion of defts cause continued generally	50	
Mch	13	On motion of pltff. cause set for trial Mch 13. 1893 at 2 P.M	50	
"	13	On motion of defts trial of this cause continued to Mch 16, 1893 at 1 P.M	50	
"	16	On motion of Pltffs Trial of this cause continued to 3:30 P.M this day	50	
"	16	at the time all parties are present and the trial proceeds After hearing the testimony the Court finds for the ~~pltf~~ defendants for costs.	75	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the defendants for the sum of $12 25 the costs of this action	100	7 25
		A Austin Justice of the Peace	Constables	5 00
				12 25

No. 29.

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H H Welsh, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Conlan, Defendant

Action Account Stated

Demand $ 159 55

W D Tupper
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany 19	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Geo Matheson Constable	1 25	
" 25	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint on the defendant in Fresno County on Jany 23. 1893.	50	
Feby 3	Greenbaum vs Hughes } From pg 23 —		
		5 75	
	The Cause is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.	4 25	
May 25	The Court this day finds for the defendants for costs.	50	
	Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the defendants W. M. Hughes and Thos E Hughes for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 27. 95	1 00	11 50
	Done in open Court this 25th day of May 1893 — H. Austin Justice of the Peace —		
May 29	Memo of costs filed by Deft.	25	

30

No. 30

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J Myer

Plaintiff

vs.

I. J. Smutz and Mrs I. J. Smutz

Defendant

Action Promissory note

Demand $ 90.00 + interest

S. G. Edwards

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	19	Complaint filed Cause entered summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable.	1 25	
"	21	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint on the defendant in 3rd Township, on Jany 20th 1893.	50	
"	31	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the summons herein that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant I. J. Smutz at the 3rd Township of Fresno County on the 20th day of January 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer or in any manner whatsoever and that the legal time for appearing or answering herein, to wit: five days has fully expired: Now on motion of S G Edwards Attorney for plaintiff It is ordered that the default of the defendant I. J. Smutz, be, and		
"	31	the same is hereby entered.	75	
		On further motion of the Attorney for plaintiff It is here ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff J. Myer do have and recover judgment against the defendant I. J Smutz for the sum of ($132.00/100), one hundred thirty-two dollars + costs taxed at $6.00 with legal interest from date, and judgment is hereby rendered and entered accordingly	1 50	
		Done in open Court this 31st day of Jany 1893.		
		S G Austin Justice of the Peace		
		Total Judgment $ 138.00		

No. 31.

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A Burdett

Plaintiff

vs.

Alex Holmes and Will Holmes. Co-partners- as Holmes Bros.

Defendants

Action Debt-

Demand $ 264 94

A. M. Drew

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	21	Cause entered complaint filed, summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const.	1 25	
"	21	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	21	Attachment issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	50	
"	22	By agreement Cause ordered set for trial Jany 28. at 2. P.M	50	
"	25	Defts Alex Holmes by his Attorney L. W. Moultrie files written answer and cross complaint	50	
"	25	Defendant Will Holmes by his Attorney L. W. Moultrie files written answer and cross complaint	50	
"	30	Summons returned and filed showing personal service thereof with copy of complaint on defendants at 3 P.M. Jany 21. 1893	25	
"	31	Plaintiff files new affidavit and undertaking for attachment	1 75	
"	31	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Feby	1	On motion of defendant Cause set for trial Feb 4. 1893 at 2 P.M	50	
"	4	2 P.M. Parties present and plaintiff moves the Court for leave to file amended complaint motion granted & 6 days give in which to file same	75	
"	4	On motion of plaintiff trial of above cause set for Feb 15. 1893 at 2 P.M	50	
"	10	Plaintiff this day files amended complaint	25	
"	11	Defts Alex Holmes files demurrer to amended complaint	25	
"	11	Defts Will Holmes files demurrer to amended complaint	25	
"	15	At the time set all parties are present and the plaintiff moves to dismiss as to Will Holmes motion granted whereupon the trial proceeds as to Alex Holmes. It being stipulated that he has a counterclaim against Plff for $299.41 A Burdette Mrs E Burdette & Annie E Lumbard are sworn & testify for Plff- and Alex Holmes and Will Holmes are sworn and testify for deft. The cause is argued and submitted and the Court takes the matter under advisement	50	250
"	24	The Court this day finds for plaintiff in the sum of $ 364 46		
"	24	Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff A Burdette and against the defendant Alex Holmes for the sum of $ 364 46 and for costs of suit taxed at $ 25 80 Total Judgment $ 62 26 Done in open Court this 24th day of Feby 1893- Stz Austin Justice of the Peace	100	13 95
			Constables	14.00
"	28	Cost bill filed	50	27 95
Mch	2	notice of motion to re-tax costs on Mch 2. '93 filed.	25	
"	7	Above motion argued submitted and costs taxed at $ 25 80	50	

Sept 27. 1893 - Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw Const.

No. 32.

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. Parker, Plaintiff

vs.

Harry Singer, Defendant

Action Prom. Note & Money had and received.

Demand $ 64 50 + Int.

A. M. Drew
Attorney for Plaintiff

F H Short
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	21	Cause entered complaint filed, Summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	21	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	21	Attachment issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	50	
"	28	The defendant by his Attorney F. H. Short this day files written answer	25	
"	31	Summons returned and filed showing service &c	25	
Feb	1	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	10	Above cause set for Trial Feby 16 1893 at 9-30 a m on motion of plaintiff	50	
"	16	On motion of defendant Trial is continued to Feb 17, 1893 at 9-30 am	50	
"	17	At the time set all parties are present with their attorneys and announce themselves ready for Trial and the trial proceeds. A. Parker is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and H Singer is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. The cause is submitted without and the Court finds for the plaintiff in the sum of $13 16	2 65	
		Wherefore Judgment is rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff A. Parker and against the defendant Harry Singer for the sum of $13 16 damages and for his costs of suit taxed at $. 20 40	1 00	8 90
		Total Judgment. $. 33 56	Constables	10 50
		Done in open Court this 18th day of Feby 1893		20 40
		Az Austin Justice of the Peace.		
"	17	Execution issued		
"	25	Execution returned and filed wholly satisfied.		

No. 33.

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. V Cird

Plaintiff

vs.

A Cadwee

Defendant

Action Account

Demand $ 296 70

J V Cird in pro per
Attorney for Plaintiff

Tupper & Welsh
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	25	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued & delivered to Wm Shaw Con	1 25	
Feby	14	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the defendant of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint in Tulare County Feb 13, 1893	50	
Mch	6	Now comes defendant by Tupper & Welsh his attorneys and files written demurrer	25	
"	20	The above demurrer being submitted without argument is overruled defendant to answer at once	75	
"	20	Defendant files written answer	25	
Apr	20	On motion of plaintiff trial of above cause set for Apr 29, 1893, at 9-9 m	50	
"	29	On motion of defendant trial of this cause continued to May 5 '93 at 1-30 P.M	50	
May	1	Subpoena for one witness for plaintiff issued	25	
"	5	Above subpoena returned and filed	25	
"	5	On motion of defendant trial of above cause continued to May 12, 1893 at 2 P.M	50	

No. 34

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G.B. Gabarino

Plaintiff

vs.

William Jones.

Defendant

Action Claim and Delivery

Demand $

W. D. Grady and Jas Gallagher
Attorney for Plaintiff

F H Short
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	28	Complaint filed, Cause entered and summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const.	1 25	
"	28	Affidavit and undertaking in claim and delivery made and filed.	1 75	
"	28	Order for delivery of property made & filed	50	
"	31	Now comes Frank H. Short attorney for J. P. Noble and moves the court for permission to intervene Motion granted and complaint in intervention filed	75	
"	31	Now comes the defendant by his atty F. H. Short and files written answer	25	
Feb	3	Now comes plaintiff and demurs orally to the complaint in intervention on the ground that the same does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	3	Now comes plaintiff and answers orally the complaint in intervention denying each and every material allegation therein contained	50	
"	17	On motion of plaintiff above cause set for trial ~~Mch~~ Feby 21, 1893. at 9 a m	50	
Mch	27	On motion of plaintiff above cause continued to March 1, 1893. at 9 a.m. Summons returned and filed	50 25	
Mch	1	On motion of Intervenor trial of above cause continued to Mch 14, '93 at 9 a m	50	
"	14	On motion of plaintiff trial of above cause continued to Mch 16, 1893 at 3:30 P M	50	
"	16	By consent trial of above cause continued to Mch 17, 1893 at 9:30 a m	50	
"	17	At the time set all parties are present and the taking of the testimony proceeds, John Morley, Dan Legraw, A Nable are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff as is also G B Gabarino, Joe Maglio, Geo J Amaziem & A. Noble, and Wm Davison John Witt, H P Martin, S J Hunter, Wm Jones and J. E. Faber are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant, The cause is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.	6 00	
Mch	27	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff & therefore judgment is here rendered and entered in this action in favor of the plaintiff G B Gabarino and against		

See Pg 35

No. 35

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S Waldenberg and D Brown Jr Co-partners as Waldenberg & Brown Plaintiffs

vs.

W. R. Jacobs Defendant

Action Debt

Demand $ 20 70

D. Brown Jr in Pro Per
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany	28	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to ~~Wm~~ Geo Matheson Const	1 25	
"	28	Affidavit and undertaking in attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	28	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	28	Summons returned and filed showing personal service &c —		
"	28	The demand in the above action having been paid the above action is hereby dismissed Hz Austin Justice of the Peace		
		Saborino vs Jones } From pg 34. the defendant William Jones for the delivery to the plaintiff by the said defendant of the following personal property, to wit: ~~One counter~~, one stove two lamps, one Doz Chairs, three tables, one mirror, ~~[illegible]~~ two pictures, one lot glasses one water trough, one pump and one counter, and in case delivery thereof cannot be had, then for the sum of $113. which the Court finds to be the value of the said personal property, and for costs of suit herein, taxed at $37 35 Total judgment 150 35	Constables 4 50 1 00	15 25
		Done in open Court this 29th day of Mch 1893 Hz Austin Justice of the Peace		
Apr	3	Notice of Appeal this day filed		
"	3	Undertaking on appeal this day filed		
"	8	Exception to sureties to Und on appeal filed —		

No. 36

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

O. J. Bundy

Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Shortridge

Defendant

Action Debt.

Demand $ 39 50

F. H. Short

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	28	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
Mch	24	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	

No. 37.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. P. Wickersham, Plaintiff

vs.

Ed Fowler, Defendant

Action Debt

Demand $ 860

F. P. Wickersham
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	28	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued and delivered to Geo Matheson Const	1 25	
"	30	Summons returned and filed showing personal		
"		service of a copy of the same attached to a copy of the complaint on the defendant at Third Township Fresno Co Jany 28. 1893.	50	
Mch	2	On motion of Plaintiff the above action is hereby dismissed & costs paid		Pd.
		Hz Austin	50	2 00
		Justice of the Peace		
		National Ice Co vs Ella G. Barton } From pg 25.		
1893		advisement		
July	14	The court this day finds for plaintiff in the sum of $175 90		
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff herein ~~and~~ The National Ice Co. a corporation and against the defendant Ella G. Barton for the sum of $175 90 and for costs of suit herein, taxed at $30 70	1 00	11 50
		Total Judgment $206 60	Const	19 20
				30 70
		Done in open Court this 14th July 1893		
		Hz Austin Justice of the Peace	Collecting	1 50
"	14	A stay of Execution for 10 days granted	50	2 50
				33 20
"	25	Above Judgment credited with $100 00		

38

No. 38

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. Porter & M Rowan co-partners as Rowan & Porter

Plaintiff

vs.

Andrew Palmer

Defendant

Action Debt.

Demand $ 68.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	28	Cause entered Complaint filed summons issued and delivered to	1 25	
"	28	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	28	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	30	Defendant by his Atty D. M. Seaton files his written answer	25	
"	30	On motion Deft trial of above cause set for Feb 6. 1893	50	
"	30	Summons returned and filed	25	
"	31	On motion of Plaintiffs Atty and payment of Costs the above action is hereby dismissed without prejudice H. Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 39

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

David Lechlitner, Plaintiff

vs.

Geo W. Fuller, Defendant

Action Debt

Demand $ 148.00

J.E. Doolittle, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Jany	30	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	30	Affidavit and undertaking for writ of attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	30	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	30	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy of the same on the defendant together with a copy of the complaint at 3rd Township on Jany 30, 1893	50	
Feby	7	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
Feby	7	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer endorsed upon the original summons that a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint was served on the defendant in this Township on Jany 30, 1893, and that the legal time for appearing or answering herein, to-wit: five days has expired, and it further appearing that the defendant has not appeared herein by answer in any manner whatsoever, Now on motion of J.E. Doolittle, Atty for Plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the defendant be and the same is hereby entered	75	
		On further motion of said J.E. Doolittle It is Ordered and adjudged that Judgment be entered and rendered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore it is ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff David Lechlitner do have and recover judgment of and from the defendant Geo W Fuller for the sum of $148.00 together with his costs herein taxed at $ 39.90 and Judgment is here rendered and entered accordingly.	1 00	
		Done in open court this 7th day of Feby 1893. Stz Austin Justice of the Peace		6 50
Feby	7	Execution issued.		
"	14	Execution returned and filed showing partial satisfaction to wit: All costs paid and $115.25 paid on demand.		

No. 40

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

P J Hammond & S B Bates co-partners as Hammond & Bates, Plaintiffs

vs.

Ah Sue, Defendant

Action Debt

Demand $ 11 05

T H Short, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	30	Cause entered complaint filed, summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	30	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	30	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	30	On motion of Plaintiffs and payment of Costs the above action is this day dismissed A J Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 41

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A Parker, Plaintiff

vs.

H Singer, Defendant

Action Debt & Prom note & Int

Demand $ 38 25

A M Drew, Attorney for Plaintiff

F H Short, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	30	Cause entered complaint filed Summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	30	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	30	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Feb	1	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy of the same attached to a true copy of the complaint on the defendant in 3rd Township on Jany 31. 1893	50	
"	1	Writ of attachment returned & filed showing levy	25	
"	3	Written answer filed by the defendants attorney F H Short.	25	
"	10	On motion of plaintiff cause set for trial Feby 16th 1893 at 9-30 a.m.	50	
"	16	On motion of defendant trial continued to Feb 17, 1893 at 9-30 a.m.	50	
"	17	At the time set all parties are present with their attorneys and announce themselves ready for trial and the trial proceeds, H. Singer and A M Drew are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and H Singer is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. The defendant moves to release the attachment by reason of a defect in the affidavit for same, the motion is argued and by the Court denied, and judgment ordered for plaintiff for $38 75	2 25	
		Wherefore judgment is rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff A. Parker and against the defendant H. Singer for the sum of $38 75 damages and costs taxed at $ 13 35	1 00	8 75
			Constables	4 60
		Total Judgment $52 60		13 35
		A. Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	17	Execution issued.		
"	25	Execution returned and filed partially satisfied by payment of costs and $27 29 on Judgment.		

No. 42

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jas H Pierson & Thos W. Pierson Co-partners as Pierson Bros. Plaintiffs

vs.

Geo W. Fuller Defendant

Action Debt.

Demand $ 126 35

Jas H Pierson in pro per Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Jany	30	Cause entered complaint filed, summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	30	Affidavit and undertaking ~~made~~ for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	30	Writ of attachment issued	50	Justices Costs 5.50 Paid.
Feb	13	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint in this Township on Feb. 11. 1893	50	
"	13	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	18	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons herein attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant Geo W Fuller at this Township on the 11th day of Feby 1893, and that the said defendant has not appeared herein in any manner whatsoever and the legal time for him to appear & answer herein, to wit five days has expired. Now on motion of the said plaintiffs the default of the said defendant Geo W. Fuller is hereby entered.	75	
		And on further motion of said plaintiffs judgment is here ordered for plaintiffs as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in this action in favor of the said plaintiffs Jas H. Pierson and Thos W. Pierson Co partners &c and against the defendant Geo W Fuller for the sum of $ 126 35 debt and for the costs of suit taxed at $ 11 10	1 00	$ 6.50 Constables 4 60 11 10
		Total judgment $ 137 45		
		Done in open Court this 18th day of Feby 1893		
		H Austin Justice of the Peace		
Apr	24	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	

No. 43.

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

N D Gilbert, Plaintiff

vs.

Ella G Barton, Defendant

Action Debt

Demand $ 82.00

N D Gilbert in pro per, Attorney for Plaintiff

L W Moultrie, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	31	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	31	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	31	Writ of Attachment issued	50	
Feby	2	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the defendant of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint in this Township on Feb 2 '93	50	
"	2	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	6	Now comes defendant and demurs to plaintiffs complaint on the ground that the same does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	9	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing Feby 11. at 1-30 P.M	50	
"	11	The above demurrer being submitted without argument on motion of plaintiff the same is overruled and four days given within which to answer —	50	
"	18	It appearing to the Court that the time given within which to answer herein has expired and that the defendant has failed in that behalf. Now on motion of N D Gilbert the plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the defendant be and the same is hereby entered	75	
"	18	Wherefore it is ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff N D Gilbert do have and recover of and from said defendant Ella G Barton, Judgment for the sum of $82.00 together with his costs, taxed at $. 13.00 and Judgment is hereby rendered and entered accordingly	1 00	7 50
		Done in open Court this 18th day of Feby 1893.	Constables	5 50
		Total judgment $95.00		
		A J Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	18	Execution issued.		
"	18	Order to show cause issued upon affidavit	50	
"	20	Order to show cause returned and filed	25	

Costs paid.

6.50
1 60
1 10

" 1893. Feby 21. The above execution this day returned wholly satisfied —

No. 44

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. Magee, Plaintiff

vs.

E. N. Mitchell, Defendant

Action Debt

Demand $ 40 18

E. Magee in pro per, Attorney for Plaintiff

O. L. Evans, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Jany	31	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	31	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	31	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Feby	3	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof on the defendant in this Township on Feby 3. 1893	50	
"	3	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	50	
"	8	Written demurrer this day filed	25	
"	14	Above demurrer set for hearing Feb 16. 1893 on motion of plaintiff	50	
"	16	On motion of plaintiff overruled and two days given within which to answer	75	
"	20	It appearing to the Court that the time given the defendant within which to answer herein has fully expired and that he has not answered herein, Now on motion of E. Magee the plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the defendant for not answering be and the same is hereby entered	75	
"	20	Wherefore it is ordered that judgment be and the same is hereby rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff E Magee and against the defendant E N Mitchell for the sum of $ $40 18 damages and for $16 45 costs of suit	1 00	7 75
		Total judgment $ 56 63	Constable	8 70
				16 45
		Done in open Court this 20th day of Feby 1893. H. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Feby	25	Above judgment credited with $25 20 costs paid & $9 25 to plaintiff		

No. 45

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. Zanolini

Plaintiff

vs.

T. J. Hammond and A. L. Hobbs co-partners, as Hammond & Hobbs

Defendant D

Action Debt

Demand $ 29 90

J. E. Doalitte
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby	1	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	2	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint on T. J. Hammond and a copy of said summons on A. L. Hobbs at 3rd Township Feb 1. 1893	50	
"	4	Now comes the defendant T. J. Hammond in person and demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint on the ground that the same does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	8	On motion of plaintiffs atty above demurrer is set for hearing on Feby 11. 1893. at 9-30.	50	
"	11	On motion of plaintiff the above demurrer is overruled	50	
"	11	Defendants answer orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint contained	50	
"	14	On motion of plaintiff above cause is hereby dismissed & all costs paid.		

A. Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 46

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W R Thomas

Plaintiff

vs.

Albert Nichols

Defendant

Action Promissory note.

Demand $26.00

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby	2	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	25	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	25	Writ of Attachment issued	50	
Mch	16	Summons returned and filed showing levy	50	
"	16	This action is this day dismissed demand and all costs being paid	1 00	5 00 Paid

H Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 47

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. W. Johnson, Plaintiff

vs.

F. H. Sinclair, Defendant

Action Two Prom. Notes -

Demand $ 286 65 + Int.

J. F. Doolittle, Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo W Jones, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby.	2	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	2	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	2	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Dec	14	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	14	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing Levy	25	
"	14	Now comes Geo W Jones Atty for Deft. and answers orally denying each and every allegation in the Complaint	25	
"	11	Demand for release filed	25	
"	18	On motion of defendant cause set for trial Dec 20. 1893 at 2 P. M	50	
"	20	at the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds, GW Johnson is sworn and testifies in his own behalf & documentary evidence is introduced, and F H Sinclair is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. The matter is argued and submitted and the Court orders judgment for plaintiff as prayed for	2 25	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff GW Johnson and against the defendant F H Sinclair for the sum of $ 349 96 (Principal 286 65 Interest $63 31) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 20 60	1 00	8 50
			Const	12 10
				20 60
		& Total judgment 370 56		
		Done in open Court this 20th Dec 1893		
		A Z Austin, Justice of the Peace		
Feb 1895	13	Execution issued and delivered to	1 25	
"	13	Affidavit & order for Examination of debtor & bailee made Feb 19. 95. at 10 A.M.	1 00	
"	18	Above orders & affidavits filed & served	50	

The within judgment is hereby assigned to Harris & Hubbard for value, this day
Dated Aug 21, 1897.
G. W. Johnson

48

No. 48

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. Stickland — Plaintiff

vs.

J. C. Case — Defendant

Action I O U

Demand $ 10 00

J G Rhodes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby	3	Cause entered complaint filed, summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	25	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof on the defendant attached to a copy of the complaint at this Township on Feby 24, 1893.	50	
Mch	2	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the Complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 24th day of Feby 1893, and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer or in any manner whatsoever and that the legal time for him to appear or answer herein, to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of J G Rhodes Esq. Atty for Plaintiff		
		It is ordered that the default of the defendant J. C. Case be and the same is hereby entered	75	
		On further motion of said J G Rhodes it is ordered that plaintiff have judgment as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff G. Stickland and against the defendant J. C. Case for the sum of $10 00, and for costs of suit taxed at $6 00	1 00	4 00
		Total Judgment $16 00	Constables	2 00
		Done in open Court this 2nd day of Mch 1893		
		H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 49

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Louis Einstein & Co. a corporation, Plaintiff

vs.

H. E. Mills, Defendant

Action Debt

Demand $ 28 70

E W Risley, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	4	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const.	1 25	
"	4	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	4	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	24	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint on the defendant at this Township on Feb 23. 1893	50	
Mch	2	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 23rd day of Feby 1893, and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer or in any manner whatsoever and that the legal time for appearing or answering to wit: five days has fully expired.		
		Now on motion of E W Risley Esq. Atty for Plff. it is ordered that the default of the defendant H. E. Mills be and the same is hereby entered	75	
		On further motion of said E W Risley it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the Plaintiffs Louis Einstein & Co, a corporation and against the defendant H. E. Mills for the sum of $28 70, and for costs of suit herein taxed at $12 45	1 00	6 25
		Total judgment $41 15	Constables	6 20
		Done in open Court this 2nd day of Mch 1893.		12 45
		H. Austin Justice of the Peace		28 70
				41 15
May	8	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const	1 25	
"	10	Abstract of judgment made and delivered to Wm Shaw Const	70	
June	29	Execution returned and filed partially satisfied Costs & 6 65 pd		
Dec	31	Execution issued and delivered to E W Risley	1 25	
Jan	11	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to E W Risley		

No. 50

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. S. Bagley and R. R. Porter, co-partners as Bagley & Porter, Plaintiffs

vs.

R. F. Dickinson and Belle Dickinson his wife — Defendants

Action Debt

Demand $ 30.50

A. A. Hataling, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	6	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	6	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	6	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	8	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint herein on Mrs. Belle Dickinson wife of R. F. Dickinson at 3rd Township on Feb 8, 1893	50	
"	8	Writ of attachment returned showing levy	25	
"	13	Now comes defendant Mrs Belle Dickinson by J. J. Hinds her attorney and orally demurs to the complaint on the ground that the same does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	14	Above demurrer set for hearing Feb 16, 1893 on motion of plaintiffs Atty	50	
"	16	On motion of Plaintiffs Attorney, no one appearing in opposition it is ordered that the above demurrer be and the same is hereby overruled	50	
"	16	Written answer this day filed	25	
July	20	On motion of plaintiff above case is this day dismissed & costs paid A. Austin Justice of the Peace		6 00 Constables 4 90 30.50 41 40

No. 51

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Louis Einstein & Co. a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

E. O. Magoon
Defendant

Action Debt.

Demand $ 35 21

E W Risley
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	8	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	8	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	8	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	20	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof on the defendant attached to a copy of the complaint in this Township on Feby 20. 1893	50	
"	27	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at this Township County and State on the 20th day of Feby 1893 and that the defendant herein has not answered nor appeared herein in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time for him to appear or answer herein, to wit: five days has fully expired, Now on Motion of E W Risley Esq. it is ordered that the default of the said defendant E O Magoon be and the same is hereby entered	75	
"	27	On further motion of said E W Risley It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein in accordance with the prayer of plaintiffs complaint. Wherefore Judgment is rendered and entered herein in favor of Louis Einstein & Co, a corporation, the plaintiff and against E O Magoon the defendant for the sum of $ 35 21 and for costs of suit taxed at $. 12 60	1 00	6 50
		Total Judgment $. 47 81	Constables	6 10
				12 60

Done in open Court this 27th day of Feby 1893.

H Z Austin Justice of the Peace

Mch 13 The above Judgment satisfied in full this day

H Z Austin Justice of the Peace.

52

No. 52.

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank Brann

Plaintiff

vs.

Willet Gates

Defendant

Action Debt

Demand $ 6.05

J S Rhodes

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby	8	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	9	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	9	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	18	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint on the defendant at this Township on the 18th day of Feby 1893.	50	
"	20	Now comes the defendant in person and answering plaintiffs complaint denies orally each and every allegation therein contained	25	

No. 53.

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mellie Hebern, Plaintiff

vs.

C. Hansted, Defendant

Action Debt

Demand $ 27 08

J. E. Doalitte, Attorney for Plaintiff

W. R. Jacobs, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby	9.	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm. Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	10	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the defendant at this township of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the Complaint on Feby 9. 1893.	50	
"	13	Now comes defendant by her Attorney W. R. Jacobs and demurs generally to plaintiffs complaint on file herein and for cause of demurrer says that the same does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	Paid in full
Mch	21	On motion of plaintiffs Attorney the above cause is this day dismissed & all costs paid. H Austin Justice of the Peace		

54

No. 54,

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James. Taylor — Plaintiff

vs.

J. Barrett. — Defendant

Action Debt.

Demand $ 70 80

A M Drew — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby	13	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	13	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 25	
"	13	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	17	Summons returned and filed showing personal		
"		service of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint on the defendant in this Township on Feby 17, 1893	50	
"	20	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	25	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant in this Township on the 17th day of Feby 1893, and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer or in any manner whatsoever and that the legal time for appearing or answering to wit: five days has fully expired. Now on motion of A M Drew Attorney for plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendant be and the same is hereby entered	75	
		On further motion of A. M. Drew judgment is hereby ordered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff James Taylor and against the defendant J. Barrett for the sum of $78 30 and costs taxed at $16 58. Total Judgment $ 94 88	100	650
		Done in open Court this 25th day of Feby 1893.	Constable	1008
		H Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	25	Abstract of judgment made and delivered to A M Drew		

No. 55

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

O M. Thompson, Plaintiff
vs.
W A Lucas, Defendant

Action Debt

Demand $ 10 00

A. M Drew, Attorney for Plaintiff

G W Callahan 1046 J St., Attorney for Defendant

D. R. Prince

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby	14	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	18	Now comes the defendant by G W Callahan and answering plaintiffs complaint denies each and every material allegation therein contained	50	
"	20	On motion of plaintiff cause set for trial on Feb 24, 1893 at 10 a m & parties notified		
"	23	Attorneys for all parties in Court & on motion of Deft cause continued to 2 P M of Feb 24 1893	50	
"	24	On motion of Pltff cause continued indefinitely	50	
Mch	18	On motion of plaintiffs cause set for trial Mch 24, 1893 at 9 30 a m	50	
"	24	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds, W A Lucas and O M Thompson are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff whereupon the plaintiff announces his case closed The defendant moves the Court for a non suit: which motion is granted	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in this action in favor of the defendant W. A. Lucas and against the plaintiff O M Thompson for the costs of this suit taxed at $13 35	1 00	4 70
		Done in open Court this 24th day of Mch 1893	constables	2 00
		H Z Austin Justice of the Peace	Witness	6 60
				13 35

56

No. 56

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township, County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G W Wyllie

Plaintiff

vs.

G C Lamkin & May M. Lamkin formerly May M Dagnan

Defendant(s)

Action Prom. Note

Demand $175.00 Int. & attys fees

A M Drew

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby	15	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	15	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	15	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	21	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the defendants G.C. Lamkin of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the Complaint and on the defendant M.M Lamkin of a copy of summons at this Township on Feby 20. 1893.	50	
"	21	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy		25
"	24	On motion of plaintiff the above cause is hereby dismissed all costs are paid. Hz Austin Justice of the Peace.		

No. 57

In the Justices Court of the 2nd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Walcher and Carl Schilling
Plaintiff

vs.

D.C. McDougall and Henry Clark
Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $ 299.00

J. P. Meux
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	17	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	17	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint on the defendant D.C. McDougall in this Township Feby 17, 1893	50	
"	27	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant D.C. McDougall at this Township County and State on the 17th day of February 1893. and that the said defendant has not answered herein nor appeared in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time for appearing or answering herein, to wit: five days has fully expired, Now on motion of J.P. Meux, Esq it is ordered that the default of the said defendant D.C. McDougall be and the same is hereby entered	Costs 3.00 Paid 75	
Mch	22	On motion of Plaintiffs Attorney the above action is this day dismissed all costs being paid.	50 50	

H. Austin
Justice of the Peace

58

No. 58

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township, County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Donahoe Emmons & Co. a corporation, Plaintiff

vs.

J. A. Beall, Defendant

Action on prom Note

Demand $ 130. Int + $30 - attys fee

Chas Inne
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby 17	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const.	1 25	
" 20	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint on the defendant at this township on Feb 20th 1893	50	
" 27	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons and complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at this township on Feby 20. 1893, and that the defendant has not answered herein nor appeared in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time for him to appear or answer, to wit: five days, has fully expired. Now on motion of Chas Inne Attorney for plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the defendant J A Beall be and the same is hereby entered.	75	
	On further motion of the said Chas Inne It is ordered that judgment be entered herein in accordance with plaintiffs demand	60	
	Wherefore judgment is hereby rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiffs Donahoe Emmons & Co a corporation and against the defendant J A Beall, for the sum of $138.40 together with $30.00 attorneys fees and costs of suit taxed at $6.00	1 00	4 00
	Total Judgment $174.40	Constables	2 00
	Done in open Court this 27th day of Feby 1893.		6 00
	H Austin Justice of the Peace.	Paid	4 50
Apr 10	The above judgment satisfied in full this day H Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 59

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. P. Fincher, Plaintiff

vs.

J V Curd, Defendant

Action Promissory Note

Demand $ 125-58

Geo E. Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	20	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	21	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint on the defendant at this Township on the 20th day of Feby 1893	50	
"	27	It appearing to the Court from the return of the Officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant on the 20th day of Feby 1893 at this Township and that the defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time for appearing and answering herein to wit: five days has fully expired, now on motion of Geo E. Church it is ordered that the default of the defendant J V Curd be and the same is hereby entered	75	
		On further motion of said Geo E. Church it is ordered that the plaintiff have judgment against the defendant as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in this action in favor of the plaintiff J. P. Fincher and against the defendant J V Curd for the sum of $ 125-58 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $5.60	1 00	4 00
		Total Judgment $131,18.	Constables	1 60
		Done in open Court this 27th day of Feby 1893.		5 60
		H Austin Justice of the Peace.		

Fees paid by Plff. Feb 15. 94

No. 60

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Dante R. Prince
Plaintiff
vs.
Donahoe Emmons & Co. a Corporation
Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 150

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	21	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to	1 20	
"	21	On motion of the Plaintiff the above action is hereby dismissed costs being paid. A z Austin Justice of the Peace.		
		Wm Newby vs J.W. McDonald } From Page 64.		
Feb	28	described in the complaint herein, to wit. the house and premises No 1851. "K" Street on Block No 343 of the City of Fresno according to the official map thereof now on file and of record in the office of the recorder of Fresno County. and that the said defendant J W. McDonald be ousted from the possession thereof. It is further ordered adjudged and decreed that the verbal lease and agreement under which said defendant has had possession be and the same is hereby declared forfeited and at an end. Done in open Court this 28th day of Feby 1893. A z Austin Justice of the Peace	1 40 Constables 2 00	3 90
"	28	Now comes J W McDonald in pro per and offers to file answer. The court refuses to file same for the reason that it is offered to late.		

No. 61

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

V. Gad

Plaintiff

vs.

Barocean & Senger

Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 23.50

V. Gad in pro per
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feb 21	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
" 21	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	Paid 5 00
" 21	Writ of attachment issued	50	
	The above action is this day dismissed		

62

No. 62

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J V Curd

Plaintiff

vs.

B Todd a feme sole

Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 3 35

J V Curd

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby 23	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to	1 20	

No. 63

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Uzziel Cole

Plaintiff

vs.

H. B. Wilson

Defendant

Action on Prom note.

Demand $ 150.00, $20. atty fee & Cost

J. G. Rhodes

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby 23	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to J. G. Rhodes	1 25	
" 28	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint on the defendant at Fresno County on Feby 24. 1893	50	
Apr 7	On motion of the Plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed all costs being paid	1 00	
	H. Austin Justice of the peace		

No. 64

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Wm Newby, Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. McDonald, Defendant

Action Unlawful detainer

Demand $25. Trebled

J G Rhodes, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	23	Cause entered Complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
"	24	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint on the defendant at this Township on Feb 23. 1893, the same being by the Court made returnable Feby 27. 1893.	50	
"	28	It appearing to the Court that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant on the 23rd day of Feby 1893, requiring the defendant to appear and answer on or before the 27th Feby, and it further appearing that the said defendant has failed to answer herein or appear herein in any manner whatsoever and that the time named within which the said defendant was required to answer has fully expired Now on motion of J G Rhodes it is ordered that the default of the said defendant be and the same is hereby entered.	75	
		Wm Newby is sworn and testifies in his own behalf from which testimony it appears that all the material allegations in plaintiffs complaint are true And on motion of plaintiffs Judgment is hereby ordered for the plaintiff as prayed for.	50	
		Wherefore it is by the Court ordered, adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff Wm Newby do have and recover of and from the defendant J W McDonald the sum of $25.00 trebled to wit: $75.00 with interest from this date until paid at the rate of 7% per annum Together with plaintiffs costs herein taxed at $5.90 Total Judgment $80.90	1 40	3 90
		It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff Wm Newby be restored to the possession of the tenement and premises	Constables	2 00
				5 90

See page 60

No. 65

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A Bundette — Plaintiff

vs.

William Holmes — Defendant

Action open a/c

Demand $ 299.00

A M Drew — Attorney for Plaintiff

L W Moultrie — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Feby	25	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const	1 25	
"	25	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on the defendant of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the Complaint at this Township on Feb 25, 1893	50	
Mch	2	Written demurrer filed by L. W Moultrie atty for Deft	25	
"	13	Motion of Defendant to file demurrer, Granted	50	
"	13	Demurrer of defendant filed	20	
"	18	On motion of Pltff. above demurrer set for hearing Mch 21, 1893 at 1:30	50	
"	21	Above demurrer argued, submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	50	
May	20	The Court this day orders defendants demurrer overruled, five days to answer	50	
"	31	Defendant files written answer	25	
Nov	9	On motion of plaintiff above cause set for trial Nov 22, 1893 at 10 a.m.	50	
"	22	at the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds, A Bundette and Mrs A Bundette are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff the rests and defendant moves for nonsuit and judgment on the several grounds stated in his demurrer and answer, the motion is denied and defendant excepts, Wm Holmes, Alex Holmes and H. H. Myers are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. The matter is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.	3 00	
1894 Feby	5	The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $104.82	25	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A Bundette and against the defendant Wm Holmes for the sum of $104.82 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $11.25 Total judgment $116.07	100 Const	9 25 / 2 00 / 11 25
		Done in open Court this 5th Feby 1894 — H Austin Justice of the Peace		
Mch	3	Undertaking and notice of appeal filed by defendant	50	
		Transcript & papers on appeal	1 50	
			9 25	
			11 50	

66

No. 66

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D. M Osborne & Co a Corporation

Plaintiff

vs.

Gerde H Fredericks

Defendant

Action on Judgment

Demand $ 176.50 & Int.

J. E. Doolittle

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby	27	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1.25	
Mch	1	Summons returned and filed not served	25	
"	4	Alias summons issued	50	
"	6	Alias summons returned and filed showing personal service	25	
"	8	Now comes the defendant specially and files affidavit and motion to dismiss	50	

No. 67

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. F. Bernhard, Plaintiff

vs.

J. J. Hagerman, Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 200 00

E F Bernhard in proper
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	27	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	
Apr	7	The above action is this day dismissed costs paid.		
		H Z Austin justice of the Peace		

68

No. 68

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. S. Edgerly

Plaintiff

vs.

The Central California Publishing Company a corporation

Defendant

Action a/c for rent

Demand $ 105.00

Geo E Church

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Feby	27	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
"	27	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	27	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Mch	1	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof on the defendant attached to a copy of the complaint at this Township Feby 28. 1893	50	
"	1	Writ of attachment returned and filed	25	
Apr	24	The above action is this day dismissed demand and constables costs being paid by defendant. Justices fees not paid	1 50	

H Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 69

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Republican Publishing Company a corporation Plaintiff

vs.

Ella G Barton Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 137 65

F H Short. Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Feby	28	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 28	
"	28	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	28	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Mch	3	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	3	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	9	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 3rd day of March 1893, and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time for appearing & answering herein has fully expired, Now on motion of Frank H Short Esq. Attorney for plaintiff It is ordered that the default of the defendant Ella G Barton be and the same is hereby entered.	75	
		On further motion of said Frank H Short It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff the Fresno Republican Publishing Company a corporation and against the defendant Ella G. Barton for the sum of $137 65 and for costs of suit taxed at $12 60	1 00	6 50
		Total Judgment $150 25	Constables	6 50
		Done in open Court this 9th day of March 1893		12 60
		H Austin Justice of the Peace.		
"	9	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	11	Execution returned partially satisfied, All costs & $130 20 paid.		

No. 70

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

O. W. Green — Plaintiff

vs.

Ed Fox — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 10.00

J. L. Rhodes — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	28	Cause entered Complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
Mch	1	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint on the defendant at this township on Feby 28. 1893	50	
"	3	Now comes defendant in person and answers by denying each and every allegation in said complaint contained	25	
"	6	On motion of pltff trial of this cause set for Feby 8. 93 at 9 a.m.	50	
"	8	Subpoena for one witness issued for plaintiff	25	
"	8	Above subpoena returned and filed	25	
"	8	At the time set all parties are present and announce themselves ready for trial whereupon the trial proceeds O. W. Green and T. J. Smith are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and Ed Fox is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. The evidence being closed the cause is argued and submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.	Justices fees $6.00 Pd	
"	9	The court this day finds for plaintiff in the sum of $10.00	2 00	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff O. W. Green and against the defendant Ed Fox for the sum of ten dollars and costs of suit herein taxed at $7.60	1 00	6 00
			Constables	1 60
				7 60
		Total Judgment $17.60		
		H. Austin		
		Justice of the Peace.		
"	18	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
May	18	Execution renewed		
July	18	Execution renewed		
Sept	18	Execution renewed		
Nov	18	Execution renewed		

No. 71

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M J Donahoo — Plaintiff

vs.

W Dumont — Defendant

Action on promissory note

Demand $ 197 70

D M Seaton — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Feby	28	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
Mch	1	Summons returned and filed showing personal service of a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint on the defendant at this Township on Mch 1, 1893	50	
"	15	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint in Fresno County Calª on the 1st day of Mch 1893 and that the said defendant has not answered herein nor appeared herein in any manner whatsoever and the legal time for appearing or answering to wit: ten days has fully expired.	Justices fees $6.00 pd 12/12/93	
		Now on motion of D. M Seaton Esq Attorney for the plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the defendant be and the same is hereby entered	75	
"	21	J.E. Doolittle is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff from which testimony the court finds that all the material allegations of the complaint are true and that $25.00 is a reasonable attorney's fee for plaintiff in this action and that there is due on said promissory note the sum of $197.70.	25	
		Now on motion of D. M. Seaton it is ordered that judgment be entered herein in accordance with the prayer of the complaint.	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff M.J. Donahoo and against the defendant W. Dumont for the sum of $197.70 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $. 7.65	100	4 25
		Total Judgment $. 205.35	Constables	3 40
				7 65
		Done in open Court this 21st day of March 1893		197 70
		A Austin Justice of the Peace		205 35
June	23	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
Aug	22	The above execution this day received	50	

72

No. 72.

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. O. Mann — Plaintiff

vs.

J J Uberbacher — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 44 45

J. O. Mann in person
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Mch	2	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	2	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	2	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	3	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	3	Writ of attachment returned and filed	25	
"	3	The above cause is this day dismissed all costs being paid, on motion of Plff — H Z Austin Justice of the Peace —		Costs 5 00 Pd

No. 73.

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J W Shanklin, Plaintiff

vs.

G.A. Hare, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 41 95

J W Shanklin, Attorney for Plaintiff

D R Prince, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch.	3	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	3	Summons returned and filed showing service	50	
"	8	Now comes W.P. Thompson and demurs to plaintiffs complaint in the ground that the same does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.	50	
"	13	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing Mch 15. 2 P.M	50	
"	15	On motion of Plff. above demurrer overruled 1 day to answer	75	
"	15	Defendant answers denying each and every allegation in the complaint contained	25	
"	27	On motion of Plaintiff above cause set for trial Mch 29, 1893 at 10 A.M and Defendants Attorney notified		
"	29	At the time set the plaintiff is present in Court, there being no appearance on the part of the defendant. After waiting one full hour, there still being no appearance on the part of the defendant and on motion of the plaintiff, it is ordered that the default of the defendant G.A. Hare for not appearing at the trial of this cause, he and the same is hereby entered.	75	
		On further motion of the plaintiff it is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J W Shanklin and against the defendant G.A. Hare for the sum of $41 95 and for costs of suit taxed at $ 8 00	1 00	6 00
		Total judgment $ 49 95	Constables	2 00
				8 00
		Done in open Court this 29th day of March 1893 H Z Austin, Justice of the Peace		
"	30	The above judgment is satisfied in full this 30th day of March 1893. H Z Austin, Justice of the Peace		

Paid 2 00 in full

74

No. 74

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. E. Faber

Plaintiff

vs.

W. S. Walters

Defendant

Action on a/c.

Demand $ 6 00

J. E. Faber in pro per

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	3	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	3	Affidavit and undertaking for order of arrest made and filed " " " " "	1 75	
"	3	Order for arrest of defendant endorsed on summons	50	
"	4	Summons & order of arrest returned and filed not served but accompanied by the demand and costs.		
		Whereupon the above entitled action is this day dismissed and undertaking exhonerated	2 00	Paid 5 50

H. Z. Austin

Justice of the Peace.

No. 75

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. J. Fraser

Plaintiff

vs.

C. G. Anderson

Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 17.65

S. J. Fraser
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Mch	4	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	7	Summons returned and filed showing service	50	
"	14	The defendant in person denies each and every allegation in the complaint.	50	
"	17	Now comes the defendant by his attorney H. C. Tupper and demurs to plaintiffs complaint on the ground that the same does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
Apr	3	On motion of plaintiff trial of above cause set for Apr. 8. 1893 at 9-30 a m	50	
"	8	at the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds before the Court sitting without a jury, a jury trial having been duly waived by the respective parties. S J Fraser and W S Hopkins are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and C. G. Anderson is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. and S. C. St John is sworn and testifies in rebuttal. and the Court takes the matter under advisement	50	
Apr	24	The Court this day finds for the plaintiff for $17.65 Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff S J Fraser and against the defendant C. G. Anderson for the sum of $ 17.65 and for costs of suit taxed at $ 12.20 Total judgment $ 29.90 Done in open Court this 24th day of April 1893. H Z Austin Justice of the Peace	1 00	4 75
			Constables	3 10
			Witness	4 40
				12 25
May	19	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const	1 25	
"	20	The above judgment satisfied in full this day by payment. H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		

76

No. 76

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

George H Secord — Plaintiff

vs.

J Higgins — Defendant

Action Claim and Delivery

Demand $

Geo T Hood — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Mch	4	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	4	Affidavit in claim and delivery made and filed	50	
"	4	Undertaking in claim and delivery made and filed	1 25	
"	6	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	6	Now comes defendant and files written demurrer	25	
"	6	Now comes defendant and files written answer	25	
"	8	On motion of pltff cause set for trial for Mch. 10, at 2 pm	50	
"	8	Subpoena for 1 witness for defendant issued	25	
"	8	Now come attorneys for both parties & waive notice of justification of sureties on undertaking for return of property in litigation	25	
"	8	Geo Lawson one of the sureties sworn and not accepted, other sureties justify	50	

No. 77

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. B. Tucker, Plaintiff

vs.

M J Driver and Frank Driver, Defendant

Action Debt

Demand $ 178.38

O. L. Everts — Attorney for Plaintiff

A. M Drew — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch 7	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
" 10	Summons returned and filed showing service	50	
" 13	Now comes defendant Frank Driver and files written demurrer	25	
" 13	On motion of deft demurrer set for hearing Mch 15, 1893 at 1-30 P.M.	50	
" 15	Demurrer argued submitted and overruled 2 days to answer	50	
" 16	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant M.J. Driver at this Township on the 10th day of March 1893, and it further appearing that the said defendant M.J. Driver has ~~to~~ not appeared or answered herein in any manner or at all, and that the legal time for appearing or answering herein to wit, five days has fully expired, Now on motion of O.L. Everts attorney for plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the defendant M J Driver be and the same is hereby entered	75	
" 16	Now comes Frank Driver by A.M. Drew and denies each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint contained	50	
" 20	Affidavit & Notice of Motion to set aside above default filed	50	+ 75
" 20	Motion argued & submitted and granted, Exception noted and said defendant M J Driver ordered to pay costs to date as a condition, answer to be filed only on such condition	75	
" 20	Costs paid and defendant M J Driver answer to be filed denying each and every allegation plaintiffs complaint contained	25	
" 21	Affidavit for change of venue filed,		

Wherefore it is ordered that the above cause be and the same is hereby transferred to the other Justices Court of this Township W D Crichlow presiding

Hz Austin
Justice of the Peace

Papers transferred & costs paid 2 00 | Costs paid 3 00 | 7 75

78

No. 78

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

N. D. Gilbert

Plaintiff

vs.

W. Moss Young and Elizabeth J Young.

Defendants

Action Prom note

Demand $ 42.87 + 20.00 attys fee

J. E. Doolittle

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	9	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1.25	
"	9	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1.75	Paid
"	9	Writ of attachment issued	50	5.50
Apr	20	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs Attorney the demand and all costs being paid.		
		A Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		

No. 79

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. E. Doolittle
Plaintiff

vs.

Geo M Kahler and Lattie A Kahler
Defendant

Action Prom Note

Demand $ 132.91 + 25.00 attys fees

E W Risley
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	9	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	9	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	9	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	15	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	15	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	16	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant Lattie A. Kahler in this Township on the 9th day of Mch 1893, and it further appearing that the said defendant as not appeared herein by answer or in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time for appearing or answering herein has fully expired, Now on motion of E W Risley it is ordered that the default of the defendant Lattie A. Kahler be and the same is hereby entered	75	
"	16	R B Terry is sworn, and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff, from which testimony the court adjudges $25.00 a reasonable attorneys fee herein. The note is offered and filed in evidence.	25	
		On further motion of said E W Risley Judgment is ordered as prayed for in the complaint as against Lattie A Kahler	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff J. E. Doolittle and against the defendant Lattie A Kahler for the sum of $157.91 together with costs of suit taxed at $15.40	1 00	7 00
		Total Judgment $173.31	Constables	8 40
		Done in open Court this 16th day of Mch 1893.		15 40
		S A Austin Justice of the Peace.		
"	16	The above Judgment is hereby credited with $150.00 paid by Lattie A Kahler		
"	17	The above Judgment is satisfied in full this day		

S A Austin
Justice of the Peace

80

No. 80

In the Justice Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Thomas Awazian, Plaintiff

vs.

Fresno Canal and Irrigation Company, Defendant

Action ~~Trespass~~ Damages

Demand $ 299 00

J C Drew, Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo E. Church, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	11	Cause entered complaint filed Summons issued	1 25	
"	13	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	17	Defendant by its Attorney Geo E Church demurs to plaintiffs complaint on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	60	
"	22	On motion of the Plaintiffs Attorney the above action is this day dismissed	50	Paid in full 2 00
		H Austin Justice of the Peace		2 75

No. 81

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Wing Wo Chung — Plaintiff

vs.

Geo W. Fuller — Defendant

Action work & Labor

Demand $ 181 95

Frank H Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Mch	15	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal Service	50	
"	22	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 15th day of March 1893. and that the defendant has not appeared or answered herein in any manner or at all, and that the legal time for appearing or answering herein, to wit: five days, has fully expired. Now on motion of Frank H. Short. Esq Attorney for plaintiff		
		It is ordered that the default of the defendant Geo W. Fuller be and the same is hereby entered	75	
		On further motion of said Frank H. Short it is ordered that the plaintiff have judgment as prayed for	Paid 3 70	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff Wing Wo Chung and against the defendant Geo. W. Fuller for the sum of ($181 95) One hundred eighty one & 95/100 dollars & for costs of suit taxed at $ 5 70	1 20	3 70
		Total Judgment $ 187 60	Constables	2 00
		Done in open Court this 22nd day of March 1893		5 70
		A. Austin Justice of the Peace		181 95
				187 65
Mch	24	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const	1 25	
May	26	The above judgment satisfied in full this day		

Frank H Short
Atty for Plaintiff

82

No. 82

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Karnes — Plaintiff

vs.

Jay Scott — Defendant

Action Conversion

Demand $ 250.00

F H Short & C C Merrin — Attorney for Plaintiff

Sayle & Coldwell — Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		E P Edwards for Deft.		
Mch	15	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	18	Defendant by Sayle & Coldwell files written answer	25	
Apr	4	On motion of Deft above cause set for trial Apr 25, 1893 at 9-30 a m	50	
	18	Subpoena issued for J. Wenoptsweys R Humphrey & Fry in part of plaintiff ~~1 00~~	75	
"	25	above suppoena returned and filed	25	
"	25	At the time set all parties are present with their attorneys and the trial proceeds, James Karnes the plaintiff is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and during the cross examination by Mr. Coldwell the cause was on motion of plaintiff continued to Apr 26, 1893, at 9-9 M for the purpose of allowing the plaintiff to procure certain documents.	50	
	26	At the time set the trial proceeds, the plaintiff cross examined further, by Mr Caldwell, and Peter Pappin, Robert Humphries, C.C. Fry and James Humphries are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and Moses Mock, L.P. Timmins, John H Burnett, A G Blasingame and Annie Burnett are sworn and testify in behalf of the ~~plaintiff~~ defendant. The Cause is argued and submitted and the Court finds for plaintiff for $162.00 damages and forty dollars for money expended in pursuit of the property. Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff James Karnes and against the defendant Jay Scott for the sum of $202, and for costs of suit herein Taxed at $62.20	9 50	13 50
		Total Judgment $264.20	Constables	11 90
		Done in open Court this 26th day of April 1893	Witness	36 80
				62 20
		H G Austin Justice of the Peace		
Apr	27	On motion of defendant a stay of Execution of ten days granted	50	
May	1	Cost bill this day filed.	50	
"	8	Notice of appeal this day filed	25	
"	8	Undertaking on appeal this day filed	25	
"	17	Justices fees paid and papers and transcript transmitted to the superior Court	2 00	
			1 50	

May 17, 1893 Justices fees 16.00 paid in full

No. 83

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Taylor, Plaintiff

vs.

Silas Prather, Defendant

Action on a/c

Demand $ 73.00

A. M. Drew, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Mch	15	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
		James Kames vs Jay Scott } From pg 82.		
1896 Nov	27	Certified copy of order of Superior Court dismissing appeal filed; accrued costs $2.00	25	
	27	Execution issued and delivered to Shaw Const	1 25	
1897 May	3	Defendant files notice of motion to satisfy the judgment herein –		
May	15	The above judgment is satisfied in full by payment this day – L. L. Merriam Atty for Plff		
		Attest H. Z. Austin J.P.		

84

No. 84

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ah Chung (Alias Ah Tong) Plaintiff

vs.

Hi Loy Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $ 299

A. M. Drew. Attorney for Plaintiff

J. B. Campbell & C. C. Merriam Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	15	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal servi	50	
"	17	Defendant by his Attorney files written demurrer	25	2 00
			Constables	2 00

No. 85

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Wm Helm

Plaintiff

vs.

Anderson Blasingame

Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 40 00

Wm Helm in pro per
Attorney for Plaintiff

Sayle & Coldwell
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	16	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	Paid 3 00
Apr	3	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
Apr	5	Defendant by Sayle & Coldwell files written answer	25	
	14	Case dismissed in payment of costs	1 00	
			3 00	Paid

C A Austin
Justice of the Peace

86

No. 86

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. A. Shepherd — Plaintiff

vs.

J. R. Sutton — Defendant

Action Prom Note

Demand $112.50 int & Attys fees.

O. L. Everts. — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Mch	17	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	17	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	17	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	21	Deft by G. L. Hood files oral demurrer to plaintiffs complaint on the ground that the same does not stat facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	22	Affidavit and Notice of motion to dissolve attachment filed	50	
"	24	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	20	
"	24	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	20	
"	27	above demurrer set for hearing Mch 29, 1893. at 1 P.M. on motion of pltff	50	
"	29	above demurrer submitted & overruled & 4 days given to answer	75	
Apr	3	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint contained	20	
"	28	On motion of plaintiff above cause set for trial May 2. 1893 at 1-30 P.M.	50	
May	2	On motion of plaintiff trial of this cause continued to May 3. 1893 at 9 A.M.	50	
"	3	At the time set all parties are present and announce themselves ready for trial and the trial proceeds. W. A. Shepherd is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff and J. R. Sutton is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. The cause is submitted without argument, and the Court orders judgment for the amount found due on the promissory note sued on, together with $25.00 attorneys fee which the Court adjudges reasonable	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff W. A. Shepherd and against the defendant J. R. Sutton for the sum of $148.75 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $13.80	100	9 50
		Total judgment $162.55	Constables	4 50
		Done in open Court this 3rd day of May 1893.		13 80
		A. Austin Justice of the Peace		148 75
May	9	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Show Constable	1 20	162 55
"	15	Execution returned and filed credited with $20.00		

Constable's 5.00
Justice fees 10.20
Executing 5.00

No. 87

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. H. Adair

Plaintiff

vs.

Berdenia Stephenson

Defendant

Action a/c.

Demand $ 150.00

A K Sheats

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	21	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	21	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	21	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	21	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	21	Writ of attachment returned and filed	25	
"	21	Plaintiff makes and files affidavit for order of examination of defendant	50	
"	21	Order made returnable forthwith	50	
"	21	Order returned and filed showing service	25	
"	21	The defendant in Court and offers to pay $100 in full settlement of demand and costs. The attorney for Plaintiff being present in Court accepts such offer and moves the Court to dismiss the action. The motion is granted	50	
		Wherefore it is ordered and adjudged that the above action be and the same is hereby dismissed & all costs paid	50	6 50
			Constables	6 10

Paid 6 50

H Austin
Justice of the Peace

88

No. 88

In the Justice Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R. P. White

Plaintiff

vs.

F. M. Sponogle

Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $45.00 & Int.

D S Church

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	21	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	21	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	21	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	22	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	22	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	22	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of Plaintiffs Attorney costs not paid but remitted		

H z Austin

Justice of the Peace.

No. 89.

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joe Gularte
Plaintiff
vs.
Antone Freitas and Frank C Freitas Co-partners
Defendant

Action Work & Labor

Demand $ 187 00

J. P. Meux
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Mch 22	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
Apr 29	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
May 2	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the Complaint and summons was personally served on the defendant Antone Freitas on the 27th day of April 1893, and a copy of the summons herein was personally served on the defendant Frank C Freitas in the County of Merced, this State on the 18th day of April 1893, and that the legal time for the defendant Antone Freitas to appear or answer herein, to wit ten days, has fully expired, and that the legal time for the defendant Frank C. Freitas to appear or answer herein, to wit: twenty days has fully expired. And it further appearing that neither of said defendants have appeared or answered herein in any manner or at all, Now on Motion of J.P. Meux.		
	It is ordered that the default of the defendants Antone Freitas and Frank C. Freitas, co-partners &c be and the same is hereby entered.	1 25	
	On further motion of said J.P. Meux it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
	Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff Joe Gularte and against the defendants Antone Freitas and Frank C. Freitas, co-partners &c. for the sum of $187 00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $10 00	1 00	4 50
	Total judgment $197 50	Constables	6 00
	Done in open Court this 2nd day of May 1893		10 50
	A. Austin Justice of the Peace		
May 2	Execution issued and delivered to	1 25	

90

No. 90

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Taylor and C. C. Crawford
Plaintiff

vs.

N. C. Hansen
Defendant

Action Prom Notes (2)

Demand $ 83.00 Int & attys fees $ 35.00

A. M. Drew
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	22	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	24	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	27	Now comes the defendant by J. E. Doolittle and demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	

No. 91

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The First National Bank of Fresno, a Corporation, Plaintiff

vs.

John M Henley, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $55.00 Int & attys fee $25.00

Geo E. Church, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Mch 22	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
" 24	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
" 29	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the summons that a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant in this Township on the 23rd day of March 1893, and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and that the legal time for appearing & answering herein has fully expired, Now on motion of Geo E. Church It is ordered that the default of the defendant John M Henaly be and the same is hereby entered—	75	
	Geo E Church is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff and on further motion of said Geo E. Church It is ordered that judgment be entered herein in accordance with the prayer of the complaint.	25 50	
" 29	Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff The First National Bank of Fresno, a corporation and against the defendant John M Henaly for the sum of $85.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $6.25	10	4.25
	Total Judgment $91.25	Constables	2.00
	Done in open Court this 29th day of Mch 1893 A Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
Apr 30	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
June 19	Execution returned and filed wholly unsatisfied		

All Costs this day paid by plaintiff. Sept. 6. 1893.

92

No. 92

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. R. McKay, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Ewing, Defendant

Action Debt

Demand $ 44 30

A K Sheats, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	23	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 20	
"	24	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed, made	1 70	
"	24	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	28	On motion of Plaintiffs Attorney the above action is this day dismissed, demand and all costs being paid A Austin Justice of the Peace		Paid 5 50

No. 93.

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A H Zeigler, Plaintiff

vs.

A H Ten Broeck, Defendant

Action Work & Labor

Demand $ 247.20

A H Zeigler in pro per, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Mch 1894 23	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	
Mch 1896 22	Summons issued	50	
Feby 27	Summons returned and filed served in Eldorado County Feby 20, 1896	25	
Mch 13	It appearing to the Court from the return duly verified endorsed on the summons that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint in this action was personally served on the defendant in the County of El Dorado State of California on the 20th day of Feby 1896 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: Twenty days has fully expired now on motion of A H Zeigler it is ordered that the default of the said defendant A H Ten Broeck be and the same is hereby entered.	95	
	On further motion of said Zeigler, who it sworn and testifies herein and from which testimony it appears that the demand sued on accrued in this township, that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	75	
	Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered and it is here ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff A H Zeigler do have and recover of and from the defendant A H Ten Broeck the sum of $247.20 and the costs of this action taxed at $6.95	100	4 40 Constt 2 50 6 95
	Total Judgment $254.15		
	Done in open Court this 13th day of Mch 1896 H Z Austin Justice of the Peace.		
July 1	Abstract of Judgment made & delivered to Pltff	70	

No. 94

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Joe Fisher — Plaintiff

vs.

J. Solomon — Defendant

Action work & Labor

Demand $ 20.00

Joe Fisher — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	27	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1.50	
"	28	Now comes the defendant and answering plaintiffs complaint denies each and every allegation thereof		50
"	28	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	

No. 95

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W Teague

Plaintiff

vs.

Owen Holmes 3rd Charles Teague co-partners as Holmes & Teague

Defendant

Action on note

Demand $ 260.00 & Int

W Teague
Attorney for Plaintiff

S N Griffith
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	27	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	31	Written answer this day filed for both defts by S N Griffith	25	
April	14	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
May	5	On motion of plaintiffs above cause set for trial May 11, 1893 at 9-30 a m	50	
"	11	On motion of plaintiffs trial of above cause continued to May 13. 1893 at 9-3 0 a m	50	
"	13	On motion of defendants trial of above cause continued indefinitely	50	

96

No. 96

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Ben F Kohlberg and Frank Cavagnero

Plaintiff

vs.

Geo Matheson, O J Meade and P. H. Managhan

Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $ 150.00

John C. Duel
Attorney for Plaintiff

E D Edwards
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	28	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	
"	30	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
Apr	3	Now comes the defendant by E D Edwards & files written demurrer	20	
"	6	On motion of Plff above demurrer set for hearing Apr 7, 1893, 1-30 P.M	50	
"	7	On motion of Dfdt hearing of above demurrer continued to Apr 8, 1893 - 1-30 P.M	50	
"	8	The above demurrer argued submitted, sustained, and two days given within which the plaintiffs may amend	75	
"	8	It is stipulated in open Court that the amendment may be made by interlining the words.	50	
	12	Now comes defendant by attorney E.D. Edwards denying each & every allegation generally and specifically	50	
Aug	10	Defendant files affidavit and notice of motion to dismiss	50	
		to be heard Aug 15, 1893 at 9-9 AM	50	5 75
				80
				6.55
"	15	At the time set the motion is argued submitted		
		and by the court granted	50	
		Wherefore the above action is this day dismissed and judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the defendants Geo Matheson, O J Meade and P. H Managhan and against the plaintiffs Ben F Kohlberg and Frank Cavagnero for the costs of this suit taxed at $-13 45	1 00	7 20
		Done in open Court this 15th Aug 1893	Constable	6 20
				13 45

H Z Austin
Justice of the Peace.

No. 97

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. Chisholm and J B Jones, Co-partners as Chisholm & Jones — Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Williams — Defendant

Action Debt

Demand $ 32.50

C Chisholm — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Mch	29	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	
"	29	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	29	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	30	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	30	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
Apr	22	It appearing to the Court from the return of the Officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the Complaint was personally served on the defendant at the County of Fresno on the 30th day of Mch 1893. and that the said defendant has not appeared herein in any manner whatsoever and the legal time for him to appear or answer, to wit: ten days has fully expired. Now on motion of C. Chisholm one of the plaintiffs herein, It is ordered that the default of the defendant W.M. Williams be and the same is hereby entered	75	
		On further motion of said C. Chisholm It is ordered that the plaintiffs have judgment as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs C. Chisholm and J.B. Jones, co-partners doing business under the firm name and style of Chisholm & Jones and against the defendant Wm Williams for the sum of $32.50 debt and for costs of suit taxed at $37.20	1 00	6 50
		Done in open Court this 22nd day of April 1893	Constables	30 70
		A G Austin		37 20
		Justice of the Peace		32 50
				69 70

98

No. 98

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

O W Richardson, E. L. Richardson
M. M Curry and L. Scott Tiffany
Plaintiffs

vs.

John W. McDowell
Defendant

Action Money had & Recd &c

Demand $ 25.00 & Int

John C Deuel
Attorney for Plaintiff

W. J. Timm
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893,		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Mch	29	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
april	13	Summons returned & filed Showing personal service	50	
	15	Now comes defendant by W. J. Timm and demurs to complaint for several reasons which are on file.	25	
"	27	By stipulation above demurrer set for hearing Apr 27. at 2 P.M.	50	
"	27	2 P.M. Demurrer argued submitted and sustained & complaint amended by interlineation on stipulation of parties.	50	
"		and ordered that the defendant answer at once	25	
"	27	On motion of plaintiffs cause set for trial May 3. 1893 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	27	Defendant answers by oral general denial	25	
"	29	Defendant files written answer	25	
May	3	At the time set all parties are in Court with their Attorneys and the trial proceeds before the Court sitting without a jury. John C. Deuel is sworn and testifies, in behalf of the plaintiffs and documentary evidence offered and filed in evidence. J W McDowell and Oliver Walcott are sworn and ~~then~~ testify in behalf of the defendant. The matter is submitted and the court takes it under advisement.	3 25	
Sept	4	The Court this day finds for plaintiffs for $15.00 & Costs.	50	
		Wherefore judgment is there rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs O.W. Richardson, E.L. Richardson M.M Curry and L. Scott Tiffany and against the defendant J. W. McDowell for the sum of $15.00 and	1 00	9 50
		for costs of suit taxed at $11.50	Const	2 00
		Total judgment $26.50		
		Done in open Court this 4th Sept 1893.		
		H Austin Justice of the Peace		

950 approved & filed

No. 99

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo Matheson

Plaintiff

vs.

John C. Deuel

Defendant

Action Debt.

Demand $ 30 00

E D Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

John C Deuel
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	31	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	31	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
Apr	3	Now comes defendant in pro per and files written answer	25	

100

No. 100—

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno National Bank a corporation — Plaintiff

vs.

C. W. Cutler — Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $200.00 + Int + 40.00 atty fee

Frank H Short — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Mch	31	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
"	31	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	31	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Apr	5	Summons returned and filed showing personal service 4/5/93	25	
"	5	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
Sept	18	On payment of Costs and it appearing to the Court that the defendant has filed his petition in insolvency the above action is this day dismissed H Austin Justice of the Peace	Pd 5 50	

No. 101

In the Justices Court of the 2nd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. T. Morrison, Plaintiff

vs.

C. M. Pyke, Defendant

Action Crim note & 2 ac's

Demand $ 280 29

Frank H Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

(Santa)

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
				Pd. 2 50
Apr	3	Cause entered Complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	3	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	3	Writ of attachment issued	50	
	17	Now comes defendant by attorney L. M. Moultrie & files demurrer on ground complaint does not sufficient cause of action	50	
June	16	On motion of plff above demurrer set for hearing June 19, 1893 at 9:30	50	
"	19	Above demurrer submitted overruled and 5 days to answer	75	
"	24	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
July	7	On motion of plaintiff trial of this cause set for July 10, 1893 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	10	On motion of defendant trial continued to July 17, 1893 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	17	At the time set the plaintiff is in Court and there is no appearance on the part of the defendant, after waiting one full hour on motion of F H Short It is ordered that the default of the defendant C. M. Pyke be and the same is hereby entered. F H Short is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff from which testimony the Court fixes $19.00 as a reasonable attorneys fee herein. The note and original accounts are introduced in evidence and on further motion of said Short It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for with $19.00 atty fee	75	
			1 00	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. T. Morrison and against the defendant C. M. Pyke for the sum of $299.29 and $29.20 interest and for costs of suit herein taxed at $13.00	1 00	10 00
		Total Judgment $328.49	Constable	3 00
		Done in open Court this 17th July 1893		13 00
		A Austin Justice of the Peace		

102

No. 102

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank S. Clark — Plaintiff

vs.

C. M. Pyke — Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $23.50

A. M. Drew — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
		chq		
Apr	3	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	Paid 2.50
"	3	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	3	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	17	Now comes C. M. Pyke by attorney L. W. Mailtree and files demurrer on grounds that complaint does not state facts sufficient	25	
May	22	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing May 25, 1893 at 9-30 a.m.	50	
"	25	Demurrer submitted without argument & overruled & second days to answer	25	
June	1	Now comes defendant and answers orally denying each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint	60	
July	11	On motion of plaintiff above cause set for trial July 17, 1893 - 3 p.m.	50	
"	17	At the time set the plaintiff is in Court and there is no appearance on the part of the defendant. After waiting one full hour on motion of A. M. Drew Esq the default of the defendant for not appearing at the trial is ordered entered and the same is hereby entered.	70	Justices Costs paid Aug 16, 1894 Austin
		F S Clark is sworn and testifies in behalf of himself. and from the testimony adduced the Court orders judgment for plaintiff as prayed for.	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff Frank S Clark and against the defendant C. M. Pyke for the sum of $23.50 and for costs of suit taxed at $11.20	1 00	8 20
		Total Judgment $34.70	Const	3 00
				11 20

Done in open Court this 17th day of July 1893

A. Austin Justice of the Peace

No. 103

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Farmers Warehouse Co
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

J H Hammond and B B Balls Co —
partners as Hammond & Balls
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 8 88

S. S. Wright
Attorney for Plaintiff

Frank H. Short
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		chq.	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
					Paid 2.00
Apr	4		Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 20	
"	5		Summons returned and filed showing personal service 4/5/93	50	
"	8		Defendants by F H Short their Attorney file written demurrer	25	
May	5		Above demurrer set for hearing on May 8, 1893 at 1-30 P M	50	
"	8		Above demurrer submitted without argument, overruled & 2 days to Answer	75	
"	10		Defendants answer orally by F H Short denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	

104

No. 104

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

D. G. Normart — Plaintiff

vs.

M. Nishikian — Defendant

Action Prom. Note

Demand $30.85 + 25.00 attys fee

John C. Deuel
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

20.00

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
				Paid 2.50
Apr	4	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1.25	
"	10	Summons returned and filed showing personal service 4/10/93	50	
	17	Now comes defendant by attorney D. M. Seaton and files demurrer.	25	
	17	Written answer this day filed by D M Seaton	25	
	18	Subpoena issued for witness in behalf of plaintiff	50	
"	18	On motion of pltff trial of cause set for Apr 23, 1893 at 10 a m	50	
"	23	On motion of Pltff trial continued to Apr 24, 1893 at 5 P. M	50	
"	24	On motion of Deft trial continued to May 4, 1893 at 9 a m	50	
May	4	Subpoena returned and filed		
"	4	Defendant files offer to allow plaintiff to take judgment for $23.00 + costs up to date	25	
"	4	Plaintiff accepts the above offer in writing	25	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff D.G. Normart and against the defendant M Nishikian for the sum of $23.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $7.75 Total Judgment $30.75	1.00	5.75
		Done in open Court this 4th day of May 1893 —	Constables	2.00
		H Z Austin Justice of the Peace.		
May	15	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1.25	
"	20	Execution returned and filed		
"	20	The above judgment is satisfied in full this day		
		H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 105

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Als. Levecone

Plaintiff

vs.

Castamagna Andreia

Defendant

Action Debt

Demand $ 14 65

Als Levecone
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		Chq		Paid 2 50
Apr	4	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	4	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	4	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	5	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	Paid 5 00
"	10	The above action is this day dismissed on payment of all costs on motion of Plaintiff		

Hz Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 106

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. G. Leverone

Plaintiff

vs.

Ignazio Viochi

Defendant

Action Debt

Demand $ 125.00

A. G. Leverone
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Chg		Paid 2.50
Apr	4	Cause entered Complaint filed and Summons issued	1 25	
"	4	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	4	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	5	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	Paid
"	10	The above action is this day dismissed on payment of all costs & on motion of Plaintiff		5.00
		H. Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 107

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G. A. Smith, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm. Williams, Defendant

Action debt (a/c)

Demand $ 46.00

Geo A Smith, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
					2.50 Paid
Apr	5		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	5		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	5		Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	19		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	19		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	27		It appearing to the Court from the return of the Officer endorsed on the original ~~complaint~~ summons that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on April 18. 1893 and that the said defendant has not answered herein nor appeared in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time for appearing or answering herein to wit: five days has fully expired		
			Now on motion of Geo A Smith, the said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendant W M Williams be and the same is hereby entered —	75	1 00
			Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff G. A. Smith and against the defendant W M Williams for the sum of $46.00 &	50	
			and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 37.20	1 00	6 50
			Total judgment $ 83.20	Constables	30 70
					37 20

Done in open Court this 27th day of April 1893

H Z Austin
Justice of the Peace

P of Jan 1894 in full
6.50

108

No. 108

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. Larson, Plaintiff

vs.

Albert Brown, Defendant

Action Prom Note

Demand $197.61 & Int

W J Barnett & G C Freeman
Attorney for Plaintiff

A. E. Snow
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
April	7	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Paid 2 50
"	7	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	"	Written demurrer filed by A E Snow	25	
"	13	The time having expired for defendant to answer and he not appearing. Judgment is given by default in favor of Plaintiff for 197.61 with interest & Costs of suit.		
"	29	Answer (written) filed by A.E. Snow	25	
July	19	On motion of plaintiff above case set for trial July 26. 1893 at 10 a m	50	
"	26	At the time set all parties and their attorneys are present in Court and the trial proceeds. G.C. Freeman is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff and offers in evidence the promissory note sued on herein, together with five letters from defendant to plaint. The said documents are received and filed in evidence, and plaintiff rests. The defendant is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and offers, certain note and receipt in evidence the same are admitted, The matter is submitted without argument and by the Court taken under advisement.	3 25	30
Oct	26	The Court this day finds for plaintiff for $197.61 + Costs & Int. $1097		

Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A Larson and against the defendant Albert Brown for the sum of $208.58 and for costs of suit taxed at $8.00

Total Judgment $217.58

	7 00
amt	2 00
	9 00

Done in open Court this 26th Oct. 1893.

H Z Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 109.

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. P. Fincher, Plaintiff

vs.

S. K. Lemon, Defendant

Action Promissory note

Demand $ 141 18

Geo E Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Apr	10	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	
"	10	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	10	Writ of attachment issued.	50	
"	20	Defendant by Thompson & King answers denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
"	20	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	20	Paid 6 00
"	20	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	20	
"	28	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs attorney all costs being paid.	50	
			1 00	6 00

H Austin
Justice of the Peace

110

No. 110

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. S. Lane, Plaintiff

vs.

J. J. Stapleton, Defendant

Action Money Loaned

Demand $ 50.00

Dante R. Prince, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
April	10	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Paid 2.00
"	10	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	10	Writ of attachment issued	50	Paid 4.00
	14	Defendant in Court and confesses judgment		
	14	Execution issued		

No. 111

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. L. Austin, Plaintiff

vs.

L. Tipton, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 39 10

E L Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		cha		
Apr	10	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Paid 2 00
"	10	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	Paid 6 00 in fees
"	10	Writ of attachment issued	50	
	17	Judgment satisfied on payment of demand & costs.		
"	19	The above action is this day dismissed all costs being paid.		

A Z Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 112

In the Justice Court of the 3 Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. H. Howe

Plaintiff

vs.

Mr Gallagan

Defendant

Action Civil

Demand $21 90 and Costs

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
April	13	Cause entered Complaint filed. Summons issued	1 25	Paid 25
	13	affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
	13	Writ of attachment issued	50	
	13	Bond filed by Plaintiff for Costs in sum of 50 with W. A. Plate & Frank P Lowe as Sureties		

No. 113

In the Justice Court of the 3 Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Hector Burnes Plaintiff

vs.

J. S Harness et al Defendant

Action Civil

Demand $ 34.50 Cash

J. P. Mery Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Chg		Pd 20
April	13	Cause entered Complaint filed Summons issued	1 20	
	13	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 70	
	13	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	20	Defendant by Horace Hames files answer for Jane Doe Harness	25	
"	21	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	25	
"	21	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	Pd 5.00 May 22.93
"	22	Defendant J S Harness appears and answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
May	17	On motion of plaintiffs cause set for trial on May 20, 1893 at 2 P. M	50	
"	20	On motion of deft trial of this cause continued to May 28, 1893 at 2 P.M	50	
"	19	Subpoena for one witness issued for plaintiffs	25	
"	20	Above subpoena returned and filed	25	
"	22	Above cause continued indefinitely as to J S Harness and on motion of J. P. Mery attorney for Plaintiffs assented to by Horace Harness atty for Defendant Jane Doe Harness this action is dismissed as to Jane Doe Harness	50	
"	27	On motion of plaintiffs attorney and by reason of dismissal this day filed the above action is this day dismissed all costs & demand being paid		

H Z Austin
Justice of the Peace

114

No. 114

In the Justice Court of the 3 Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

O. Seubum, Plaintiff

vs.

J G Holingsem & A Pearson, Defendant

Action Civil

Demand $ 150

S. S. Wright
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
April	14	Complaint filed Summons issued	1 75	
	"	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
	17	Summons served & filed Showing personal Service	25	

No. 115

In the Justice Court of the 3 Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Lewis Wright
Plaintiff

vs.

James M Gibson
Defendant

Action Civil

Demand $ 150 & interest

F. H Shirk
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
April 18	Complaint filed		

116

No. 116

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Richard Sittig, Plaintiff

vs.

W H Throp, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 23675

Geo L. Warlow
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Apr 24	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 20	Paid
" 24	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	5 50
" 24	Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 24	The above cause is this day dismissed on motion of the plaintiffs Attorney, the demand and all cost being paid H z Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 117

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

O Scribner

Plaintiff

vs.

M.C. Boyd and Owen Falloon co-partners as Boyd & Falloon

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 96 83

J. P. Mew
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
April	25	Cause entered complaint filed summons issued &c	1 25	
"	25	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 25	
"	25	Writ of attachment issued	50	
May	1	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy		
"	1	Summons returned and filed showing personal service 4/25.'93	25	
"	1	Alias Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	2	Alias Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	2	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant M.C. Boyd and a copy of the summons on Owen Fallon on the 25th day of April 1893 and the legal time for appearing or answering herein, to wit: five days has fully expired and neither of the said defendants has appeared herein in any manner whatsoever, Now on Motion of J.P. Mew Attorney for Plaintiff It is ordered that the default of the said defendants M.C. Boyd and Owen Fallon, Co partners as Boyd & Fallon be and the same is hereby entered	1 00	
		On further motion of said J.P. Mew It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff O Scribner and against the defendants M.C. Boyd and Owen Fallon co-partners as Boyd and Fallon for $96 83 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $21 10	1 00	7 00
		Total Judgment $117 93	Constables	14 10
		Done in open Court this 2nd April 1893 —		21 10
		H Austin Justice of the Peace		96 83
				117 93
May	4	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const	1 25	
"	5	The above Judgment is satisfied in full this day		
		H Austin Justice of the Peace		

118

No. 118

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L. Escalier

Plaintiff

vs.

H. R. Brickner

Defendant

Action Debt.

Demand $ 25.00

L Escalier

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Apr	26	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	Paid
"	26	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	5.00
"	26	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	26	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of the plaintiff the demand and all costs being paid. H Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 119

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Martin Lindall

Plaintiff

vs.

W D Grady & Chas W Owen & R T Owen
Co-partners as Owen Brothers

Defendant

Action a/c Work

Demand $ 200 00

A M Drew
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Apr	28	Cause entered Complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Paid 2 50
May	1	Summons returned and filed showing personal service 5/1/93	50	
"	6	Wm Conroy as Gallagher and answers herein for all defendants by denying each and every allegation in the Complaint	50	

No. 120

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A R Buckland, Plaintiff

vs.

A. P. Adams and Julia Lynn, Defendant

Action goods sold

Demand $ 30 00

A M Drew, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		chg PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	DEFENDANT.
				Pd 2 50
Apr	28	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
May	8	Defendant A.P. Adams answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
"	8	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
June	1	On motion of plaintiffs attorney the above action is this day dismissed demand and all costs being paid. H Austin Justice of the Peace		Pd 2 50

Wills vs Mathersolo } From pg 123.

order granting the motion, and afterwards to wit on the 24th day of June Geo E Church and D.R. Prince in open Court agree that no order be made on the motion submitted on June 12th and that the Court now hear argument and pass on the motion as though it had never been submitted. The motion is argued submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.

Sept	18	The court this day denies defendants motion to set aside the default herein and Geo E Church notified	1 00	
"	27	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const	1 25	
Oct	3	Execution returned and filed wholly unsatisfied		

No. 121

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Reuben Roberts

Plaintiff

vs.

Lauretta Kreese

Defendant

Action

Demand $ 100—

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
May 3		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 00

No. 122

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. A. Ernst, Plaintiff

vs.

J. E. Hughes and W M Hughes co-partners as J. E. Hughes & Son, Defendants

Action on a/c

Demand $ 219 12

G E Colwell, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
				Pd 2 00
May	4	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	4	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	9	Defendants by L.L. Coy & L W Moultrie file written demurrer	50	
"	12	Above demurrer set for hearing May 17. 1893 at 9:30 a m	50	
"	17	Above demurrer submitted without argument, overruled and defendants given 3 days to answer	70	
"	20	Defendants by their attorneys file written answer	20	
"	24	Now come G.E. Colwell atty for plaintiff and L.W. Moultrie atty for Defendants and in open Court stipulate that defendants answer filed herein May 20. 1893 be withdrawn and on motion of said Attorney for plaintiff It is ordered that said answer be and the same is hereby withdrawn from the records in this action	75	
	24	It appearing to the Court that the time allowed to defendants to answer after their demurrer was overruled to wit: three days has fully expired and that the said defendants have not answered herein. Now on motion of G.E. Colwell Atty for plaintiff It is ordered that the default of the said defendants J.E. Hughes and W.M. Hughes be and the same is hereby entered	1 00	
		On further motion of said G.E. Colwell It is ordered that judgment be entered herein in accordance with the prayer of the complaint:	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the Plaintiff M.A. Ernst and against the defendants J.E. Hughes and W M Hughes co-partners under the firm name of J.E. Hughes & Son for the sum of $ 219 12 and for costs of suit taxed at $9 00	1 00	7.00
			Court	2 00
		Done in open Court this 24th day of May 1893		9 00
				219 12
		H Austin Justice of the Peace		228.12
May	24	By consent a stay of 30 days ordered	50	
July	6	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	

July 13. 1893. Execution returned showing payment of costs to date only.

No. 123

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Harry Wills, Plaintiff

vs.

George F. Mathersale, Defendant

Action Work & Labor

Demand $ 93.00

Thompson & King, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		Chg		Pd 2.50
May	9	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1.25	
"	9	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1.75	
"	9	Writ of attachment issued	.50	
"	15	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	.50	
"	15	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	.25	
June	6	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the summons that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant in the County of Alameda, State of California on the 12th day of May 1893, and that the defendant has not appeared herein by answer, nor in any manner whatsoever, and the legal time for him to appear or answer herein, to wit; twenty days has fully expired. Now on motion of Thompson & King Esqs It is		
		Ordered that the default of the defendant George F. Mathersale be and the same is hereby entered	.75	
		On further motion of said Thompson & King it is ordered that judgment be entered herein by default as prayed for in the complaint	.50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in this action in favor of the plaintiff Harry Wills and against the defendant George F. Mathersale for the sum of $93.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $13.70	1.00	6.50
		Total judgment $106.70	Constables	.20
		Done in open Court this 5th day of June 1893 —		13.70
		H. Austin Justice of the Peace		
June	9	Affidavit & Notice of motion to set aside default filed to be heard June 13, 1893 at 2 P M	.50	
"	13	At the time set Geo E Church and S Jackson in Court No appearance for the plaintiff. The motion is submitted and the Court states that it will make an		

See pg 120

124

No. 124

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

N A Cranmer

Plaintiff

vs.

E. G. Adams

Defendant

Action a/c for Rent

Demand $ 34 75

W. J. Timm

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
May 9	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
" 9	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 9	Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 15	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	

No. 125

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. C. Banta

Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Fries

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 14 60

A C Banta

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
	chg			
May 10		Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
" 10		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 10		Writ of attachment issued	50	

126

No. 126

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Chas A Schweizer
Plaintiff

vs.

W D Rose & Thomas J Patterson
Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $38.00 Int & atty fee

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
	chg			
May	13	Cause entered Complaint filed and Summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 00
"	13	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 25	
"	13	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	13	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	13	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	50	
"	19	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of the plaintiff demand and all costs being paid		Pd 5 00
		A Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 127

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Charles Fritz
Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs Lettie A Kahler
Defendant

Action a/s

Demand $ 105-00

Chas Fritz
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
			Pd 2.50
May 13	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
" 15	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
" 15	Now comes defendant in person and answers denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
" 15	On motion of plaintiff above cause set for trial May 18, 1893, at 9-30 a.m.	50	
" 18	At the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds. Chas Fritz is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and Lettie A Kahler and Geo M Kahler is sworn and testifies in behalf of the defendant. The matter is submitted and the Court finds for the defendant for costs.		

Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendant Lettie A Kahler and against the plaintiff Chas Fritz for costs taxed at $

Az Austin
Justice of the Peace

128

No. 128

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fresno County Abstract Co a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

P. A. Benadom
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 40.00

Frank H Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS. Chq $2.75 pd by pltff 7/13/95	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	DEFENDANT.
				Pd 2.50
May	15	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued (J.G.H.)	1.25	
"	18	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	.50	
"	20	The defendant personally answers, denying each and every allegation in the complaint	.50	

No. 129

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fresno County Abstract Co
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Haber
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 60 00

Frank H Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

Tupper & Welsh
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Chg		Pd 2 50
May	15	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued (JPH)	1 25	
"	20	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
June	7	Defendant by Tupper & Welsh files written demurrer	25	
July	6	On motion of plff. above demurrer is set for hearing July 8, 1893 at 10 30 a m	50	
"	8	demurrer submitted overruled and 2 days to answer	25	
"	10	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	3 75
Nov	4	The above action is this day dismissed by order of plaintiff (J.G. Hart,) and costs paid A J Austin Justice of the Peace		Pd 3 75 Nov 4, 1893

130

No. 130

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Fresno County Abstract Co a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Dusey and Catherine Dusey his wife
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 47 50

Frank H Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
	Cha	$25.00 J.C. Pd by pltff 2/13/95		
May	16	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	22	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	

No. 130

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. S. Clark and K. G. Luke, co-partners as Clark & Luke — Plaintiff

vs.

T. J. May — Defendant

Action Promissory note

Demand $49.55 & $25.00 atty's fees

Horace Hawes and W. H. Payson
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
May 17		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1.25	
" 19		This action is this day dismissed on motion of the attorneys for plaintiff demand and constables fees being paid and justices fees remitted H. Austin Justice of the Peace		

Pd 2.00

132

No. 132

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G B Garbarino

Plaintiff

vs.

E. S. Auburn and Ben Lapisich

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 24 20

G B Garbarino

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Ch 9		
May	18	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	Cs 2 50
"	18	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 70	
"	18	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	19	The above action is this day dismissed all costs being paid		Cs 5 00
		A Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 133

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

O Scribner, Plaintiff

vs.

L F & E. C. Winchell copartnership consisting of L. F. Winchell and E C Winchell, Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $ 249 34

H. M. Johnston, Attorney for Plaintiff

E. C. Winchell, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
				Pd 25
May	18	Complaint filed summons issued and Cause entered	1 20	
"	23	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	25	Now comes defendant E.C. Winchell and files written demurrer	25	
"	29	Now come the defendants and file written answer	25	
"	29	Demurrer submitted overruled and answer at once demd	25	
		~~Defendants file written answer~~		
Oct	12	Trial of this Cause set for Nov 7, 1893 at 9-9 M on motion of plaintiff	50	
Nov	7	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds. James B Ford is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff and documentary evidence introduced and L F Winchell and E.C. Winchell are sworn and testify in behalf of defendants. The matter is submitted on briefs to be hereafter filed	4 50	
"	13	Defendants file brief	25	
"	17	Plaintiff files brief.	25	
Dec	11	The court this day finds for plaintiff as prayed for	25	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff O Scribner and against the defendants L. F. & E. C. Winchell a co-partnership consisting of L. F Winchell and E. C. Winchell for the sum of $249 34 and for costs of suit taxed at $ 12 55	1 00	9 70
		Total judgment $ 261 89	Cost	2 80
				12 55
		Done in open Court this 11th Dec 1893		
		H Austin		
		Justice of the Peace		
Dec	13	Notice of Judgment filed	50	
"	26	Execution issued & delivered to O Scribner	1 25	
Feb	26	Execution renewed	50	
Mch	1	Execution returned & filed partly satisfied all costs & $37 Pd.		
May	18	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const	1 25	
June	26	Execution returned and filed wholly satisfied		

$9 00 of Justices fees paid this 21st Feb 1894 by Shaw

No. 134

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. G. Ferguson, Plaintiff

vs.

F. G. Noyes, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 24 25

W G Ferguson, Attorney for Plaintiff

D. R. Prince, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	Chg.	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
				Pd 2 50
May 20		Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
" 20		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
" 20		Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 22		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
" 22		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
" 25		Now comes D R Prince atty and demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint saying that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
Aug 30		On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing Sept 2, 1893 - 10 a m	50	
Sept 2		The above demurrer submitted overruled & answer ordered in 2 days	75	
" 6		It appearing to the Court that the notice of the overruling of demurrer and time given to answer was duly served on the defendant and his attorney and that he has not answered herein and the time given therefor has fully expired. Now on motion of the said plaintiff It is ordered that the default of the said defendant F. G. Noyes be and the same is hereby entered	35	
		On further motion of said plaintiff It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. G. Ferguson and against the defendant F. G. Noyes for the sum of $24 25 and for costs of suit taxed at $13 25 Total judgment $37 50	1 00	8 25
			Const	5 00
				13 25
		Done in open Court this 6th Sept. 1893.		
		H. Austin Justice of the Peace.		
Sept 7		Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
Nov 7		Execution returned and filed wholly unsatisfied		

No. 135

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

O Scribner

Plaintiff

vs.

G. R. Flournoy

Defendant

Action 2 Prom notes

Demand $ 141 1/2 Int & attys fees

J. P. Meux

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
May 22	Chg Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Pd 1 50

136

No. 136

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

George H Frederick, Plaintiff

vs.

John Rattger, Defendant

Action Trespass vc

Demand $ 128 25

Geo L. Warlow, Attorney for Plaintiff

S J Hinds, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg.	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
					0	25
May	25		Cause entered complaint filed ~~& Summ~~	1	25
"	31		Now comes the defendant by Sam J Hinds his attorney and files written demurrer		25
"	31		Defendant files notice of Motion to strike out to be heard June 6, 1893		25
June	1		On motion of pltff above demur set for hearing June 6. 1893, 9 a m		50
"	6		Above motion argued submitted and denied		50
"	6		Above demurrer argued submitted and overruled		50
Aug	1		Defendant files written answer		25

No. 137 Index

In the Justices Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Wm Fahey, Plaintiff

vs.

A D Maikail, Defendant

Action IOU

Demand $ 20.00

J E Doolittle, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
	Clq			
May 25		Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	Pd 2.50
" 25		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	Pd 5.50
" 25		Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 26		The above action is this day dismissed on motion of the plaintiff demand & costs paid.		
		H Z Austin, Justice of the Peace.		

No. 138

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

O Scribner, Plaintiff

vs.

P. A Efird, Defendant

Action Prom Note

Demand $ 113 25

J. P. Meux, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	Chg-	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
May 26		Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
June 12		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
" 24		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a copy thereof attached to a copy of the Complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at the County of Fresno on the 12th day of June 1893. and that the said defendant has failed to answer or appear herein in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor has fully expired, to wit ten days. Now on Motion of the said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendant P.A. Efird be and the same is hereby entered	70	
		On further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the plaintiff have Judgment as prayed for	50	
		Whereon Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff O. Scribner and against the defendant P.A. Efird for the sum of $124.00 and for Costs of suit taxed at $11.50	1 40	4 40
		Total Judgment $135.50	Cost	7 10
		Done in open Court this 24th June 1893		
		A. Austin Justice of the Peace		
July 5		Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 20	

No. 139

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. O. Mann, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm G Hicks, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 46 40

O L Events, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
				Pd 2.00
May	26	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	26	affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	26	Writ of attachment issued	50	
July	3	Summons returned and filed showing personal service 6/30/93	50	
"	3	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	50	
"	21	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant herein in the County of San Luis Obispo state of California on the 30th day of July 1893, and that the said defendant has not appeared or answered herein and the legal time therefor to wit: twenty days has fully expired. Now on motion of O. L. Events it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Wm G. Hicks be and the same is hereby entered		Paid 5.00 7/26/93
			75	
		On further motion of said O. L. Events it is ordered that judgment be entered accordance with prayer of the complaint	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff J. O. Mann and against the defendant Wm G. Hicks for the sum of $46 40 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $13 05	1 00	6 75
		Total judgment $59 45	Constables	6 30
		Done in open Court this 21st July 1893		13 05
		H. Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	21	Execution issued and delivered to Mr Shaw	1 25	
"	29	Execution returned and filed wholly satisfied		

140

No. 140

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. C. Scott, Plaintiff

vs.

Democratic County Central Committee J. C. Callyer and J. M. McClure, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $52.00

J C Scott, Attorney for Plaintiff

L. L. Coy and L W Moultrie, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
				Pd 2.50
May	26	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1.25	
"	27	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	.50	
"	31	Now comes L. W. Moultrie and demurs to plaintiffs complaint on the ground that the same does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against the defendants.	.50	
June	2	On motion of plff above demurrer set for hearing June 5, 1893 at 1.30 P. M.	.50	
"	5	At the time set the demurrer is submitted sustained and 7 days to amend	.75	
"	12	Amended complaint filed	.25	
"	13	Defendants demur to the amended complaint on the ground that the same does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	.50	
July	5	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing July 8. 1893 at 10 - A. M.	.50	
"	8	Demurrer submitted overruled and two days to answer	.75	
"	10	Now comes L. W. Moultrie attorney for defendants served with process and answers herein denying each and every allegation in plaintiffs amended complaint	.50	
"	12	On motion of plff trial of this cause set for July 15. 1893 at 9-30 A M	.50	
"	15	On motion of plff. trial continued to July 18. 1893. at 1-30 P. M	.50	
"	18	On motion of plff matter continued to July 20. 1893 at 2. P. M	.50	
"	18	Subpoena issued for four witnesses for plaintiff	1.00	
"	18	Above subpoena returned and filed	.25	
"	20	On motion of plaintiff trial of this cause continued sine die	.50	
Aug		Alias summons on amended complaint issued	.50	
"	2	Motion of Wm Fahey to quash summons filed 8/2/93.	.25	
"	15	Special appearance of S S Wright and motion to quash, filed 8/15/93	.25	
"	15	Summons (alias) returned and filed showing personal service	.25	
1893 July	3	The above cause is this day dismissed and plaintiff paid in full.		

H Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 141

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Chas N. Barnett, John D. Hicks and Fred N. Fisher Co-partners as Barnett Hicks & Co
Plaintiffs

vs.

The Levis Bar Mining Company, a Corporation and M Levis
Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $ 91 35

Frank H Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

J A Burns
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
				Pd 2 00
May	29	Cause entered Complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
June	13	Now comes J A Burns and answers denying each and every allegation in the complaint contained	50	
"	14	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	25	
Sept	20	Motion of pltff above case set for trial Sept 27. 1893 at 9-9 am	50	2 50

142

No. 142

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L F Winchell as Captain of Company C. 6th Regt of Infantry 3rd Brigade N. G. S. Plaintiff

vs.

A. S. Pabst

Defendant

Action Claim and delivery

Demand $ 25.00

Leo F Winchell
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
May	31	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	
"	31	affidavit and undertaking on claim & delivery made	1 70	
June	5	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	25	
"	5	affidavit and undertaking returned and filed and property turned into Court	50	

No. 143

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Kings River Lumber Company a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

N. View
Defendant

Action Promissory Note

Demand $216.07 Int + $40 attyfees

D. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
				Ps 2.00
June	2	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	1 00	
"	19	It appearing to the Court from the return and affidavit of Wm Dunlap endorsed on the original summons that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant herein on the 10th day of June 1893 at this the 3rd Township, and that the said defendant N. View has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, Now on motion of D. M. Seaton Esq attorney for plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendant N. View be and the same is hereby entered		Costs paid by Plaintiff
			75	
"	19	D. M. Seaton and J. P. Ryan are sworn and testify for the plaintiffs and note offered and received & filed in evidence	1 25	
		From the testimony adduced the Court orders Judgment for plaintiff for $227.12 and $40.00 attorney fees & Costs	50	
"	19	Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of The Kings River Lumber Company, a corporation and against N. View the defendant for the sum of $267.12 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $9.25	1 00	5 75
		Total Judgment $276.37	Constable	3 50
		Done in open Court this 19th day of June 1893 —		
		A. Austin Justice of the Peace		
July	1	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	95	

144

No. 144

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. J. Dickey, Plaintiff

vs.

Etienne Sarrazin, Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $299

Tupper & Welsh, Attorney for Plaintiff

S. R. Hart, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
June	2		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	Oct 2 .70
July	28		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
July	31		Now comes S. R. Hart and demurs orally to the complaint on the ground that the same does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
Aug	30		On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing Sept 2. 1893, 10 a.m.	50	
Sept	2		demurrer submitted overruled and answer ordered at once	75	
"	2		Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint	50	
1894 Mch	26		By consent of attorneys in open Court Cause set for trial Mch 31, 1894 at 9 a.m.	50	
"	31		at the time set, the plaintiff in person and the defendants Attorney are in Court and the trial proceeds. W. J. Dickey is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. The defendant offers no testimony and the Court orders judgment for plaintiff as prayed for	100	Oct 2. 94 Justice's fees paid
"	31		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. J. Dickey and against the defendant Etienne Sarrazin for the sum of $299.00 and for the costs of this action taxed at $9.90.	100	6 50
				Const	3 40
					9 90

Total judgment $308.90

Done in open Court this 31st Mch 1894

H. Z. Austin

Justice of the Peace

No. 145

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Wm Shaw, Plaintiff

vs.

David Lechlitner, Defendant

Action on Bond

Demand $ 243.40

D. M. Beaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
June	2	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1.25	
"	2	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1.75	
"	2	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	7	Defendant by Church & Walser files written demurrer	25	
"	7	Defendant files motion to dismiss attachment to be heard June 8, 1893 - at 2 P. M.	50	
"	8	Now comes the defendant personally and moves the Court to dismiss the above action, Motion granted and this action is hereby dismissed. H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace.	Fees not paid	

Dl 2.00

146

No. 146

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Moore Hunt & Co, a Corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

Z Olmstead and Joseph Sheldon, Co-partners as Olmstead & Sheldon
Defendant

Action Goods sold and delivered

Demand $ 163 –

H. H. Hotaling
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 3		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
				Pd 2.50
June	3	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 20	
"	3	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 70	
"	3	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	5	Summons returned and filed showing personal service on defts	50	
"	5	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
July	25	On motion of plaintiff and for the reason that the defendants have been declared insolvent the above action is this day dismissed all costs being paid		Pd 3.50
		H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 147

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

George Mathiesen
Plaintiff

vs.

D. L. Grainger
Defendant

Action Work and Labor

Demand $ 900

A E Snow
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
			Ad 2.00
June 3	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	2.25	
" 5	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
" 8	Defendant by O L Evans files written demurrer	25	
" 8	On motion of A E Snow above demurrer set for hearing June 8, 1893 at 10 a.m.	50	
" 8	Above demurrer argued submitted and overruled 2 days to Ans	75	
" 9	Defendant files written answer and counter claim	25	
" 9	Plaintiff answers counterclaim orally denying each and every allegation in the cross complaint	25	
" 9	On motion of plaintiff above cause set for trial June 13, 1893 at 9-30 a.m.	50	

148

No. 148

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F H Williamson

Plaintiff

vs.

H G Thompson and F E. Smith Co-partners as Thompson & Smith

Defendants

Action Goods sold & delivered

Demand $ 93 36

H H Holding

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
					Ad 25
June	5		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	
"	5		affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 70	
"	5		Writ of Attachment issued	50	
"	7		On motion of the attorney for plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed		2 50 in full
			H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 149

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G T Roberts, Plaintiff

vs.

John Batteri, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 13 50

J E Woolitte
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
June	6		Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	P 3 50
"	6		Affidavit and undertaking made & filed for attachment	1 75	
"	6		Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	7		On motion of the Plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed the demand and all costs being paid.		P 5 00
			H Austin Justice of the Peace		

150

No. 150

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Henry Gundlefinger
Plaintiff

vs.

Sam Zetz
Defendant

Action Rent

Demand $43.00

F H Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
					Pd 2.50
June	9		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	
"	9		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	25	
"	13		Defendant in person demurs because the complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	20	
July	6		On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing on July 8, 1893 at 10-30	00	
"	8		Demurrer submitted overruled and two days to answer	70	
"	10		Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
Sept	20		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 95	3.00
"	20		Writ of attachment issued	50	

No. 151

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. G. Ferguson, Plaintiff

vs.

Ed Austin, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 30 50

E W Risley
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
					Pd 2 50
June	9		Cause entered Complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	9		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	9		Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	28		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	50	
July	15		It appearing to the Court that the defendant has filed his petition in insolvency, the above action is this day dismissed without prejudice on compromise		

A z Austin
Justice of the Peace

Paid By R A Austin $20 00
Justices Costs 5 00
Constables 5 00
to plaintiff 10 00 20 00

My fees to be applied on my bill at Fergo.

152

No. 152

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. L. Horn — Plaintiff

vs.

A D Maikail — Defendant

Action Note & a/c

Demand $ 68 55 & atty's fee of $25 —

D M Seaton — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
June	12		Cause entered Complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	6 25
"	12		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment filed & made	1 25	
"	12		Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	12		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	25	
"	12		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	20		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer endorsed on the original summons that a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served on the said defendant A. D. Maikail at this the 3rd Township on June 12, 1893, and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and that the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, Now on motion of D. M. Seaton Esq attorney for plaintiff, it is ordered that the default of the said defendant A. D. Maikail be and the same is hereby entered	75	
	20		D M Seaton and John C Duel sworn for plaintiff and the promissory note introduced in evidence, From the evidence adduced the Court fixes $25 00 as an atty fee and orders judgment as prayed for	1 25 50 50	
			Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff T. L. Horn and against the defendant A. D. Maikail for the sum of $93 95 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $16 50	1 00	8 00
			Total judgment $110 45	Constables	8 50
			Done in open Court this 20th June 1893		16 50
			A z Austin Justice of the Peace		
June	20		Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	
"	26		Execution returned and filed partially satisfied to wit: all costs and $4 00 paid on just g h.		

No. 153

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James A Cavin
Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs Cora Boland
Defendant

Action Promissory Note

Demand $61 00 & 30 atty fee

A. M. Drew
Attorney for Plaintiff

F H Short
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
				Pd 2 50
June	13	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	17	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	20	
"	22	Now comes Frank H Short and demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint because same does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
July	5	On motion of pltff above demurrer set for hearing July 8, 1893 at 10-30 a m	50	
"	8	Demurrer submitted overruled and two days to answer	75	
"	10	Defendant answers denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
"	11	On motion of pltff above cause set for trial July, 18, 1893 at 9-30 a m	50	
"	18	On motion of Dfdt trial of this cause continued sine die	50	

154

No. 154

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. Goth, Plaintiff

vs.

Jac Enos, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $40- & Int

J E Doolittle, Attorney for Plaintiff

Sayle & Coldwell, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
				Pd 2 50
June	14	Cause entered Complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	
"	28	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
July	3	Now comes defendant by Sayle & Caldwell and file demurrer (written)	25	
"	10	Above demurrer set for hearing July 12, 1893 at 9-30 A.M. on motion of plff.	50	
"	12	Demurrer submitted overruled & two days to answer	75	
"	13	Written answer filed	25	
Aug	5	On motion of plaintiff above cause set for trial Aug 18, 1893 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	14	On motion of defendant trial continued to Aug 23, 1893 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	23	By consent trial continued without day	50	
Oct	18	Stipulation & Deposition filed	25	
Nov	3	By consent trial of above case set for Nov 11, 1893 at 1 P.M.	50	
"	11	At the time set all parties are in Court and the trial proceeds, M. Goth is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff and Jac Enos and W. J. Patterson are sworn and testify for Defendant. J. A. C. Lerpa is sworn as Portuguese interpreter. The matter is submitted without argument and the Court finds for plaintiff as prayed for	1 50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff M. Goth and against the defendant Jac. Enos for the sum of $46.40, being $40.00 principal and $6.40 accrued interest, and for costs of suit taxed at $11.05	1 00	8 25 2 80 11 05
		Total Judgment $57.45		
		Done in open Court this 11th Nov 1893.		
		A. Austin, Justice of the Peace		
	11	Above Judgment credited with $40.00 all costs & $30 pd on judgt		
1894 Oct	25	Abstract of judgment made to Goth	1 00	

No. 155

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Roberts, Plaintiff

vs.

Thomas Campbell, Defendant

Action Prom note & deed

Demand $155 & 30.00 atty fees

F H Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

E S Van Meter
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
				Ad 2.50
June	14	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	14	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	14	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	17	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	25	
"	17	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	22	Now comes Deft by E S Van Meter and files written demurrer	25	
July	6	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing July 8, 93 at 10-30 a.m.	50	
"	8	Demurrer submitted overruled and 2 days to answer	75	
"	8	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint	50	
"	10	On motion of plaintiff above cause set for trial July 17, 1893 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	17	At the time set the plaintiff is in Court. The defendant makes no appearance. After waiting one hour and on motion of F H Short, it is ordered that the default of the defendant Thomas Campbell be and the same is hereby entered	75	
		F H Short is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff and one document is offered and filed in evidence.	100	
		From the testimony adduced the Court orders that judgment be entered in accordance with the prayer of plaintiffs complaint	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff James Roberts and against the defendant Thomas Campbell for the sum of $227.50 (viz: Principal promissory note $155.00 Interest $22.50 atty fee $25.00 account $25.00) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $20.10	100	9 70
		Total Judgment $247.60	Constables	10 40
				20 10
		Done in open Court this 17th July 1893		
		A Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	17	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to F H Short	75	

No. 156

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. P. Ryan
Plaintiff

vs.

The Yost Type Writing Co alias
The Yost Writing Machine Co.
Defendant

Action Replevin

Demand $

R B Fierry
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Clg		Pd 2.50
June	16	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1.20	
"	28	Summons returned & filed showing service at San Francisco June 17.1893	.50	
July	10	It appearing to the Court from the return of the Sheriff of the City and County of San Francisco that a copy of the summons herein attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on L. H. Condon, the business agent of the said defendant, at the City and County of San Francisco on the 17th day of June 1893, and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit; twenty day has fully expired, Now on motion of the said defendant it is ordered that the default of the said defendant The Yost Type Writing Machine Co, be and the same is hereby entered	.75	
Aug	19	Plaintiff files substitution of attorney (himself)	.25	
Dec	5	The plaintiff in open Court asks permission to amend his complaint herein by substituting the name "The Yost Writing Machine Co" instead of The Yost Type Writing Co. The motion is granted and the amendment made by interlineation in all papers and docket	.75	
"	5	The plaintiff in Court for the purpose of making proofs herein and is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. from which testimony the Court finds for the plaintiff as follows: viz: For the delivery to the plaintiff by the defendant of the personal property described in the complaint or its value, for judgment for $30.00 damages and for money expended in the pursuit of said property and for costs of suit: Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff T. P. Ryan and against the defendant The Yost Writing	1.20 4.70	

No. 157

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J A Rose
Plaintiff

vs.

F J Hammond and S B Bates
copartners as Hammond & Bates
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 63 14

S W Henry
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
				Pd 2 50
June	17	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	17	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	17	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	28	On motion of the plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed. Az Austin Justice of the Peace	Justices fees 5 50 not pd.	

machine Co as follows viz:

1st For the delivery to the said plaintiff by the said defendant of the following chattels viz: one Yost type writing machine, and in case delivery thereof cannot be had, then for the sum of $100- which the Court finds to be the value thereof

2nd For the sum of $30.00 damages for the detention of said property and money expended in the pursuit of the same and

3rd for costs of suit herein taxed at $. 7 70

Done in open Court this 5th ~~Nov~~ Dec 1893

Az Austin
Justice of the Peace

4 70
Cost 3 00
7 70

158

No. 158

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John McCarthy, Plaintiff

vs.

Frank V. Silva, Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 299—

J G Rhodes, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
				Pd 2.50
June	20	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	
"	30	Summons returned and filed without service	20	
"	30	The plaintiff files amended complaint after good cause shown and by leave of Court showing change of name of plaintiff to I N Hyde and C. Van Valer	25	
"	30	Summons issued on amended complaint	50	
July	1	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	5	Now comes deft by F H Short and files written demurrer	20	
"	5	Defendant files offer to allow Judgment for $25.00	20	
"	6	On motion of pltff above demurrer set for hearing on July 8.1893 at 10-30 a m	50	
"	8	Demurrer argued sustained & ~~amen~~ complaint amended by interlineation & 2 days to plead	75	
"	10	Defendant demurs orally to the amended complaint on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	10	Above demurrer set for hearing July 13.1893 at 9-30 a m on motion of pltff	50	
"	13	Demurrer submitted overruled & 2 days given to answer	75	
"	15	Defendant answers & denies each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
"	17	On motion of pltff above case set for trial July 27.1893. at 10. a m	50	
"	18	Subpoena for 5 witnesses issued for plaintiffs	1 25	
"	27	Above subpoena returned and filed showing service	25	
"	27	At the time set all parties are in Court with their Counsel and announce themselves ready to proceed with the trial. C. Van Valer, J D Ellis, E. A. Manning are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiffs and Frank Silva, John — and Joseph Prager are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant and I. N. Hyde is sworn in rebuttal for plaintiff. The matter is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement.	350	
Aug	16	The Court this day finds for plaintiffs in the sum of Eighty dollars. Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs I. N.	100	13.75

See next page 159

No. 159

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. M. Drew, Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Beall, Defendant

Action on stated acct goods

Demand $ 36 80

A. M. Drew
Attorney for Plaintiff

Tupper Welsh
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
June	22	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	Pd 2 50
"	22	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	25	
"	27	Now comes the defendant by H H Welsh and demurs to plaintiffs complaint on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
July	17	On motion of plff above demurrer set for hearing July 20, 1893 at 10 a m	50	
"	20	The demurrer is submitted overruled and 2 days to answer	75	Pd 3 25 in full
"	22	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
Aug	8	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of the plaintiff on compromise H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		

I. N. Hyde et al vs Frank V. Silva } From pg 158.

Hyde and C. Van Valer, and against the defendant Frank V. Silva for the sum of $80.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $39 25

Total Judgment $ 119 25

Done in open Court this August 16th 1893

H Z Austin
Justice of the Peace

Oct 13 The above judgment satisfied in full this day

J. R. Rhodes
atty for Plaintiff

Attest
H Z Austin
Justice of the Peace.

No. 160

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G Brainard

Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Spinney

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 1 80

J E Doolittle

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
June 24		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
" 28		Summons returned and filed showing personal service 6/23/93 ?	50	
July 1		On motion of J E Doolittle the above action is this day dismissed demand and costs being paid		Pd 2 00
		A Z Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 161

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S W Henry, Plaintiff

vs.

James Hutchison and Mrs James Hutchison, Defendant

Action A/S

Demand $ 10.00

A. C. Hughey, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
				Pd 2.50
June	24	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1.25	
"	24	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1.75	
"	24	Writ of attachment issued	.50	
"	28	Summons returned and filed showing personal service 6/24/93	.25	
"	28	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	.25	
"	30	Defendants file affidavit & notice of Mo to release attachment	.50	
"	30	Set for hearing July 1, 1893 at 11, a.m. on mo of Deft.	.50	
July	1	At time set Motion is submitted and granted	.50	
"	1	It appearing to the Court that a copy of the summons and complaint was personally served on the defendants James Hutchison and Mrs James Hutchison on the 24th day of June 1893, and that they nor either of them have not appeared or answered herein in any manner whatsoever, and the legal time therefor to wit; five days has fully expired Now on motion of S W Henry said plaintiff It is ordered that the default of the said defendants be and the same is hereby entered	.75	
		On further motion of said plaintiff It is ordered that judgment be entered as prayed for	.50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants James Hutchison and Mrs James Hutchison for the sum of ten (10) dollars and for costs of suit taxed at $12.75		
		Total judgment $ 22.75	10.00	7.75
		Done in open Court this 1st day of July 1893		5.00
		A. Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 162

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. O. Mann, Plaintiff

vs.

J W Crow, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $50.00

Frank H Short, Attorney for Plaintiff

A M Drew, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
				Pd 2.50
June	24	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1.25	
"	28	Summons returned and filed showing personal service 6/26/93	.50	
"	30	Now comes defendant by A M Drew and demurs orally on the grounds that the ~~petit~~ complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	.50	
July	6	On motion of plff above demurrer set for hearing July 8. 93 at 10:30 a m	.50	
"	8	Demurrer submitted overruled and 2 days to answer	.75	
"	8	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint contained	.50	
1894 Mch	1	By consent & on motion of A. M Drew the trial of this case is set for this day at 2 - P. M	.50	
"	1	At the time set, the plaintiff is in Court and after waiting one full hour the plaintiff proceeded to try the case. The note sued on is introduced in evidence, and the Court orders judgment as prayed for	.75	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of plaintiff J. O. Mann and against the defendant J. W. Crow for the sum of $58.39 (Principal $50.00 Interest $8.39) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $8.25	100 / Const	6.25 / 2.00
		Total judgment $66.64		8.25
		Done in open Court this 1st Mch 1894		
		H Z Austin, Justice of the Peace		

No. 163

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Kee Len, Plaintiff

vs.

Geo W. Smith Sierra Vista Vineyard Company a corporation Geo West and Frank West Co-partners as Geo West & Son, Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $ ~~152.00~~ 159.20

Geo W. Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

G. L. Warlow
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
June	26	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 20	Pd 2.50
"	29	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
July	5	Defendant by G.L. Warlow answers orally denying each and every allegation in plaintiffs complaint	50	
Dec	11	Defendant asks and is granted permission to file amended complaint.	50	
"	13	Amended complaint filed and summons issued thereon	75	
"	18	Defts Geo W. Smith answers amended complaint orally denying each and every allegation therein contained	25	
Mch	6	It appearing to the Court from the return annexed to the summons issued on the amended complaint, that a copy thereof attached to a true copy of the complaint and a copy of the certificate of the Clerk of Fresno County thereto attached was personally served on the defendants George West & Son, Co-partners, by delivering to and leaving with George West, one of said Co-partners copies as aforesaid at the County of San Joaquin State of California, and that the said defendants have not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time thereof, to wit: twenty days has fully expired, Now on motion of Geo W. Jones it is ordered that the default of the said defendant George West & Son, Co-partners as aforesaid be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said Geo W. Jones it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Kee Len and against the defendants Geo West and Frank West co partners doing business under the firm name of Geo West & Son for the sum of $159.20 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $11.20	100	6 20
		Total judgment 170.40		
		Done in open Court this 6th March 1894		
		A. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Mch	8	Memorandum of costs filed	25	
"	19	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to G W Jones	95	

The within judgment is this day satisfied in full by payment. Fresno Mch 24, 1894. Geo. W. Jones

164

No. 164

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

P. P. Ryan

Plaintiff

vs.

The Gould Ditch Co. a Corporation

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 299

P. P. Ryan in proper

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
June 27		Cause entered & Complaint filed	1 00	Pd 2 00

No. 165

In the Justice's Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Maurice Rorphuro, Plaintiff

vs.

A. O. Roscoe, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 36 75

Maurice Rorphuro
Attorney for Plaintiff in propa

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
July	3	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	PD 2 00

166

No. 166

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. R. Jacobs
Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs A. M. Dilwood
Defendant

Action Replevin

Demand $ 40 00

W R Jacobs
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Chg		Pd 2 50
July	3	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	
"	3.	Affidavit and undertaking on claim & Delivery made & filed	1 75	
"	3.	Order for delivery of property made & filed	50	
"	5	Summons returned and filed showing service 7/8/93	25	
"	10	Affidavit and order for delivery of property returned and filed showing property claimed delivered to plaintiff	50	
"	10	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons herein attached to a copy of the complaint together with copies of the undertaking affidavit and order for the delivery of the property described in the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on July 3. 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and the legal time therefor ~~has~~ to wit: five days has fully expired. Now on motion of W. R. Jacobs the default of the defendant Mrs A. M Dilwood is hereby entered	50	
		The plaintiff is sworn and testifies and the bill of sale is offered and received in evidence	50	
		From the evidence adduced the Court orders that judgment be entered in favor of plaintiff for the horse sued for and for costs.	25	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant for the delivery of one certain bay horse named Joly to the plaintiff and for costs of suit taxed at $10 50	.00	6 50
				4 00

H Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 167

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. L. West, Plaintiff

vs.

John Neut, Defendant

Action on

Demand $ 13 60

H. L. West in person
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.		Defendant.
					Ad 2 50
July	8	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1	25	
"	8	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1	75	
"	8	Writ of attachment issued		50	
"	8	Summons returned and filed showing personal service		25	
"	8	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy		25	
"	15	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 8th day of August 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired. Now on motion of said H. L. West It is ordered that the default of the said defendant John Neut be and the same is hereby entered		75	
		On further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for		50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff H. L. West and against the defendant John Neut for the sum of $13 60 and for costs of suit taxed at $. 11 25		100	6 25
		Total judgment $ 24 85		Cnst	5 00

Done in open Court this 15th July 1893

H. Austin Justice of the Peace.

168

No. 168

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W D Hill

Plaintiff

vs.

D Macy

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 49 50

W D Hill in person
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Chg		Pd 2 50
July	8	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	8	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	8	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	10	On motion of plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed demand and all costs being paid A Austin Justice of the Peace		Pd 5 50

No. 169

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

George S. Mannel, Plaintiff

vs.

J. W. Crow, Defendant

Action Damages

Demand $ 200 00

Geo E Church, Attorney for Plaintiff

A. M Drew, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
				Ad 2 50
July	10	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	10	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	15	Now comes defendant by A. M Drew and demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint on the ground that the same does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	19	On motion of plff above demurrer set for hearing July 22. 1893 at 10 a m	50	
"	22	Demurrer submitted overruled and answer ordered at once	75	
"	22	Defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
Aug	7	On motion of plaintiff above cause set for trial Aug 11, 1893 at 9 a m	50	
"	11	At the time set all parties with their attorneys are in Court. The defendant in open court offers to allow judgment to be entered herein in favor of the plaintiff and against himself for the sum of fifty dollars, and the plaintiff in open Court accepts such offer	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff herein George S Mannel and against the defendant J. W. Crow for the sum of $50 00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $15 20	1 00	6 00
		Total judgment $65 20	Constable	2 60
		Done in open Court this 11th Aug. 1893	Witness	6 60
		A. J. Austin, Justice of the Peace		15 20
	11	Cost bill filed	25	
1894 Mch	12	Assignment of above judgment to John M Church filed	25	

170

No. 170

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Jay P. Noble, Plaintiff

vs.

John M. Ryan, Defendant

Action assigned a/c,

Demand $ 187.50

Dante R. Prince, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
	Chq			Pd 2.50
July	10	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1.25	
"	10	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1.75	
"	10	Writ of attachment issued	.50	
"	11	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	.25	
"	11	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	.25	
"	13	Now comes defendant personally demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint and states that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	.50	
"	13	By consent hearing of above demurrer fixed for July 13. 1893 at 1-30 P. M.	.50	
"	13	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the demurrer is submitted overruled and defendant ordered to answer at once	.75	
"	13	Defendant in Court and refuses to answer,	.25	
		whereupon, on Motion of Dante R. Prince the default of the defendant John M. Ryan is ordered and is hereby entered	.75	Pd 9.75
		On further motion of said Dante R. Prince it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	1.50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Jay P. Noble and against the defendant John M. Ryan for the sum of $ 187.50 and for costs taxed at $33.25	1.00	8.25
		Total judgment $ 220.75	Amt	17.50
		Done in open Court this 13th day of July 1893.		25.75
		A Z Austin, Justice of the Peace	Recpn	7.50
				33.25
July	13	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1.25	
"	19	Execution returned and filed fully satisfied		

The within judgment satisfied in full this 19th day of July 1893
A Z Austin Justice of the Peace

No. 171,

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo W. Lockwood, Plaintiff

vs.

N. A. Cramner, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 10.50

Geo W. Lockwood in person, Attorney for Plaintiff

W. J. Timm, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
				Pd 20
July	12	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	15	Defendant files written demurrer	25	
"	15	Defendant files written answer	25	
"	17	On motion of plff above cause set for trial July 20 1893 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	17	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	20	On motion of Deft trial continued to July 25, 1893 at 10 A.M.	50	
"	25	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds Geo W Lockwood, Mrs Annie Lockwood and W.J. Rinaberger are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff, and N.A. Cramner, Mg Byrd and John Foyer are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant. The matter is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement	1 75	
1894 May	9	The Court this day finds for plaintiff in the sum of $5.25		25
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Geo W. Lockwood and against the defendant N A Cramner for the sum of $5.25 and for Costs of suit herein taxed at $8.25	1 00	6 25
		Total Judgment $13.50		
		Done in open Court this 9th day of May 1894		
		H Z Austin, Justice of the Peace		

172

No. 172

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John T. Haviland
Plaintiff

vs.

Frank Satterfield
Defendant

Action a/c —

Demand $ 80.00

Geo E Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
				Pd 2.50
July	14	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	14	Affidavit & undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	14	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	14	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	25	
"	14	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	21	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 14th day of July 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired. Now on motion of Geo E Church It is ordered that the default of the said defendant Frank Satterfield be and the same is hereby entered.	75	
		On further motion of said Geo E Church It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein in accordance with the prayer of the Complaint	50	
		Wherefore judgment is hereon rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff John T. Haviland and against the defendant Frank Satterfield for the sum of $80.00 and for costs of suit taxed at $45.35	1 00	6 75
		Total judgment $128.35	Constables	38 60
				45 35
		Done in open Court this 21st July 1893		
		H. Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	21	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Thom Const	1 25	
Sept	6	Execution returned and filed partially satisfied all costs paid + 37.60 pd on demand Bal due $42.40		

No. 173

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Frank A Serpa
Plaintiff

vs.

Samuel Rowe
Defendant

Action Promissory note

Demand $ 110.00 + Int

Frank A Serpa
Attorney for Plaintiff

Tupper + Welsh
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
July 19		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
" 19		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
" 24		Now comes H H Welsh and demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint herein and says that the same does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
Aug 8		On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing Aug 15, 1893 at 10 A M	50	
" 15		The demurrer is submitted overruled + 2 days to Answer	75	
" 15		The defendant answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	4 00 Pd

No. 174

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J J Snyder, Plaintiff

vs.

M B Curtis and Mrs M B Curtis, his wife, Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $ 75.00

Thompson & Prince, Attorney for Plaintiff

Geo E Church, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
July	19	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	D 2 50
"	24	Now comes Geo E Church atty for Defts and demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint herein on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	26	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	26	Defendants file offer of judgment for $45.00	25	
"	31	On motion of plaintiffs above demurrer set for Aug 1, 1893 at 10 A M	50	
Aug	1	The demurrer submitted overruled and answer ordered at once	75	
"	1	The defendants answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
Aug	5	On motion of plaintiff above cause set for trial July 12, 1893 at 1-3 P M	50	
"	12	At the time set the ~~plaintiff~~ defendants filed affidavit for and moved the Court for a continuance. The matter is submitted and cause ordered continued to Aug 26, 1893 at 1-30 P M	75	
"	26	At the time set the plaintiff and his Counsel are present. There is no appearance on behalf of the defendants. After waiting one full hour and on motion of D R Prince, It is ordered that the default of the said defendants M B. Curtis and Mrs M B Curtis his wife be and the same is hereby entered	100	
		J. J Snyder is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and after hearing such testimony the Court on motion of D R Prince orders that judgment be entered for plaintiff for $74.40 and for costs –	75	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. J Snyder and against the defendants M B. Curtis and Mrs M B Curtis his wife for the sum of $74.40 and costs taxed at $9.80	1 00	7 00
		Total judgment $84.20		2 80
		Done in open Court this 26th Aug 1893 –		9 80
		A Austin Justice of the Peace		74 40
				84 20
Sept	25	Undertaking and notice of appeal filed	1 50	
Oct	8	Notice of Exception to sureties on above undertaking filed		
"	13	Undertaking filed Sureties justified in the presence of Plaintiffs counsel	1 50	
		Transcript and papers on appeal		

No. 175

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Maurice Rorphuro, Plaintiff

vs.

BW Doyle, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $16.00

Maurice Rorphuro, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
				Pd 2.50
July 21		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1.25	
" 21		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
" 27		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons and a copy of the complaint attached thereto were personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 21st day of July 1893, and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of M. Rorphuro the plaintiff herein it is ordered that the default of the said defendant BW Doyle be and the same is hereby entered	75	
		On further motion of said M Rorphuro it is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff Maurice Rorphuro and against the defendant BW Doyle for the sum of $16.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $6.00	1.00	4.00
		Total judgment $22.00 9.75 / 4.00 / 5.75	Constables	2.00
		Done in open Court this 27th July 1893		
		A Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	27	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const	1.25	
Aug	15	Affidavit & order to Examine Judgment debtor aug 10. 1893	75	
"	15	Defendant in Court and examined	1.50	
"	28	Affidavit and order to Examine Judg debtor	75	
"	28	Deft in Court and examined	1.50	
~~Aug~~	27	Execution received		
Oct	18	Execution returned and filed fully satisfied		

Oct 7. 1893 Mrs D.A. Patterson paid 8.40 into Court. Justice fee — The within satisfied in full this 18th Oct. 1893. Maurice Rorphuro

Attest A Austin Justice of the Peace

176

No. 176

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Grant Shores and Kate Shores his wife Plaintiffs

vs.

S. T. Cull Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 10 00

Grant Shores in person
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
July	22		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	PS 2 50
"	22		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	27		Defendant personally answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
"	28		On motion of Plff above cause set for trial Aug 5. 1893 at 10. a m	50	
Aug	5		At the time set all parties are in Court and the trial proceeds. Grant Shores and Kate Shores are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiffs and S T Cull is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. The case being closed the matter is submitted and the Court finds for the plaintiffs in the sum of $ 9 50	1 25	PS 5 00
			Wherefore judgment is hereon rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiffs Grant Shores and Kate Shores for the sum of $ 9 50 together with costs of suit taxed at $ 7 00	1 00	5 00
			Total judgment $ 16 50		2 00
			Done in open Court this 5th Aug 1893		
			A Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	5		On motion of Deft a 5 days stay of execution ordered.	50	
"	11		The above judgment is satisfied in full this day		
			A Z Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 177

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Gallagher, Plaintiff

vs.

G. G. Goucher, Defendant

Action a/c for Rent

Demand $ 160—

Jas Gallagher, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
July	25	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	2 50
"	26	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
Aug	1	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons herein attached to a true copy of the complaint on file herein was personally served on the said defendant at this Township County and State on the 26th day of July 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired. Now on motion of Jas Gallagher the said plaintiff, it is ordered the the default of the said defendant G. G. Goucher be and the same is hereby entered.	95	
		On further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein in accordance with the prayer of plaintiffs complaint.	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff James Gallagher and against the defendant G G Goucher for the sum of $160.00 together with costs taxed at $6.20	100	4 20
		Total judgment $166.20	Constable	2 00
		Done in open Court this 1st Aug. 1893		
		A. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Oct	3	Execution issued and delivered to Jas Gallagher	1 25	
Dec	3	Execution returned & filed without levy.		

178

No. 178

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Charles Jacobsen, Plaintiff

vs.

George Matheson, Defendant

Action Conversion

Demand $105.00

G. C. Freeman, Attorney for Plaintiff

D. M. Seaton, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	DEFENDANT.
July	26	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2.50
"	28	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	30	Now comes defendant personally and answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
Aug	1	Defendant by R. A. King files written demurrer	25	
"	8	On motion of pltff above demurrer set for hearing Aug 15, 1893 at 10 a m	50	
"	15	The above demurrer submitted overruled and 3 days to Ans.	50	
"	18	Defendant moves for leave to file amended answer, The motion is granted and amended answer filed	75	
"	18	On motion of plaintiff trial of this cause set for Aug 23, 1893 at 9.30 a m	50	
"	23	At the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds Chas Jacobsen, Mrs Chas Jacobsen and G.C. Freman are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff, and W A Shepherd A D Crow and Dr J A Graham are sworn and testify in behalf of defendant, The matter is submitted on briefs to be hereafter filed	3 50	
Oct	6	Deft files brief	25	
"	11	Plaintiff files brief	25	
1894 Apr	2	The court this day finds for plaintiff in the sum of $71.95	25	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Charles Jacobsen and against the defendant Geo Matheson for the sum of $71.95 and for costs of court herein taxed at $9.00	1 00	7 00
				court 2 00
		Total Judgment $80.95		9 00
		Done in open Court this 2nd April 1894		
		A Austin		
		Justice of the Peace	7 00	
April	23	Order setting motion to offset judgments made for Apr 26, '94 at 10 a m	25	
"	26	Affidavit and notice of above motion filed showing service	50	
"	26	Above motion argued submitted and taken under advisement	50	
"	30	above motion this day denied	25	
"	30	Defendant files undertaking and notice of appeal	50	
		Transcript of papers on appeal	1 50	10 50

No. 179

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Maurice Rorphuro
Plaintiff
vs.
A. W. Robinson
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 14.00

Maurice Rorphuro
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
					Pd 2.50
July	28		Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1.25	
"	28		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
Aug	3		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township County and State on the 28th day of July 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to-wit: five days has fully expired, Now on motion of Maurice Rorphuro said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendant A.W. Robinson be and the same is hereby entered	50	
				95	
			On further motion of said Maurice Rorphuro it is ordered that judgment be entered herein in accordance with the prayer of the Complaint	50	
			Wherefore judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Maurice Rorphuro and against the defendant A.W. Robinson for the sum of $14.00 and for costs herein taxed at $6.00	1.00	4.20
			Total judgment $20.20	Constables	2.00
			Done in open Court this 3rd day of Aug 1893		
			H. Austin Justice of the Peace		$2.00 in full
Oct	4		The above Judgment satisfied in full this day		
			Maurice Rorphuro		
			Attest H. Austin Justice of the Peace	50	

180

No. 180

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. L. De Vinny
Plaintiff

vs.

Mrs S. Martin
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 60 00

Geo W. Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
July 31	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	Ds 2 50

No. 181

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Kohler & Chase a corporation Plaintiff

vs.

George Brand Defendant

Action Claim & Delivery

Demand $

S Jackson Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Chg		Pd 2.00
Aug	1	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 20	
"	1	Affidavit and undertaking in claim & Delivery made & ap-	1 75	
"	8	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	20	
"	8	Affidavit and undertaking returned and filed showing service	50	
"	8	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the above named defendant at this Township on Aug 1, 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of S Jackson Esq attorney for plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendant George Brand be and the same is hereby entered	75	
		Jno G Willis is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff from which testimony it appears that the property herein mentioned belongs to the plaintiff and	50	
		On motion of S. Jackson it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff Kohler & Chase a corporation and against the defendant George Brand, for the delivery by the said defendant to the plaintiff of one Euphonium, and for costs of suit herein taxed at $8 50	1 00	6 50
		A Austin Justice of the Peace	Constable	2 00
		Done in open Court this 8th Aug 1893		

Costs paid by plaintiff Aug 7, 1893 - as taxed

182

No. 182

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Charles B Lehman Plaintiff

vs.

Miss E. Hughes Defendant

Action a/c Labor Drugs

Demand $ 43 55

Thompson & Prince
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
	Chq			
Aug	5	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	5	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
Aug	11	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the complaint attached to a copy of the summons herein were personally served on the said defendant at this Township on the 5th day of Aug. 1893 and that the defendant had not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired, now on motion of D. R. Prince Esq of counsel for plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Miss E. Hughes be and the same is hereby entered	75	
"	11	On further motion of said D. R. Prince it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
"	11	Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff, Charles B. Lehman and against the defendant Miss E Hughes, for the sum of $43 55 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $6 20	1 20	4 20
		Total judgment $49 75	Constable	2 00
				6 20
		Done in open Court this 11th Aug 1893 — H Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	12	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
Sept	11	Affidavit and order Sup Proc made & filed	1 00	
Dec	16	Execution returned & filed wholly satisfied		

No. 183

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Low Kine, Plaintiff

vs.

S Lehmann, Defendant

Action a/c Labor

Demand $ 31 65

E D Edwards
Attorney for Plaintiff

E. A Williams
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 3		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Aug	7	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	7	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	7	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	7	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	7	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	8	The defendant enters his appearance herein in pro per for the purpose of moving to release the attachment levied herein	25	
"	8	By consent of E D Edwards Esq the above motion is heard at once	25	
"	8	The said motion being submitted the court orders that all property held by levy of attachment herein be released upon filing bond in the sum of $75 00	50	
"	8	Bond filed as ordered E D Edwards presenting same and waiving justification of sureties in open Court and it is here ordered that the above attachment be released	25 25	
"	12	Now comes E A Williams Dfts Atty & files written answer	25	
"	15	On motion of plaintiff above case set for trial Aug 18, 1893 at 9-0 m	50	
"	18	On motion of Deft trial of this cause continued to Aug 23. 1893 at 2 P.M	50	
"	23	By consent trial of above cause continued to Aug 28. 1893 at 1-30 P.M	50	
"	28	At the time set the plaintiff is in Court. The defendant not being present the Court waits one full hour and on motion of E D Edwards it is ordered that the default of the defendant S. Lehmann be and the same is hereby entered	75	
		Low Kine is sworn and testifies and the Court finds for plaintiff as prayed for. Whereforr Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of	75	
		the plaintiff Low Kine and against the defendant S Lehmann	1 00	10 00
		for the sum of $31 65 and for costs of suit taxed at $24 55	Constable	14 55
		Total Judgment $56 20		
		Done in open Court this 28th day of Aug 1893		
		A. Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	28	By Consent execution stayed fifteen days.	50	
Sept	15	Notice of appeal filed	25	
"	20	Undertaking on appeal filed See page 191.	1 25	
		Transcript and papers on appeal	1 50	
			3 50	

184

No. 184

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Samuel Funck
Plaintiff

vs.

M. G. Childers & O. Childers
Defendants

Action Promissory Note

Demand $35, Int & 15.00 attys fees

Geo W Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Aug	8		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 3.00
"	12		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	18		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the Complaint was personally served on M. G. Childers on the 9th day of Aug. 1893 at this Township County and state, and that a copy of said summons and complaint were personally served on O. Childers at this Township County and state on the 12th day of Aug 1893; and it further appearing to the Court that neither of the said defendants herein have answered herein, nor appeared in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit, five days has fully expired, Now on motion of Geo W. Jones Attorney for the plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendants M. G. Childers and O Childers and each of them be and the same is hereby entered	95	
"	18		Geo W Jones is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff. The promissory note sued on is offered and received in evidence	1 00	
			From the testimony adduced the Court finds that $15.00 is a reasonable attorneys fee and on motion of said Geo W. Jones It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for ($35.00 principal $6.30 Int & $15.00 attys fee)	50	
			Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Samuel Funck and against the defendants M. G. Childers and O Childers for the sum of $56.30 & costs of suit taxed at $9.70	1 00	5 70
			Total judgment $66.00		4 00
					9 70

Costs Justice 5.00 } Paid by plaintiff
Constable 3.50 }

Done in open Court this 18th day of Aug 1893

H Austin Justice of the Peace

No. 185

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Antone Pashello, Plaintiff

vs.

W. A. Cowan, Defendant

Action Promissory Note

Demand $ 24 00

A. Pashello, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Aug	9	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	P 3 50
"	9	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	9	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	24	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	25	Writ of Attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	31	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 24th day of August 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and the legal time thereof to wit; five days has fully expired Now on motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendant W. A. Cowan be and the same is hereby entered.	95	
		On further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Antone Pachello and against the defendant W. A. Cowan for the sum of $24 00 and for costs of suit taxed at $11 50	100	6 70
		Total Judgment $35 50	Const	4 80
				11 50

Done in open Court this 31st day of Aug 1893.

H. Austin Justice of the Peace

No. 186

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

B H Paul

Plaintiff

vs.

Marderas Coyoomjian and Dek Arakilian

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 21.00

B H Paul

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		Chg		Pf 2.50
Aug	10	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	10	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	10	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	17	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	17	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	18	Exception to sureties on attachment bond filed by M Walser	25	
"	22	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons and complaint herein was personally served on the defendant Marderas Coyoomjian at this township on the 18th day of Aug 1893 and that the defendant has not appeared herein by answer or demurrer and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, Now on motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Marderas Coyoomjian ~~too~~ and the same is hereby entered	95	
"	23	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant Dek Arakilian at this Township on the 17th day of August 1893 and that the said defendant Dek Arakilian has not appeared herein by answer or demurrer as required by law and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion B H Paul the default of the said defendant Dek Arakilian is ordered and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said B H Paul it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore, Judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the said		

Pg 188

No. 187

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. G. Ferguson, Plaintiff

vs.

J. M. Lynch, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 3260

C. Chisholm, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
					Pd 2 50
Aug	11		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	11		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	11		Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	21		Summons returned and filed showing personal service 8/18/93	50	
Sept	4		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	8		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at the County of Alameda, Calif. on the 18th day of August 1893, and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and the legal time therefor, to wit: twenty days has fully expired. Now on motion of C. Chisholm Esq Atty for plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendant J. M. Lynch be and the same is hereby entered.	95	Justices Costs 7 00 in full pd by Chis Oct 6 '93
"	8		On further motion of said C. Chisholm it is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
			Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff W. G. Ferguson and against the defendant J. M. Lynch for the sum of $32 60 and for costs of suit taxed at $ 14 35	100	6 70
			Total Judgment $ 46 95	Const.	7 65
					14 35
			Done in open Court this 8th day of Sept 1893		
			A. Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	8		Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const	1 25	
			Abstract of Judgment made & delivered to Wm Shaw	1 00	8 95
Oct	18		Execution returned and filed		
1897 Mch	3		The above Judgment is satisfied in full		
			Attest W. G. Ferguson		
			A. Austin J.P. per C. Chisholm		

188

No. 188

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Fresno Canal and Irrigation Company Plaintiff

vs.

John Doe Defendant

Action Trespass

Demand $ 295.00

Geo E. Church
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Aug	12	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 00
"	12	On motion of plaintiff the above cause is this day dismissed & costs paid K Z Austin Justice of the Peace		Pd 25
		Paul vs Coyoomjian et al } From pg 186.		
		plaintiff B H Paul and against the said defendants Marderas Coyoomjian and Dek Arakilian for the sum of $21.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $. 16.30	1 00	7 90
		Total Judgment $37.30	Constable	8 40
				16 30
		Done in open Court this 23rd day of Aug 1893 K Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
Aug	24	Defendants by Mark Walser files motion to set aside default		25
"	24	By consent motion is argued submitted and granted and it is by the Court ordered that the defaults of the defendants and all subsequent proceedings be set aside and vacated		75
"	25	Defendants file answer and cross complaint		25
"	25.	The,		

No. 189

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Peter Hansen, Plaintiff

vs.

Jennie Pickle, Defendant

Action Prom note & acc a/c

Demand $ 65.20 & $40 atty fee 7.50

Geo W Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff

E A Williams
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
			Pd 2.50
Aug 14	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
" 14	Affidavit and undertaking for Attach. made & filed	1 75	
" 14	Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 17	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
" 17	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
" 22	Defendant by E.A. Williams files written answer	25	
" 23	On motion of pltff above case set for trial Aug 26, 1893 at 2 P.M.	50	
" 26	At the time set all parties and their attorneys are present and the trial proceeds. The answer admits all the allegations of the complaint except the assigned cause of action from Geo W. Jones and the reasonableness of the attorneys fee claimed. Counsel for defendant now admits the assigned cause of action in open Court. Dante R Prince is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff and J.P. Strother and C.G Sayle are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. From the testimony adduced the court adjudges $20 a reasonable attorneys fee for plaintiff herein	75	
	On motion of Geo W Jones it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for with attorneys fee of $20.00	50	
	Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Peter Hansen and against the defendant Jennie Pickle for the sum of $89.13 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $14.85	1 00	7.75
	Total judgment $103.98		7 10
	Done in open Court this 26th August 1893		
	H Austin Justice of the Peace		
1895 Nov 23	Execution issued & delivered to Jones	1 20	

190

No. 190

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. G. Ferguson, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Massey, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 17.00

C. Chisholm, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893,		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Aug	14	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	14	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	14	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	25	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	31	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 25th day of August 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days, has fully expired. Now on Motion of C. Chisholm it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Wm Massey be, and the same is hereby entered.	95	
		On further motion of said C. Chisholm it is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff W. G. Ferguson and against the defendant Wm Massey for the sum of $17.00 and for costs of suit taxed $12.75	1 00	6 45
		Total Judgment $29.75		6 30
				12 75
		Done in open Court this 31st day of Aug 1893		
		H. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Sept	1	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	8	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const	1 25	
"		Abstract of judgment made & delivered to Wm Shaw	1 00	
Oct	18	Execution returned and filed		$ 95

Justices costs paid 7.00 in full this Oct 6. 1893

No. 191

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Mattie E Leach
Plaintiff

vs.

Jno Mc Donald
Defendant

Action Unlawful Detainer

Demand $ 10 — Tuebled

C W Nugent
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Aug 15		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	@ 2 50
" 16		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
" 16		Now comes Deft personally and files written answer	25	
		Low Kine vs S Lehmann } From Page 183.		
1893 Dec 13		Remittitur from Superior Court filed showing $22 50 to be added to the Judgment.	25	
		Judgment 31 65 Costs 24.55 Percentage 14.05 Accrued Costs 12.25 $82.50 } Dec 15, 1893		
Dec 15		Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
" 19		Execution returned filed wholly unsatisfied		

192

No. 192

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. H. Adair

Plaintiff

vs.

C. Chisholm and Ulrich Gussines

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 55 00

C. H. Adair

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Aug	15	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	as 1 00

No. 193

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. C. Rascoe, Plaintiff

vs.

E. S. Valentine, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 175.00

E. D. Edwards, Attorney for Plaintiff

Strother & Strother, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Aug	16		Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	19		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
Sept	5		Defendant by Strother & Strother demur orally to the complaint on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	19		Motion of pltff above demurrer set for hearing this day at 2 P.M.	50	
"	19		Demurrer submitted overruled and five days given to answer	75	
"	25		Defendant files offer to allow judgment for $28.20	25	
"	25		Defendant answers orally denying each and every material allegation in the complaint	50	
Oct	12		On motion of plaintiff above case set for trial Oct 18, 1893 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	18		On motion of defendant trial continued to Oct 25, 1893 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	25		Defendant asks and is allowed to file amended answer	50	
"	25		defendant files amended answer without objection	25	
"	25		at the time set all parties are present and announce themselves ready and the trial proceeds. A C Rascoe is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and E. S. Valentine is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. A. C. Rascoe is recalled and E. S. Osborne is sworn for plaintiff in rebuttal. The matter is submitted without argument and the Court orders the matter under advisement	2 00	
Nov	1		The Court this day finds for plaintiff in the sum of $98.66 & costs. Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff A. C. Rascoe and against the defendant E. S. Valentine for the sum of $98.66 and for costs of suit taxed at $11.95. Total judgment $110.61. Done in open Court this 1st Nov 1893. H. Austin Justice of the Peace	25 1 00 Const	 9 25 2 70 11 95
Nov	22		Notice of appeal this day filed by defendant	25	
"	22		Undertaking on appeal made & filed by defendant	1 25	
"	25		Transcript and papers mappeal	1 50	

See page 199.

12 [illegible]

194

No. 194.

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

H. S. Greenberg
Plaintiff

vs.

A. F. Peters
Defendant

Action Prom note & a/c

Demand $ 238 97 & Int

H. M. Johnston
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS. July 10. 95. Plffpd $5 80	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	DEFENDANT.
Aug	26	Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 20 —	Pd 25
"	26	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75 —	
"	26	Writ of attachment issued	05	
Sept	2	Defendant by F H Short files written demurrer	25 —	
"	4	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	25 —	
"	4	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25 —	
Oct	3	On motion of plaintiff above demur set for hearing Oct 5, 1893 at 2 P.M.	50	
"	5	Demurrer submitted overruled and agreed on 5 days to answer	75 —	
"	12	Defendant files written answer	25 —	

No. 1957

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. L. Austin, Plaintiff

vs.

Peter Bean, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 167 35

E. L. Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Aug	26		Cause entered Complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	26		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	26		Writ of attachment issued	50	
Sept	4		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	4		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	50	
"	12		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this County on the 30th day of August 1893 and that the defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: ten days has fully expired now on motion of E. L. Austin the plaintiff herein It is ordered that the default of the said defendant be and the same is hereby entered	95	
			On further motion of said plaintiff It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
			Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff E. L. Austin and against the defendant Peter Bean for the sum of $167 35 and for costs of suit taxed at $16 20	1 00	6 80
			Total judgment $ 184 05	Const	9 90
					16 70
			Done in open Court this 12th day of Sept. 1893. H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	16		Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
"	27		Execution returned & filed showing payment of all costs Rec'd $101 45 on demand.		
1893 Sept	27		Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	

196

No. 196

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. L. Austin, Plaintiff

vs.

Wm Borchers, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 48 50

E. L. Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Aug	26	Cause entered Complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	26	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 70	
"	26	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Sept	4	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	4	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	12	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons herein attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this County on the 1st day of September 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: ten days has fully expired. Now on motion of E. L. Austin, the said plaintiff It is ordered that the default of the said defendant Wm Borchers be and the same is hereby entered.	90	
		On further motion of said plaintiff It is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff E. L. Austin and against the defendant Wm Borchers for the sum of $48 50 and for costs of suit taxed at $16 60	100	6 70
		Total Judgment $ 65 10		9 90
				16 60
		Done in open Court this 12th day of Sept. 1893. H. Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	16	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
Dec	11	Judgment credited with $22 00 all costs and $4 90 Pd on demand.		
Dec	8	Execution issued & delivered to H R Russell	1 25	
"	17	Execution returned filed partly satisfied all costs pd to date & $4 10 pd to plff.		

No. 197

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. Madsen, Plaintiff

vs.

Ole G. Lee, Defendant

Action Debt

Demand $ 216 —

D. M. Seaton, Attorney for Plaintiff

F. H. Short, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Aug	28	Complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	28	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	28	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Sept	7	Summons returned & filed showing personal service	50	
"	7	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	12	Defendant by F. H. Short files written demurrer	25	
"	15	Above demurrer set for hearing Sept 18, 1893 at 1-30 P. M. Mo Pff.	50	
"	18	Demurrer submitted overruled and answer ordered at once	75	
"	18	Defendant files written answer	25	
"	25	By consent of both parties this cause is submitted on the contract (written) between the parties which is filed in evidence and by the Court taken under advisement.	50	
1894 Jany	10	The Court this day finds for plaintiff as prayed for	25	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff M. Madsen and against the defendant Ole G. Lee for the sum of $231 12 (Principal $216 — Interest $15 12) and for costs of suit herein taxed at $16 85	100 Costs	7 75 9 10 16 85
		Total Judgment $247 97		
		Done in open Court this 10th Jany 1894		
		H. Austin, Justice of the Peace		
Feb	16	Execution issued and delivered to H. Russell Dep Const	1 25	
Mch	6	Execution returned wholly satisfied		

Mch 2 94 Costs paid in full

198

No. 198

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W. G. Ferguson, Plaintiff

vs.

J. G. Lewis, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 40 70

C Chisholm, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Aug	29	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	Pd 2 50
"	29	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	29	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Sept	20	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	23	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
Oct	6	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 20th day of Sept. 1893. and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of C. Chisholm it is ordered that the default of the said defendant J. G. Lewis be and the same is hereby entered.	6 50 fees pd by credit on note at Chisholms Jany 4, 1894.	
			90	
		On further motion of said C. Chisholm it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff W. G. Ferguson and against the defendant J. G. Lewis for the sum of $40 70 and for costs of suit taxed at $12 70	1 00	6 70
		Total judgment $53 40	Cust	6 00
		Done in open Court this Oct 6th 1893		12 70
		A G Austin, Justice of the Peace		
Oct	6	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to C Chisholm	1 00	

No. 199,

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John M. Church, Plaintiff

vs.

J A Dalson, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 51 65

D. S. Ewing, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
			Pd 2 50
Aug 30	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
" 30	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 30	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Sept 2	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
" 2	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
" 4	Defendant by Stanton L. Carter answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
" 5	On motion of plaintiff trial of above case set for Sep 13, 1893 at 9 a m	50	5 25
" 13.	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiffs attorney on compromise		5 00 Pd
	Hz Austin Justice of the Peace		
	A.C. Ruscoe vs E S Valentine } From page 193		
1894 Jan 3	Remittitur from Superior Court filed dismissing appeal and ordering $45 50 to be added to the judgment herein as follows. Judgment $98 66 Costs $11 95 Accrued costs $48 50 Total Judgment $159 11	25	Justices fees 12 00 paid 1/4/94
Jany 4	Above judgment credited with $45 50 by Monroe & Stephens		159 11 85 50 73 61
" 4	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to Ed Edwards		
1897 June 18	The above judgment is credited with $40 00		
" 22	Execution issued & delivered to Shaw	1 25	
" 22	Affidavits & orders to show cause made to be heard June 25, 1897 at 3 P. M	1 00	
" 25	At the time set parties in Court & defendants moves the Court for an order satisfying the judgment of record on the ground that it has been paid. The motion is submitted on the testimony (oral) of E S Valentine & W S Porter on the part of the moving party & Lewis H Smith & J W Bishop in opposition thereto. The motion is argued and submitted & by the Court taken under advisement. The Court of its own motion orders the supplementary proceeding dismissed because prematurely brought.		Sep 9 2 01

No. 200

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

P. W. Howard, Plaintiff

vs.

C. M. Jones, Defendant

Action a/c Services

Demand $ 5.00

P W Howard
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Aug	31	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	PD 2 50
"	31	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	31	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Sept	2	Summons returned and filed showing personal service 1/9/89	50	
"	2	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	8	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons herein attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant C. M. Jones at this Township on the 1st day of September 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired. Now the Court of its own motion orders that the default of the said defendant be and the same is hereby entered	95	
"	8	On further motion it is here ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff P. W. Howard and against the defendant C. M. Jones for the sum of five dollars ($5.00) and for costs of suit taxed at $12.00	1 00	6 70
		Total judgment $17.00		5 30
		Done in open Court this 8th day of Sept. 1893 H Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	8	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const	1 25	
"	8	Execution returned and filed fully satisfied and $2.75 over		

No. 201

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J H Terry

Plaintiff

vs.

George A. Roehl and John B Roehl

Defendant

Action 3 Prom Notes

Demand $ 250 v Int

J H Terry

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
				Pd 2.00
Aug	18	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	18	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	18	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Sept	27	Summons returned and filed without service	25	
"	27	Now comes plaintiff and moves the Court for leave to file amended complaint, Motion granted	50	
"	27	Defendant in person files amended complaint	25	
"	27	Summons on amended complaint issued	50	
		(Rascal vs Valentine) From pg 199 —		
1894 Aug	21	The Court this day orders that defendants motion to satisfy the judgment herein be and the same hereby is denied, with leave to renew same.		
Sept	10	Plaintiff files affidavits and notice of motion to satisfy judgment to be heard Sept 20, 1894, at 2 P.M.		
"	20	Defendants assignee files counter affidavits		
"	20	By consent hearing of above motion continued to Sept 23, 1894 at 2 P.M.		
"	23	Parties in Court and it is ordered that this cause be and the same hereby is transferred to the other Justices Court of this Township presided over by S C St John Esq, all parties in open Court waiving all questions of jurisdiction and mode of transfer		

No. 202

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J J Krag, Plaintiff

vs.

R B Harlan, Defendant

Action Promissory note & a/c

Demand $ 80 50

J J Krag, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Sept	11	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	Pd 2 50
"	11	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	11	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	14	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	14	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	20	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 14th day of Sept 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired. Now on motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendant R B Harlan be and the same is hereby entered	75	
		On further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J J Krag and against the defendant R B Harlan for $80 50 and for costs of suit taxed at $12 85	1 00	6 70
		Total judgment $93 30	Const	6 15
		Done in open Court this 20th day of Sept 1893		12 85
		H Austin Justice of the Peace		
	20	Execution issued and delivered to Geo Mathews Cons	1 25	
Oct	30	Execution returned and filed wholly satisfied		

No. 203

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. A. Walton, Plaintiff

vs.

L. T. Hicklin, Defendant

Action Prom. note

Demand $ 24 — & Int

O. L. Events, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Sept	11	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 250
"	11	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	11	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	25	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	25	Writ of attachment returned and filed.	25	
Oct	3	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 25th day of Sept. 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit five days: has fully expired, Now on motion of O. L. Events, it is ordered that the default of the said defendant L. T. Hicklin be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said O. L. Events it is ordered that Judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered herein in favor of the plaintiff J. A. Walton and against the defendant L. T. Hicklin for the sum of $24.00 principal $4.20 interest and for costs of suit taxed at $11.70	100	6 70
		Total Judgment $39.90		5 00
		Done in open Court this 3rd Oct. 1893		
		H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Oct	3	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const	1 25	

204

No. 204

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

F. J. Burleigh
Plaintiff

vs.

Jacob Hockenberry.
Defendant

Action Money Loaned

Demand $ 20 00

J. E. Doolittle
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Sept	11	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	12	The above action is this day dismissed on compromise A. Austin. Justice of the Peace.		1 50 Pd

No. 2057

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Sam Zimmerman, Plaintiff

vs.

Steve Mitrovich, Defendant

Action Work & Labor

Demand $ 40 00

J E Doolittle, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Sept	11	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	11	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	11	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	16	Defendant in pro per answers orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	50	
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	16	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	Free Pd
"	16	The above action is dismissed demand and costs being paid.		

P G Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 206

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Donahoo Emmons & Co. a Corporation Plaintiff

vs.

R. B. Harlan, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $263 Int & Atty fee

D. M Seaton Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Sept	12		Cause entered complaint filed and ~~warrant~~ summons issued	1 25	PD 25
"	12		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	12		Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	12		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	12		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	19		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 12th day of Sept 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit; five days has fully expired, now on motion of D. M. Seaton it is ordered that the default of the said defendant R. B. Harlan be and the same is hereby entered.	Justices fees 8 95 PD 95	
			D. M Seaton is sworn and the promissory note sued on is offered and received in evidence Geo W. Jones and Mark Walser are sworn and testify for plaintiff. From all the evidence adduced the Court finds for plaintiff as follows: For $263.40 Principal $61.41 Interest; Attorneys fee fixed at $25.00 and for costs	1 50	
			Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Donahoo Emmons & Co. a corporation and against the defendant R. B. Harlan for the sum of $349.81 and for costs of suit taxed at $12.70	1 00	7 70
			Total Judgment $362.51	Amt	5 00
					12 70
			Done in open Court this 19th Sept 1893 A. Austin Justice of the Peace		
	19		Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
1894 Apr	6		Execution returned & filed wholly satisfied		

No. 207

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Farmers Warehouse Co a corporation Plaintiff

vs.

L. F. Wilson Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 59 60

R B Terry Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
	Chg			
Sept	12	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	12	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	12	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	14	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	14	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	25	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 14th day of Sept 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: ten days has fully expired, Now on motion of R B Terry it is ordered that the default of the said defendant L F Wilson be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said R B Terry it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The Farmers Warehouse Co. a corporation and against ~~the~~ L. F. Wilson the defendant for the sum of $59 60 and for costs of suit taxed at $14 10	100	6 70
		Total judgment $73 70	Const	7 40
				14 10

Done in open Court this 25th Sept 1893

H Austin

Justice of the Peace

208

No. 208

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. W. Muller, Plaintiff

vs.

J. F. Simpson, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 192 71

M. W. Muller
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
				Pd 2 00
Sept	13	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	13	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	13	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	15	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	15	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	21	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 15th day of Sept. 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of M. W. Muller said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendant J. F. Simpson be and the same is hereby entered	91	
		On further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff M. W. Muller and against the defendant J F Simpson for the sum of $192 71 and for costs of suit taxed at $ 12 00	1 00	6 70
		Total judgment $ 204 71	Const.	5 30
				12 00
		Done in open Court this 21st day of Sept 1893. Az Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	21	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
Oct	3	Execution returned and filed wholly satisfied		

No. 209

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Laura A. Harris, Plaintiff
vs.
R. K. Ham, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 15 00

Frank H. Short
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
				Pd 25
Sept	13	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	125	
Oct	3	Summons returned filed showing personal service Sep 29	50	
Oct	21	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at the County of Tulare State aforesaid on the 29th day of Sept. 1893 at that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit twenty days has fully expired now on motion of F.H. Short Esq it is ordered that the default of the said defendant R.K. Ham be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said F H Short it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	58	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Laura A Harris and against the defendant R K Ham for the sum of $15 00 and for costs of suit taxed at $ 7 70	100	4 20
		Total judgment $ 22 70		3 50
		Done in open Court this 21st day of Oct 1893 H z Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	21	Abstract of judgment made and delivered to	70	

210

No. 210

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Tuck on Jan, Plaintiff

vs.

Ike Kajawa, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 152 40

S S Wright, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Sept	14	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Ad 2 50
"	14	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	14	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	19	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	19	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	25	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 19th day of Sept 1893, and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired. Now on motion of S.S. Wright Attorney for plaintiff It is ordered that the default of the said defendant Ike Kajawa be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said S S Wright it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Tuck On Jan and against the defendant Ike Kajawa for the sum of $152 40 and for costs of suit taxed at $13 80	100	6 70
		Total Judgment $166 20	Const	7 10
		Done in open Court this 25th day of Sep 1893		
		H z Austin, Justice of the Peace		
"	25	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
Nov	18	The above judgment credited with $125 from K.G. & Co. Reading Garnishment released of all costs and $109 95 pd. on demand & and execution returned and filed	25	
1895 Sept	23	Execution issued & delivered to Shaw	1 25	
Oct	2	Execution returned & filed fully satisfied		

Costs paid Constable 6.17 } Pro rata 7 & 12 d. P.S.
Justices 5.85 }

No. 211

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. T. Shanklin

Plaintiff

vs.

W. A. Noland & B. H. Barrett

Defendant

Action Prom Note & a/c

Demand $ 138.70

Stuart S. Wright

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS. Wright pd. 5.00 a/c Costs	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Sept	14	Cause entered complaint filed & Summons issued	1 25	Pd 2.50
Oct	26	affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	26	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Nov	7	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	7	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	

212

No. 212

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. L. Austin, Plaintiff

vs.

H. C. Stewart, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 84 20

E. L. Austin
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		Chq	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Sept	15		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	15		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	15		Writ of Attachment issued	50	
Nov	2		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	2		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	9		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 2nd day of Nov 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time thereof, to wit: five days has fully expired, Now on motion of E. L. Austin It is ordered that the default of the said defendant H. C. Stewart be and the same is hereby entered	90	
			On further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
			Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff E. L. Austin and against the defendant H. C. Stewart for the sum of $ 84 20 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 14 50	1 00	6 70
			Total Judgment $ 99 20		7 80
			Done in open Court this 9th Nov 1893 —		14 50
			A. J. Austin, Justice of the Peace —		
Dec	16		Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
Jan 1895	11		" " returned & filed partly satisfied		
July	3		Execution issued & delivered to Shaw	1 25	
"	6		Execution returned & filed wholly satisfied		

The within judgment is satisfied in full
this 6th day of July 1895
E. L. Austin

No. 213.

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. S. Hermann, Plaintiff

vs.

C. Schillingford, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 10.50

J. S. Hermann
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Chg		
Sept	16	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1.25	Pd 3.50
"	16	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1.75	
"	16	Writ of attachment issued	.50	
"	23.	On motion of plaintiff and payment of demand and costs the above action is this day dismissed Az Austin Justice of the Peace		Pd 5.50

214

No. 214

In the Justices' Court of the Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Farmers Bank of Fresno a corporation, Plaintiff

vs.

C. G. Sayle, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 234.50 Int & attys fees

H. C. Tupper, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Sept	18		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	PS 2 50
"	18		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	23		Defendant by E. A. Williams files written demurrer	25	
"	25		On motion of plaintiff above demurrer set for hearing Sept 27, 1893 at 9-a m	50	
"	27		Above demurrer submitted overruled & two days given to answer	75	
"	28		Defendant files written answer	25	
Oct	30		On motion of plaintiff trial of this cause set for Nov 3, 1893 at 1-P. M	50	
Nov	3		On motion of defendant trial continued to Nov 13, 1893 at 2 P. M on stipulation filed	75	
"	13		At the time set the plaintiff is present in Court, The defendant makes no appearance whatever, After waiting one full hour the plaintiff asks and the Court orders that the trial proceed in his absence,	25	
			H. C. Tupper is sworn and testifies and the promissory note sued on is offered and filed in evidence.	50	
			From the testimony adduced the court orders judgment as follows. Principal $234.50, Interest $40.95 attorneys fee $15.00 and for costs of suit	25	
			Wherefore judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff The Farmers Bank of Fresno a corporation and against the defendant C. G. Sayle for the sum of $290.45 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $9.65	1 00	6 75 2 90 9 65
			Total Judgment $300.10		
			Done in open Court this 13th Nov 1893		
			H. Austin, Justice of the Peace		

Nov 24 93. All costs this day paid by plaintiff

No. 215

215

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. H. Adair, Plaintiff

vs.

Samuel Ralston, Defendant

Action Ag

Demand $ 10.00

John C. Deuel, Attorney for Plaintiff

Sam Ralston, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893 —		Chg PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Sept	19	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	19	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	23	Defendant in pro per files written demurrer	25	
"	25	Above demurrer set for hearing Sept 28, 1893 at 2 P.M	50	
"	28	Demurrer submitted overruled and answer ordered at once	75	
"	28	Written answer filed	25	
Oct	3	On motion of plaintiff trial of above case set for Oct 6, 1893 at 10 a m	50	
"	3	Subpoena for witnesses issued for plaintiff		3 50
"	6	On motion of defendant trial continued to Oct 12, 1893 at 9-9 m	50	
			50	

216

No. 216

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Silas W. Lines, Plaintiff

vs.

Jas Karnes, Defendant

Action Labor rg

Demand $ 23909

Silas W Lines
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Sept	19	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 250
"	19	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	19	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	22	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	22	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	25	On motion of plaintiff & and payment of the demand and costs ~~on motion~~ the above action is this day dismissed		Pd 550

A Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 217

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The Farmers Warehouse Co
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

J. E. Ball

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 299 90

M. W. Muller
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Sept	20	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	20	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 25	
"	20	Writ of attachment issued	50	

218

No. 218

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A. E. McInerney, Plaintiff

vs.

J. Bancroft, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 230.00

S J Hinds
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	DEFENDANT.
Sept	20	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	20	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	20	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	26	Now comes A. E. Inow and demurs & calls to plaintiffs complaint & says that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	30	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	30	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
Oct.	21	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and payment of costs		Pd 4 00 in full

H Z Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 219

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

W B Chambers, Plaintiff

vs.

J Bancroft, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 23 20

A J Hinds, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Chg		
Sept	20	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	20	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	20	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	26	Now comes A. E. Snow and demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint and says that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	30	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	30	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
Oct	21	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff and payment of costs		Pd 4 00 in fees.

Az Austin
Justice of the Peace

No. 220

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

E. M. Hansen, Plaintiff

vs.

Niels Damkjed, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 17.00

E. M. Hansen
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		Clg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Sept	20		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 25
"	20		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	20		Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	22		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	23		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	27		Defendant by F. H. Short files written answer	25	
Oct	4		After partial trial and on motion of plaintiff by reason of compromise and payment of costs the above action is this day dismissed A. Austin Justice of the Peace.	$5.00 in full	
			M. Madsen vs Laures Peder Hansen } From pg 221.		
1893 Oct	9		Affidavit filed and order for Examination of Judgment debtor to appear & be examined this day at 3 P. M. made	75	
"	9		The defendant and debtor in Court and examined	75	
Nov	24		Judgment credited with $25.00 all costs & $5.00 pd on demand		

No. 221

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. Madsen, Plaintiff

vs.

Laures Peder Hansen, Defendant

Action Promnote

Demand $100 - Int & attys fee $25 —

J. E. Doolittle, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
				Pf 2 50
Sept 21		Complaint filed, cause entered & summons issued	1 25	
" 21		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 21		Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 23		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
" 23		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
" 30		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant herein on the 23rd day of Sept 1893 at this Township and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired now on Motion of J. E. Doolittle It is ordered that the default of the said defendant Laures Peder Hansen be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		The promissory note sued on is offered and received in evidence and Mark Walser and J. E. Doolittle are sworn and testify for plaintiff from which testimony the court orders judgment as follows. $100 - Principal, $3 19 Interest + $20 00 attys fees + costs	1 25	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff M. Madsen and against the defendant Laures Peder Hansen for the sum of $123 19 and for costs of suit taxed at $17 50	1 00	7 30
		Total Judgment $140 69	Costs	10 20
		Done in open Court this 30th Sept 1893		17 50
				3 25
		H. Z. Austin Justice of the Peace.		20 75
Oct 4		Abstract of Judgment made & delivered to J. E. Doolittle	1 00	
" 9		Execution issued & delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
" 9		Execution returned and filed wholly unsatisfied	1 00	
			3 25	

222

No. 222

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Haig P. Musellian
Plaintiff

vs.

Joseph Arakelian and Deck Arakelian
Defendants

Action Damages

Demand $299.00

Sam J Hinds
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Sept	21	Cause entered and Complaint filed	1 00	Pd 2.50
Oct	4	It appearing to the Court that the Court will be a material witness in the trial of the above entitled action and is therefore disqualified from sitting therein the same is hereby transferred to the Justices Court of the second Township this County and State, Justice E B Lane the same being an adjoining Township. A Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 223

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. S. Hermann, Plaintiff

vs.

Mathew Fitzgerald and Richard Fitzgerald Co-partners as Fitzgerald Bros, Defendants

Action a/c

Demand $ 105 45

J S Hermann, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Sept 21		Cause entered complaint filed summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
Feby 1894 2		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
Feby 27		It appearing to the Court from the return endorsed on the original summons that a copy thereof attached to a copy of the complaint and certificate of the County Clerk was personally served on the defendants Mathew Fitzgerald and Richard Fitzgerald at the County of Sonoma State of California, on the 30th day of Jany 1894 and that the said defendants nor either of them has appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: twenty days has fully expired now on motion of J S Hermann it is ordered that the default of the said defendants Mathew Fitzgerald and Richard Fitzgerald Co-partners as Fitzgerald Bros, be and the same is hereby entered	1 20	
		On further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. S. Hermann and against the defendants Mathew Fitzgerald and Richard Fitzgerald Co-partners as Fitzgerald Bros, for the sum of $105 45 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 11 30	100 Const	4 45 6 85 11 30
		Total Judgment $ 116 75		
		Done in open Court this 27th Feby 1894 A Z Austin Justice of the Peace		
Mch 6		3 Abstracts of Judgment made & delivered to plaintiff	2 10	

224

No. 22X

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Geo M. Kahler

Plaintiff

vs.

John Gregory and Mrs John Gregory his wife

Defendant

Action Ag

Demand $ 41 15

Geo M Kahler
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Sept	22	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	22	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	22	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Oct	5	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of the plaintiff by reason of compromise A Z Austin Justice of the Peace		Pd 5 00 2 fees

No. 225

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L. Hansen, Plaintiff

vs.

C. A Blom, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 33 20

L. Hansen, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.	Chq	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Sept 23		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
" 23		affidavit and Bond for order of arrest made & filed	1 75	
" 23		Order of arrest issued	50	
Oct 3		On motion of Plaintiff and it appearing that full payment has been made & costs paid by plaintiff the above action is this day dismissed H Austin Justice of the Peace		Pd 5 00 in full

226

No. 226 Const. 41 30

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

A Kutner & Goldstein & Alex Goldstein
Plaintiff

vs.

L. R. Williams and others Members of "Iroquois Club"
Defendant

Action a/c Rent

Demand $ 135 00

Tupper & Welsh
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Sept	25	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
Oct	4	Now comes John McMullen granted Petitioner in person and demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint on the ground that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against him.	25	
"	9	Deft Frank Laing for himself only files written demurrer	25	
"	9	Deft Frank Laing files written answer and tenders $ 2 50	25	
"	9	Defendant W H McKenzie for himself only files written demurrer	25	
"	9	Deft W H McKenzie files written answer and tenders $ 2 50	25	
"	16	Deft G R G Glenn by J P Meux demurs to plaintiffs complaint orally & says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
"	20	Defendant John McMullen tenders $ 2 50 and deposits same	25	
Dec	23	Defendant M K Harris demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint & says that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
"	26	Now comes O. M Thompson personally and demurs orally to the complaint of plaintiff & says that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
"	26	Now comes Taylor Albin personally and demurs orally to the complaint herein and says that the same does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	25	
"	26	Now comes G P Cummings & demurs orally to the complaint & says that it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action		
1894 Jany	5	Now comes Herman Eggers by F H Short & files written demurrer	25	

No. 227

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

James Porteous
Plaintiff

vs.

C. D. Davis and James Karnes
Defendants

Action Promissory note

Demand $ 187.40 + $25.00 atty's fee

D. M. Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Sept 26		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2.50
Oct 4		Summons returned & filed showing personal service on Jas Karnes at this Township on Sept 26. 1893	50	
Oct 4		It appearing to the court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant James Karnes at this Township on the 26th day of Sept. 1893 and that the said defendant James Karnes has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: five days has fully expired now on motion of D. M Seaton Esq. It is ordered that the default of the said defendant James Karnes be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		D. M Seaton and T. H. Short are sworn and testify in behalf of the plaintiff and the note sued on is offered and received in evidence. From the testimony adduced the Court fixes the Attorneys fee at $25.00 and orders judgment as follows: Principal $187.40, Interest $17.81 Attorneys fee $25.00 and costs of suit	1.20	Fee 1.95 ~~[illegible]~~ 5.95
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff James Porteous and against the defendant James Karnes for $230.21 and for costs of suit taxed at $8.15	1 00	4 95
		Total Judgment $238.36	Const.	3 20
				8 15
		Done in open Court this 4th day of Oct. 1893		
		H. Austin Justice of the Peace		
" 4		Abstract of judgment made & delivered to	1 00	

228

No. 228

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

L. Einstein & Co. a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

F. S. Simonds
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $50 64

E W Risley
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Sept	28	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Ad 2 00
"	28	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	28	Writ of attachment issued	50	
Oct	4	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	27	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	4	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 4th day of October 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor has fully expired. Now on motion of E W Risley Esq It is ordered that the default of the said defendant F. S. Simonds be, and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said E W Risley It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Louis Einstein & Co a corporation, and against the defendant F. S. Simonds for the sum of $50 64 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $12 90	1 00	6 70
		Total Judgment $63 54		6 20
				12 90
		Done in open Court this 14th Oct 1893.		
		A Austin		
		Justice of the Peace.		
		Abstract of judgment made	1 00	
1894 Jany 5		" " " " W Show	1 00	

No. 229

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. O. Calwell, Plaintiff

vs.

George Matheson, Defendant

Action Claim & Delivery

Demand $ 2 Horses &c

Frank H. Short, Attorney for Plaintiff

E. D. Edwards, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.	Ck	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Sept	29	Complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2.00
Oct	4	Now comes E. D. Edwards & demurs orally and says that the complaint does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
Nov	18	Affidavit Claim & Delivery returned & filed	50	
"	18	Undertaking returned and filed	75	
"	18	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	25	By consent above demurrer ordered heard at once	50	
"	25	Demurrer submitted overruled and answer ordered at once	75	
"	25	Defendant files written answer	25	
1894 May	1	On motion of plaintiff trial of this cause set for May 4, 1894 at 9 a.m.	50	
"	4	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds, J. O. Calwell is sworn and testifies in his own behalf. The defendant offers no testimony and the matter is submitted on briefs to be hereafter filed	75	
"	5	Defendant files brief	25	
"	10	Plaintiff files brief & cause submitted	25	
Nov	14	The court this day finds for plaintiff for the return of the property and $37.50 damages for its detention	25	
"	14	Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. O. Calwell and against the defendant George Matheson 1st For the delivery of the property described in the complaint viz: Two Bay mares, 1 set double Harness, 1 Spring wagon 2nd For the sum of $37.50 damages and for costs of suit herein taxed at $11.15 Total Judgment $48.65 Done in open Court this 14th Nov 1894 H. Austin Justice of the Peace	1 00 Const 3 20 7 95 11 15 2 00 13 60 7 95	
"	17	Defendant files notice of appeal	25	
"	17	Defendant files undertaking on appeal	75	
"	20	Transcript & papers on appeal	1 50	10 45

230

No. 230

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

R B Johnson & A F Baker — Plaintiff

vs.

M W Pattle — Defendant

Action Note

Demand $37.50 Int & attys fees

R B Johnson — Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Sept	30		Cause entered Complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	PD 2 50
"	30		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	30		Writ of attachment issued	50	
Oct	7		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	7		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	18		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 7th day of Oct 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and the legal time therefor to wit five days has fully expired, now on motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that the default of the said defendant M W Pattle be and the same is hereby entered	95	
			On further motion of said plaintiff it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as follows. For $37.50 Principal, $12.15 Interest, $7.50 attorneys fees and costs	50	
			Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiffs R B Johnson and A F. Baker for $57.15 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $14.90	1 00	6 70
			Total Judgment $72.10	Const	8 20
					14 90

Done in open Court this 18th Oct 1893

H Austin

Justice of the Peace

Justices fees paid by plaintiff Jan 9, 1895 6.50

No. 231

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. L. Granger

Plaintiff

vs.

Rasmus Larsen and Henrik Larsen

Defendant

Action Prom Note

Demand $ 21.00 & Atty fees

D S Ewing

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Oct	3	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50

232

No. 232

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

B T Alford

Plaintiff

vs.

Grant Nelson

Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 500

B T Alford
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	3	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2.00
"	3	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	3	Writ of attachment issued.	50	
"	6	The above action is this day dismissed by reason of payment of demand and costs Hz Austin Justice of the Peace 3rd Township		
		John Beres vs Levy & Langley } From pg 235		
			10 25	
		the firm of Levy & Langley as follows, viz:		
		1st For the delivery to the said plaintiff by the said defendants of the following goods and chattels, to wit: One gold plated watch chain and charm set with pearls; one box about 30 inches long, 18 inches wide and 12 inches deep bearing a card tacked on with carpet tacks on which plaintiffs name is written in red ink, and in case delivery thereof cannot be had then for the sum of $ which the Court finds to be the value of said goods and chattels		
		2nd For the sum of $30.00 damages for the detention and money expended in pursuit of said property and		
		3rd For costs of suit taxed at $ 17.95	120	11 45
		Total Judgment $	Const	6 50
		Hz Austin Justice of the Peace		17 95
Nov	10	Defendants this day file notice of appeal	20	
"	10	Undertaking on appeal this day filed	75	
"	20	Transcript & papers on appeal	150	2 50
				23 95

No. 233

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

G B McIntosh, Plaintiff

vs.

Jack Whitt, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 75.00

G. M Drew, Attorney for Plaintiff

W. S. Crawford, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Oct	3	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 25 0
"	3	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	3	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	16	Now comes defendant by W.S. Crawford and files written answer	25	
"	16	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	16	On motion of defendant above cause set for trial Oct. 17. 1893 at 2 P. M	50	
"	16	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	17	Defendant demands jury and venire issued	25	
"	17	Defendant afterwards waives jury venire returned	25	
"	17	Subpoena for six witnesses issued for defendant	1 50	
"	17	Above subpoena returned and filed	25	
"	17	At the time set all parties are present with their counsel and the trial proceeds. G.B. McIntosh, is sworn and testifies in his own behalf - Jack Whitt, J.T. Johnston Perry Blanks, and S.S. Crutcher and P.H. Monaghan C.C. Connolly are sworn and testify in behalf of the defendant. and Peter Hansen is sworn and testifies for the plaintiff in rebuttal. The matter is submitted and the court takes it under advisement	3 00	
Oct	31	The court this day finds for defendant for costs Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the defendant Jack Whitt and against the plaintiff G.B. McIntosh for the costs of this action taxed at $.32.00	1 00	11 20
		Total judgment $32.00	Const	18 10 / 21 30
		Done in open Court Oct 31st 1893,	Witness	11 00 / 32 30
		H Z Austin, Justice of the Peace		
1894 Apr	2	Execution issued & delivered to Wm Show	1 25	
June	1	Execution returned & filed wholly unsatisfied		

234

No. 234

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Maurice Rorphuro, Plaintiff

vs.

Henry Walson, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 10 00

M Rorphuro
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Oct	5		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	Pd 2 50
1894 Jany	29		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
Feb	14		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at the County of Fresno on the 25th day of Jany 1894, and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor, to wit: ten days, has fully expired, Now on motion of M Rorphuro It is ordered that the default of the said defendant Henry Walson be and the same is hereby entered	95	
			On further motion of said Mr Rorphuro It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
			Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Maurice Rorphuro, and against the defendant Henry Walson for the sum of $10.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $17.90		
			Total Judgment $27.90	1 00	4 20
			Done in open Court this 14th day of Feb 1894	Const	13 70
					17 90
			A Austin Justice of the Peace		
Apr	6		Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Const	1 25	
Apr	14		Abstract of judgment made and delivered to M Rorphuro	1 00	

No. 235

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

John Beres, Plaintiff

vs.

I. G. Levy and S. T. Langley, composing the firm of Levy & Langley, Defendants

Action Claim & Delivery

Demand $275.00

J. H. Darwin, Attorney for Plaintiff

Stanton L. Carter, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
		Chg		Pd 2.50
Oct	6	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	
"	6	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	7	Amended complaint filed as of course showing service	25	
"	11	Defendants by Stanton L. Carter Esq appear specially for the purpose of moving to quash service of summons and file affidavit and motion and affidavit	50	
"	11	Defendants by their attorney file written demurrer	25	
"	14	Parties are in Court by their attorneys and the motion to quash summons is argued submitted and by the court denied (Exception)	50	
"	14	By consent demurrer is heard at this date at 2-30 P. M	50	
"	14	Above demurrer argued submitted and sustained and two days given to amend complaint	50	
"	16	Plaintiff files 2nd amended complaint	25	
"	20	Defendants file demurrer to 2nd amended complaint	25	
"	24	On motion of plaintiff hearing of above demurr set for this day at 2 P. M	50	
"	24	Demurrer submitted overruled and answer ordered at once	75	
"	24	Defendants answer orally denying each and every allegation in the complaint	25	
"	24	On motion of plaintiff the trial of this cause set for Oct 28. 1893. at 2 P. M	50	
"	28	At the time set all parties with their attorneys are in Court and the trial proceeds befor the court, neither party having demanded a jury trial. John Beres the plaintiff and H. M. Johnson are sworn and testified in ~~his own~~ behalf of plaintiff and I. G. Levy is sworn and testifies in behalf of defendants. The matter is submitted and by the Court taken under advisement;	3 20	
Nov	6	The Court this day finds for plaintiff as follows viz: for the delivery to the plaintiff by the defendants of the personal property described in the complaint or its value together with $30.00 damages for the detention and money expended in the pursuit of said property and for costs	25	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff John Beres and against the defendants I. G. Levy and S. T. Langley composing		

See pg 232

236

No. 236

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

S. E. Wallace, Plaintiff

vs.

S S Culver and G. M. Bales, Defendants

Action Promissory Note

Demand $155.00 & Int

O L Everts and D S Ewing, Attorney for Plaintiff

W J Timmin, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Oct	6	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	6	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	6	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	9	Defendant S S Culver enters an appearance herein for the purpose of moving to release the attachment herein	25	
"	9	By consent of D. S. Ewing one of plaintiffs Attorneys the motion is heard at once.	50	
"	9	The above motion is submitted and the court orders that the property held by levy of attachment herein be released upon defendants filing the ordinary bond on release of attachments with two sureties in the sum of $250.00	50	
"	9	Defendants file bond as ordered, and it is by the Court here ordered that all property attached herein be released	1 25 25	
"	10	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	10	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	12	Defendants by W. J. Timmin file written demurrer	25	
"	16	On motion of plaintiff above demurrer is this day overruled	50	
"	16	Answer (written) was submitted at time of filing demurrer and is this day marked filed and placed on file	25	
"	16	On motion of plaintiff trial of cause set for Oct. 24, 1893 at 9 a m	50	
"	24	At the time set all parties are present and the trial proceeds W. H. Hopkins, and S. E. Wallace are sworn and testify for plaintiff and S. S. Culver is sworn for defendants and further hearing continued to Oct. 25, 1893, at 9 - a m.		
"	25	At time set the trial is resumed, and G. M. Bales is sworn and testifies for defendants, the matter is argued and submitted and the Court takes it under advisement		
Nov	1	The court this day finds for plaintiff as prayed for to wit: for $155.00 and interest ($1.16) & costs.	4 00	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff S. E. Wallace and against the defendants S. S. Culver and G. M. Bales for the sum of $156.58 and for costs of suit, taxed at $28.80	25 1 00	13.75
		Total Judgment $185.48	Cost	15 05 28 80
		Done in open Court this 1st Nov 1893 Hz Austin Justice of the Peace		
Nov	6	Notice of appeal filed by defendants	25	13 75
"	11	Undertaking on appeal made & filed	1 50	5 25 19 00
"	15	Notice of exception & sureties on above bond filed	25	
"	22	Transcript and papers on appeal	Pd - 1 50	
Dec	4	New bond, with J W Pickford made & Pickford justifies by agreement	1 00	
"	5	C. Bales signs bond and sureties and Bond approved and filed	75	5 25

No. 237

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

C. A. Ledford, Plaintiff

vs.

W. A. Cowan, Defendant

Action Due Bill

Demand $164.00

J. E. Doolittle, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	9	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1.25	0.25
"	9	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1.75	
"	9	Writ of attachment issued	.50	
"	11	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	.50	
"	11	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	.25	
"	18	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this township on the 11th day of Oct, 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, now on motion of J. E. Doolittle it is ordered that the default of the said defendant W. A. Cowan be and the same is hereby entered	.75	
		On further motion of said J. E. Doolittle it is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for.		
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff C. A. Ledford and against the defendant W. A. Cowan for the sum of $164.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $20.30	1.00	.6.70
		Total Judgment $184.30	Cons	13.60
				20.30
		Done in open Court this 18th day of Oct 1893		
		H. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Oct	19	Judgment credited with $89.10 (all costs and $68.80 on Judg paid)		

238

No. 238

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Carrie Conwell

Plaintiff

vs.

Wm McDonald

Defendant

Action a/c Rent

Demand $ 30 00

Carrie Conwell

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893,		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
		Clq		
Oct	9	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 20	Pd 2 50
"	9	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	9	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	24	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	27	The above action is this day dismissed on motion of plaintiff demand and all costs being paid		Pd 5 50
		A z Austin Justice of the Peace		
Nov	1	Writ of attachment returned and filed.		

No. 239

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Donahoe Emmons & Co
a corporation
Plaintiff

vs.

T E Ball

Defendant

Action Prom. Note

Demand $ 202 83 Int & attys fee

D. M Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	settled	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Oct	9		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued		1 25	Pd 2 25
"	9		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed		1 75	
"	9		Writ of attachment issued		50	
						Justice's 35 50 fee Pd

240

No. 240

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Donahoo Emmons & Co a corporation Plaintiff

vs.

G. Frank Abbott Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $54.00 Int & Attys fees

D. M. Seaton Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	9	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 25
"	9	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made and filed	1 75	
"	9	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	10	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	10	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	20	
"	16	Defendant in person demurs orally to plaintiffs complaint and says it does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action	50	
"	17	On motion of pltff. above demurrer set for hearing Oct 18. 1893 at 9-30 a m	50	
"	18	Above demurrer submitted overruled and two days given to answer	75	
"	18	Defendant by S S Wright answers orally denying each and every allegations ~~therein~~ in the complaint	25	
"	19	On motion of plaintiff above cause set for trial Oct 21, 1893 at 2 P m	50	
"	21	at the time set the plaintiff is in Court with its Attorney there being no appearance on behalf of the defendant. after waiting one full hour the trial proceeds, Chas Emmons is sworn and testifies in behalf of plaintiff and the promissory note sued on is introduced in evidence marked Exhibit "A". The plaintiff rests its case and on the testimony adduced. the Court orders judgment as follows. $54.00 principal, 41¢ interest, $25.00 attys fee and costs of suit	1 00	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered herein, in favor of Donahoo Emmons & Co a corporation, plaintiff and against G. Frank Abbott defendant for the sum of $79.41 and for costs of suit taxed at $14.11	1 00	8 80
		Total Judgment $. 93.52		5 30
		Done in open Court this 21st Oct 1893.		14 11
		A. Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	21	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
Nov 1894	1	Execution returned partially satisfied (all costs $7.30 pd on demand)		
Aug	25	The above judgment is this day satisfied in full (Dunn)		
		A. Austin J. P.		

No. 241

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Dr J. R. Gamble

Plaintiff

vs.

Chan Sum

Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 153 11 Int & Atty's fee

E W Risley

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893,	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Oct	10	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 00
"	10	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	10	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	14	On motion of plaintiff and on payment of demand and costs the above action is this day dismissed		Pd 6 50

H Austin

Justice of the Peace

242

No. 242

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

T. M. Hayden

Plaintiff

vs.

S. Lehmann and Ellen Lehmann

Defendant

Action 2. Prom notes

Demand $ 195 & Int

D. S. Ewing

Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Oct 10		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 25
" 10		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 10		Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 26		Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
" 26		Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
" 26		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint herein was personally served on the defendants S Lehmann and Ellen Lehmann at this Township on the 19th day of October 1893. and that the said defendants, nor either of them has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time thereof, to wit: five days has fully expired, Now on motion of D S Ewing it is ordered that the default of the said defendants S. Lehmann and Ellen Lehmann be the same are hereby entered	1 20	
		On further motion of said D. S. Ewing it is ordered that Judgment be entered as prayed for to wit: Principal $195.00. Interest $15.28	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff T. M Hayden and against the defendants S. Lehmann and Ellen Lehmann for the sum of $210.28 and for costs of suit taxed at $16.45	1 00	6 95
		Total Judgment $226.73	Cost	9 50
				16 45
		Done in open Court Oct. 26. 1893.		
		H. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Oct 30		Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
Nov 8		Abstract of Judgment made & delivered to D S Ewing	1 00	

No. 243

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Peter Jensen, Plaintiff

vs.

Peder Pederson, Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $50.00

J E Doolittle, Attorney for Plaintiff

D. S. Church, Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 3		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
		Chg		
Oct	11	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	11	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	11	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	18	Summons returned and filed showing personal service (17)	50	
"	18	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	23	Defendant by D.S. Church files written answer	25	
"	23	On motion of plaintiff above case set for trial Oct 27, 1893 at 9 a m	50	
"	27	at the time set the defendant and plaintiff are in court and the trial proceeds before the Court sitting without a jury, a jury trial having been duly waived, J. E. Doolittle, Peter Jensen and M. Madsen are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff, H. C. Rasmussen is sworn as interpreter for defendant and J. E. Doolittle, Peter Jensen and Peder Pederson is sworn and testify for defendant. the cause is submitted and the court orders judgment as prayed for.	3 00	
		Wherefore judgment is hereby rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Peter Jensen and against the defendant Peder Pederson for the sum of fifty (50) dollars and for costs of suit herein, taxed at $18.80	1 00	9 00
		Total judgment $68.80	Const.	9 80
		Done in open Court this 27th Oct. 1893.	Witness	18 80
		A J Austin, Justice of the Peace.		
Oct	31	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw Constable	1 25	60
Nov	11	Affidavit & order for Chris Findorip made & filed	1 00	
		returnable this day at 3. P.M.	75	
"	11	Chris Findrip in Court and examined	1 00	
"	18	Above judgment credited with $54.00 from Chris Findrip all costs to date and $31.60 pd on demand. & Execution returned filed		

No. 244

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

The People
Maurice Rosphuro Plaintiff
vs.
Geo E. Church
Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 32.00

Geo W Jones
Attorney for Plaintiff
Geo E Church
Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Oct	14	Cause entered and complaint filed	1 00	Pd 2 50
Aug 1894	24	Summons issued	50	
"	29	Summons returned & filed seived	50	
Sept	1	Defendant personally answers orally denying each &		
1895		every allegation in the complaint	25	2 25
April	22	On motion of plaintiff trial set for April 25. 1895 at 2. P. M		
"	25	Subpaena for 1 witness issued for plaintiff		
"	25	above subpaena returned filed		
"	25	At the time set all parties are present in Court and the trial proceeds. Maurice Rosphuro, Alice Church and Walter A Church are sworn and testify in behalf of plaintiff and Geo E Church is sworn and testifies in his own behalf and further hearing continued to April 26. 1895. at 9 a m.		
"	26	At the time set Geo E. Church resumes his testimony and A. N Grady is sworn and testifies in behalf of defendant and Walter A Church and Geo E Church Jr are sworn and testify for plaintiff in rebuttal The matter is argued and submitted & taken under advisement		
"	27	The court this day finds for plaintiff as prayed for Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Maurice Rosphuro and against the defendant Geo E. Church for the sum of $32.00 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 7.25 Total Judgment $39.25 Done in open Court this 27th April 1895 H Austin Justice of the Peace.	Justice 5.25 Const 2.00 7.25	
May	25	Defendant files notice of appeal		
"	25	Defendant files Undertaking on appeal		

No. 245

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Quong Sung, Plaintiff

vs.

Chan Sun, Defendant

Action Order

Demand $ 246.69

R A King, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.		PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	14	Cause entered complaint filed & summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
"	16	Affidavit and cash bond for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	16	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	19	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	19	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	25	It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a copy of the complaint, was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 19th day of Oct. 1893. and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever, and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired. Now on motion of R. A. King It is ordered that the default of the said defendant Chan Sun be and the same is hereby entered.	95	
		R. A. King is sworn and testifies in behalf of the plaintiff and the order sued on is offered and received in evidence	50	
		From the testimony adduced and on motion of R. A. King It is ordered that judgment be entered as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Quong Lung and against the defendant Chan Sun for the sum of $ 246.69 and for costs of suit herein taxed at $ 31.40	100	
		Total Judgment $ 278.09		
		Done in open Court this 25th Oct. 1893		
		A. Austin Justice of the Peace		
Oct	25	Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	50	
Dec 1895	5	Execution returned showing all costs & $13.77 on demand pd.		
Nov 1898	18	Abstract of Judgment made & delivered Walser		
Mch	7	Abstract of judgment made & delivered to W J Tumin		

2 x 6.69 = 13.77
1 3.77
108 92
$1

720
2 4 20
3 1 40

246

No. 246

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

Robert Lincoln, Plaintiff

vs.

Andy Dillinger, Defendant

Action Ok

Demand $ 900

Robert Lincoln
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189 3	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	COSTS. Defendant.
Oct 14		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
" 26		Summons returned and filed showing personal service (23)	50	
" 30		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at this Township on the 23rd day of October 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: five days has fully expired, Now on motion of Robert Lincoln It is ordered that the default of the said defendant Andy Dillinger be and the same is hereby entered	95	
		On further motion of said Robert Lincoln It is ordered that judgment be entered herein as prayed for	50	
		Wherefore judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff Robert Lincoln and against the defendant Andy Dillinger for the sum of $900 and for costs of suit taxed at $6 20	100	4 20
		Total judgment $15 20	Cost	2 00
		Done in open Court Oct 30. 1893		6 20
		A. Austin Justice of the Peace		

No. 247

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

U. A. Foye formerly U. A. Cranmer Plaintiff

vs.

James Lenard Defendant

Action a/c

Demand $ 40

U A Foye Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893.	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. PLAINTIFF.	COSTS. DEFENDANT.
Oct 14		Cause entered & complaint filed ~~and sum~~	1 00	Pd 2 50

No. 248

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. M Lester, Plaintiff

vs.

V. J Clifford and A R Cole, Defendants

Action Prom note

Demand $ 230 Int & Attys fee

E A Williams, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct 12		Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 2 50
" 12		Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
" 12		Writ of attachment issued	50	
" 25		Summons returned and filed showing personal service on Clifford (3)	50	
" 25		Writ of attachment returned and filed ~~showing levy~~	25	
" 25		It appearing to the Court from the return of the officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally reived on the defendant V. J Clifford at this County on the 13th day of Oct. 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit ten days has fully expired now on motion of E.A. Williams it is ordered that the default of the said defendant V. J. Clifford be and the same is hereby entered	10 00	Pd Nov 1, 1893
			95	
		The promissory note sued on is offered and received in evidence and W. J. Timmis and D. S. Ewing are sworn and testify	75	
		From the testimony adduced the Court fixes attorneys fee at $20.00 and orders judgment as follows. Principal $230 Interest $4.00 Attorneys fees $20.00 and costs.	50	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff J. M Lester and against the defendant V. J Clifford for the sum of $259.00 and for costs of suit taxed at $39.15	100	7 45
		Total Judgment $298.15	Constables fees	31 70
				39 15
		Done in open Court this 25th Oct. 1893		
		A. Austein Justice of the Peace		
Oct 25		Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
Nov 1		Execution returned and filed showing costs paid to date only		

Satisfied 259

See page 250

No. 249

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

M. Madsen, Plaintiff

vs.

Rasmus Larsen, Defendant

Action Prom note

Demand $ 32.90 Int & atty fee

D M Seaton
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 189	Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	16	Cause entered complaint filed and summons issued	1 25	Pd 25c
"	16	Affidavit and undertaking for attachment made & filed	1 75	
"	16	Writ of attachment issued	50	
"	18	Writ of attachment returned and filed showing levy	25	
"	18	Summons returned and filed showing personal service	50	
"	30	It appearing to the Court from the return of the Officer that a copy of the summons attached to a true copy of the complaint was personally served on the defendant at the County of Fresno, on the 17th day of October 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor to wit: ten days has fully expired. Now on motion of D. M Seaton it is ordered that the default of the said defendant Rasmus Larsen be and the same is hereby entered	90	
Nov	1	D. M Seaton is sworn and testifies and the note sued on is offered and filed in evidence, From the testimony adduced the court fixes the Attorney fee at $20.00 and orders Judgment for 32.90 with interest and costs.	100	
		Wherefore Judgment is here rendered and entered in favor of the plaintiff M. Madsen and against the defendant Rasmus Larsen for the sum of $54.73 and for costs of suit taxed at $14.00	100	7 20
		Total Judgment $68.73	Const	6 80
				14 00
		Done in open Court this 1st Nov 1893		
		A Austin Justice of the Peace		
"	10	Above Judgment credited with $28.60 by Peter Jensen pd to Ck. all costs & $14.60 pd on Demand		
"	17	" " " " $11.00		
Dec	7	" " " " 12.50		
"	7	" " " " 13.40		
"	27	" " " " 3.20		

Recd 3.25 - D M Seaton
Recd 25.90 - D M Seaton atty fee
Recd 14.60 D M Seaton " "
$11.00 D M Seaton

250 From pg 248

No. 248

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

J. M Liesler
Plaintiff
vs.
V. J Clifford and A R Cole
Defendant

Action To perfect judgment

Demand $ 298 15

E A Williams
Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	26		Affidavit filed and order to show cause issued	1 25	1 25
"	31		Order to show cause returned and filed showing personal service	20	
Nov	11		It appearing to the Court from the return of the Officer that a copy of the summons & order to show cause was personally served on the defendant A R Cole at the County of Fresno on the 30th day of Oct 1893 and that the said defendant has not appeared herein by answer nor in any manner whatsoever and the legal time therefor has fully expired now on motion of E A Williams it is ordered that the default of the said defendant A R Cole be and the same is hereby entered.	95	
			On further motion of said E. A. Williams it is ordered that the plaintiff have the relief prayed for	50	
			Wherefore it is here ordered and adjudged that the defendant A R Cole be bound by the judgment herein entered on the 25th day of October 1893 in the same manner as though he had been originally served with summons, Done in open Court Nov 11. 1893 H. Austin Justice of the Peace	1 00	3 95
				3 95	
Nov	11		Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 25	
"	14		Execution returned and filed wholly unsatisfied		
"	18		Affidavit & order for Exam of K. G. & Co bailees made ~~filed~~	75	
"	21		Alex Goldstein in Court and examined & affd filed	50	
				6 45	

Tax.		Am't Receipts Filed for Tax Paid.		Receipt when Received.	Cou

250 From pg 248

No. 248

In the Justices' Court of the 3rd Township County of Fresno, State of California.

J. M Liesler, Plaintiff

vs.

V. J Clifford and A R Cole, Defendants

Action To perfect Judgment

Demand $ 298 15

E A Williams, Attorney for Plaintiff

Attorney for Defendant

DATE. 1893		Chg	PROCEEDINGS.	COSTS. Plaintiff.	Defendant.
Oct	26		Affidavit filed and order to show cause issued	1 20	2 50
"	31		Order to show cause returned and filed showing personal service	20	
Nov	11		It appearing to the Court from the return of the Officer that a copy of the summons & order to show cause was personally served on the defendant A R Cole at the County of Fresno on the 30th day of Oct 1893 and that the said defe[illegible] herein by answer [illegible] and the leg[illegible] fully expired now [illegible] claims it is ordered [illegible] said defendant A [illegible] is hereby entered	95	
			On further motion of said E. A. Williams it is ordered that the plaintiff have the relief prayed for	50	
			Wherefore it is here ordered and adjudged that the defendant A R. Cole be bound by the judgment herein entered on the 25th day of October 1893 in the same manner as though he had been originally served with summons,		
			Done in open Court Nov 11. 1893	1 00	3 95
			H. Austin Justice of the Peace		
				3 95	
Nov	11		Execution issued and delivered to Wm Shaw	1 20	
"	14		Execution returned and filed wholly unsatisfied		
"	18		Affidavit & order for Exam of K. G. & Co bailees made ~~filed~~	75	
"	21		Alex Goldstein in Court and examined & affd & filed	50	
				6 45	

ationship.	Share Assigned or Devised.	Value of Annuity.	Value of Life Estate.